

A module for a case of umbral moonshine

based on arXiv:1709.01952 with Miranda Cheng and Sarah Harrison

Vassilis Anagiannis, UvA

ESI moonshine workshop, Vienna

11 September 2018

- 1 Umbral moonshine modules
- 2 Moonshine and K3
- 3 Final module

Umbral moonshine modules

- 23 cases of umbral moonshine

Umbral moonshine modules

- 23 cases of umbral moonshine \leftarrow 23 Niemeier lattices N^X

Umbral moonshine modules

- 23 cases of umbral moonshine \leftarrow 23 Niemeier lattices N^X
 - X : rank 24 unions of ADE root systems with the same Coxeter number

Umbral moonshine modules

- 23 cases of umbral moonshine \leftarrow 23 Niemeier lattices N^X
 - X : rank 24 unions of ADE root systems with the same Coxeter number
- The umbral groups are $G^X := \text{Aut}(N^X) / \text{Weyl}(X)$

Umbral moonshine modules

- 23 cases of umbral moonshine \leftarrow 23 Niemeier lattices N^X
 - X : rank 24 unions of ADE root systems with the same Coxeter number
- The umbral groups are $G^X := \text{Aut}(N^X) / \text{Weyl}(X)$
- MT series $H_{g,r}^X$ are weight $1/2$ vector-valued mock modular forms

Umbral moonshine modules

- 23 cases of umbral moonshine \leftarrow 23 Niemeier lattices N^X
 - X : rank 24 unions of ADE root systems with the same Coxeter number
- The umbral groups are $G^X := \text{Aut}(N^X) / \text{Weyl}(X)$
- MT series $H_{g,r}^X$ are weight $1/2$ vector-valued mock modular forms
- Modules have been constructed for $E_8^3, A_6^4, A_{12}^2, D_6^4, D_8^3, D_{12}^2, D_{24}$

Umbral moonshine modules

- 23 cases of umbral moonshine \leftarrow 23 Niemeier lattices N^X
 - X : rank 24 unions of ADE root systems with the same Coxeter number
- The umbral groups are $G^X := \text{Aut}(N^X) / \text{Weyl}(X)$
- MT series $H_{g,r}^X$ are weight $1/2$ vector-valued mock modular forms
- Modules have been constructed for $E_8^3, A_6^4, A_{12}^2, D_6^4, D_8^3, D_{12}^2, D_{24}$
- Small umbral groups, order ≤ 24

Umbral moonshine modules

- 23 cases of umbral moonshine \leftarrow 23 Niemeier lattices N^X
 - X : rank 24 unions of ADE root systems with the same Coxeter number
- The umbral groups are $G^X := \text{Aut}(N^X) / \text{Weyl}(X)$
- MT series $H_{g,r}^X$ are weight $1/2$ vector-valued mock modular forms
- Modules have been constructed for E_8^3 , A_6^4 , A_{12}^2 , D_6^4 , D_8^3 , D_{12}^2 , D_{24}
- Small umbral groups, order ≤ 24
- We constructed a module for D_4^6

Umbral moonshine modules

- 23 cases of umbral moonshine \leftarrow 23 Niemeier lattices N^X
 - X : rank 24 unions of ADE root systems with the same Coxeter number
- The umbral groups are $G^X := \text{Aut}(N^X) / \text{Weyl}(X)$
- MT series $H_{g,r}^X$ are weight $1/2$ vector-valued mock modular forms
- Modules have been constructed for $E_8^3, A_6^4, A_{12}^2, D_6^4, D_8^3, D_{12}^2, D_{24}$
- Small umbral groups, order ≤ 24
- We constructed a module for D_4^6 , where $G^{D_4^6} \cong 3.S_6$

Umbral moonshine modules

- 23 cases of umbral moonshine \leftarrow 23 Niemeier lattices N^X
 - X : rank 24 unions of ADE root systems with the same Coxeter number
- The umbral groups are $G^X := \text{Aut}(N^X) / \text{Weyl}(X)$
- MT series $H_{g,r}^X$ are weight $1/2$ vector-valued mock modular forms
- Modules have been constructed for $E_8^3, A_6^4, A_{12}^2, D_6^4, D_8^3, D_{12}^2, D_{24}$
- Small umbral groups, order ≤ 24
- We constructed a module for D_4^6 , where $G^{D_4^6} \cong 3.S_6, |3.S_6| \sim 10^3$

- *Umbral Moonshine and $K3$ Surfaces* [Cheng, Harrison]

- *Umbral Moonshine and K3 Surfaces* [Cheng, Harrison]:

$$\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; K3) = \mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; X) + \frac{\theta_1(\tau, z)^2}{2\eta(\tau)^6} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \Psi_g^X(\tau, \omega) \right) \Big|_{\omega=0}$$

- *Umbral Moonshine and K3 Surfaces* [Cheng, Harrison]:

$$\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; K3) = \mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) + \frac{\theta_1(\tau, z)^2}{2\eta(\tau)^6} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \Psi_g^{D_4^6}(\tau, \omega) \right) \Big|_{\omega=0}$$

- *Umbral Moonshine and K3 Surfaces* [Cheng, Harrison]:

$$\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; K3) = \mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) + \frac{\theta_1(\tau, z)^2}{2\eta(\tau)^6} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \Psi_g^{D_4^6}(\tau, \omega) \right) \Big|_{\omega=0}$$

- $\Psi_g^{D_4^6} \rightarrow$ (twined) mock Jacobi form associated with $X = D_4^6$ umbral

- *Umbral Moonshine and K3 Surfaces* [Cheng, Harrison]:

$$\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; K3) = \mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) + \frac{\theta_1(\tau, z)^2}{2\eta(\tau)^6} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \Psi_g^{D_4^6}(\tau, \omega) \right) \Big|_{\omega=0}$$

- $\Psi_g^{D_4^6} \rightarrow$ (twined) mock Jacobi form associated with $X = D_4^6$ umbral
- $\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) \rightarrow$ (twined) “singularity” elliptic genus

- *Umbral Moonshine and K3 Surfaces* [Cheng, Harrison]:

$$\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; K3) = \mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) + \frac{\theta_1(\tau, z)^2}{2\eta(\tau)^6} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \Psi_g^{D_4^6}(\tau, \omega) \right) \Big|_{\omega=0}$$

- $\Psi_g^{D_4^6} \rightarrow$ (twined) mock Jacobi form associated with $X = D_4^6$ umbral
- $\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) \rightarrow$ (twined) “singularity” elliptic genus
 - Related to ADE singularities of K3

- $\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6)$

- $\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) := \mathrm{tr}_* \left(\widehat{\Omega}_g^{D_4^6} \cdot \Xi \right) = \mathrm{str}_{V_{\mathrm{sing}}}^{(q,y)} g$, $g \in 3.S_6$

- $\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) := \mathrm{tr}_* \left(\widehat{\Omega}_g^{D_4^6} \cdot \Xi \right) = \mathrm{str}_{V_{\mathrm{sing}}}^{(q,y)} g$, $g \in 3.S_6$
- $\Xi \rightarrow$ contains characters of CFT describing a D_4 -type K3 singularity [Ooguri, Vafa]

- $\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) := \text{tr}_* \left(\widehat{\Omega}_g^{D_4^6} \cdot \Xi \right) = \text{str}_{V_{\text{sing}}}^{(q,y)} g$, $g \in 3.S_6$
- $\Xi \rightarrow$ contains characters of CFT describing a D_4 -type K3 singularity [Ooguri, Vafa]:

$$\left(\mathcal{N} = 2 \text{ minimal} \otimes \mathcal{N} = 2 \left(\frac{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})}{\text{U}(1)} \right) \text{ coset} \right) / (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})$$

- $\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) := \text{tr}_* \left(\widehat{\Omega}_g^{D_4^6} \cdot \Xi \right) = \text{str}_{V_{\text{sing}}}^{(q,y)} g$, $g \in 3.S_6$
- $\Xi \rightarrow$ contains characters of CFT describing a D_4 -type K3 singularity [Ooguri, Vafa]:

$$\left(\mathcal{N} = 2 \text{ minimal} \otimes \mathcal{N} = 2 \left(\frac{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})}{\text{U}(1)} \right) \text{ coset} \right) / (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})$$

- $\widehat{\Omega}_g^{D_4^6} \rightarrow$ Cappelli–Itzykson–Zuber type omega matrices for $D_4^6 := D_4^{\oplus 6}$

- $\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) := \text{tr}_* \left(\widehat{\Omega}_g^{D_4^6} \cdot \Xi \right) = \text{str}_{V_{\text{sing}}^{(q,y)}} g$, $g \in 3.S_6$
- $\Xi \rightarrow$ contains characters of CFT describing a D_4 -type K3 singularity [Ooguri, Vafa]:

$$\left(\mathcal{N} = 2 \text{ minimal} \otimes \mathcal{N} = 2 \left(\frac{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})}{\text{U}(1)} \right) \text{ coset} \right) / (\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z})$$

- $\widehat{\Omega}_g^{D_4^6} \rightarrow$ Cappelli–Itzykson–Zuber type omega matrices for $D_4^6 := D_4^{\oplus 6}$
- $V_{\text{sing}} = (\bar{R} \otimes V_5^1) \oplus (R \otimes V_1^5) \oplus (\check{R} \otimes V_3^3)$, $\text{sdim}^{(q,y)} V_s^r = \Xi_s^r$

$$\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; K3) = \mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) + \frac{\theta_1(\tau, z)^2}{2\eta(\tau)^6} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \Psi_g^{D_4^6}(\tau, \omega) \right) \Big|_{\omega=0}$$

- Main idea: “invert” this relation to get a module

$$\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; K3) = \mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) + \frac{\theta_1(\tau, z)^2}{2\eta(\tau)^6} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \Psi_g^{D_4^6}(\tau, \omega) \right) \Big|_{\omega=0}$$

- Main idea: “invert” this relation to get a module
- $3.S_6$ is not a symmetry of a single K3 (doesn't fix a 4-plane in 24_{Co_0})

$$\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; K3) = \mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) + \frac{\theta_1(\tau, z)^2}{2\eta(\tau)^6} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \Psi_g^{D_4^6}(\tau, \omega) \right) \Big|_{\omega=0}$$

- Main idea: “invert” this relation to get a module
- $3.S_6$ is not a symmetry of a single K3 (doesn't fix a 4-plane in 24_{Co_0})
- $\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; K3)$ coincide with functions ϕ_g from the Conway moonshine module V_{tw}^{sh} [Duncan, Mack-Crane]

$$\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; K3) = \mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; D_4^6) + \frac{\theta_1(\tau, z)^2}{2\eta(\tau)^6} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \Psi_g^{D_4^6}(\tau, \omega) \right) \Big|_{\omega=0}$$

- Main idea: “invert” this relation to get a module
- $3.S_6$ is not a symmetry of a single K3 (doesn't fix a 4-plane in 24_{Co_0})
- $\mathbf{EG}_g(\tau, z; K3)$ coincide with functions ϕ_g from the Conway moonshine module V_{tw}^{sh} [Duncan, Mack-Crane]
- The $U(1)$ -grading of ϕ_g is *not* preserved by $3.S_6 \subset Co_0$

- Solution: construct a VOA that reproduces ϕ_g but also preserves the $U(1)$ -grading

- Solution: construct a VOA that reproduces ϕ_g but also preserves the $U(1)$ -grading:

$$\mathcal{T} : (12 \text{ complex fermions} + 2 \beta\gamma \text{ systems} + 2 bc \text{ systems}) / \mathbb{Z}_2$$

- Solution: construct a VOA that reproduces ϕ_g but also preserves the $U(1)$ -grading:

$$\mathcal{T} : (12 \text{ complex fermions} + 2 \beta\gamma \text{ systems} + 2 bc \text{ systems}) / \mathbb{Z}_2$$

- Fermions carry the $3.S_6$ action

- Solution: construct a VOA that reproduces ϕ_g but also preserves the $U(1)$ -grading:

$$\mathcal{T} : (12 \text{ complex fermions} + 2 \beta\gamma \text{ systems} + 2 bc \text{ systems}) / \mathbb{Z}_2$$

- Fermions carry the $3.S_6$ action
- bc - $\beta\gamma$ systems provide the $U(1)$ -grading \rightarrow trivial $3.S_6$ action

- Solution: construct a VOA that reproduces ϕ_g but also preserves the $U(1)$ -grading:

$$\mathcal{T} : (12 \text{ complex fermions} + 2 \beta\gamma \text{ systems} + 2 bc \text{ systems}) / \mathbb{Z}_2$$

- Fermions carry the $3.S_6$ action
- bc - $\beta\gamma$ systems provide the $U(1)$ -grading \rightarrow trivial $3.S_6$ action
- Key point: all $g \in 3.S_6$ fix a 4-plane in $\mathbf{24}_{C_{00}}$, albeit not the same one

- Solution: construct a VOA that reproduces ϕ_g but also preserves the $U(1)$ -grading:

$$\mathcal{T} : (12 \text{ complex fermions} + 2 \beta\gamma \text{ systems} + 2 bc \text{ systems}) / \mathbb{Z}_2$$

- Fermions carry the $3.S_6$ action
- bc - $\beta\gamma$ systems provide the $U(1)$ -grading \rightarrow trivial $3.S_6$ action
- Key point: all $g \in 3.S_6$ fix a 4-plane in $\mathbf{24}_{\text{Co}_0}$, albeit not the same one
- Modules K^r such that $H_{g,r} = \text{str}_{K^r}^{(q,y)} g$, $g \in 3.S_6$

- Solution: construct a VOA that reproduces ϕ_g but also preserves the $U(1)$ -grading:

$$\mathcal{T} : (12 \text{ complex fermions} + 2 \beta\gamma \text{ systems} + 2 bc \text{ systems}) / \mathbb{Z}_2$$

- Fermions carry the $3.S_6$ action
- bc - $\beta\gamma$ systems provide the $U(1)$ -grading \rightarrow trivial $3.S_6$ action
- Key point: all $g \in 3.S_6$ fix a 4-plane in $\mathbf{24}_{\text{Co}_0}$, albeit not the same one
- Modules K^r such that $H_{g,r} = \text{str}_{K^r}^{(q,y)} g$, $g \in 3.S_6$

$$K^r = \mathcal{H}_{\text{aux}}^r \otimes \begin{cases} W|P & r = 1, 5 \\ W|(\mathbf{1} - P) & r = 3 \end{cases}, \quad W = \mathcal{T} \ominus V_{\text{sing}}$$

Thank you!