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## Motivation

Why is equilibration interesting?

## Early Universe

- (P)reheating during inflation ( $\rightarrow$ Baryogenesis)


## Heavy-Ion Collisions



Is a thermalized QGP achieved during the collisions?

- Hydrodynamics point to short thermalization time ( $\tau \sim 1 \mathrm{fm} / \mathrm{c}$ ).
- Traditional QCD estimates give a larger thermalization time.


## 2PI Effective action as a tool to study equilibration

- Exact representation of path integral in terms of a functional depending solely on the connected 1 - and 2-point functions $\phi$ and $G$.
- Evolution equations derived from variational principle on the functional ( $\Phi$ (Functional)-derivable approximations)

- Energy conservation
- Respect global symmetries [Baym, Kadanofifi]
- Renormalization? Possible and systematic Ivan Hees, Knolloz; Blazoot Ineu, Reinosai04;:Cooper, Mihaila, Dawson '04; Berges, Borsányi, Reinosa'05]
- Gauge invariance? Not completely [AA, Smit 02; Caringlon,K,Kustatele:Zarazet 00]
- Recent out-of-equilibrium studies:
- Equilibration in scalar fields (1+1 dim) [Berges,Cox '00; Aarts,Berges'01; Berges'02, Cooper,Dawson,Mihaila'03 ...]
- Equilibration in scalar fields (2+1 dim) [Cassing,Juchem,Greiner'02]
- Equilibration of fermions and scalars (3+1 dim) [Berges,Borsányi,Serreau'03]
- Preheating [Berges,Serreau'03; AA, Tranberg,Smit'04]


## 2PI Effective Action in scalar theory

Scalar $\lambda \varphi^{4}$ theory
$S[\varphi]=\int_{\mathcal{C}} d^{4} x\left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \varphi(x) \partial^{\mu} \varphi(x)-\frac{1}{2} m^{2} \varphi(x)^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{4!} \varphi(x)^{4}\right]$

- Symmetric phase: $v=\langle\varphi\rangle_{T=0}=0$

- Broken phase: $v \neq 0, v_{\text {tree }}=\sqrt{6\left|m^{2}\right| / \lambda}$


## 2PI Effective Action

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma[\phi, G]= & S[\phi]-\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \ln G+\frac{i}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(G_{0}^{-1}-G^{-1}\right) \cdot G\right] \\
& +i\left[\frac{1}{8}\right\}+\frac{1}{12} \times+\frac{1}{48} \longrightarrow+\frac{1}{24} \times \sim+\cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

with $G_{0}^{-1}(x, y)=\left(-\partial^{2}-m^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \lambda \phi^{2}\right) \delta_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)$.

## Truncations

## Truncations of the 2PI Effective Action

| Truncation | Order | $i \Phi[\phi, G]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hartree approximation | $\mathcal{O}(\lambda)$ | $\frac{1}{8} \Omega$ |
| Two-loop approximation | 2 loops | $\frac{1}{8} \bigcirc+\frac{1}{12} \times 母$ |
| "Basketball" approximation | $\mathcal{O}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)$ | $\frac{1}{8} \Omega+\frac{1}{12} \times-\infty$ |

## Evolution equations obtained from variational principle

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\delta \Gamma[\phi, G]}{\delta \phi}=0 \Longrightarrow \frac{\delta S[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda G(x, x) \phi(x)=-\frac{\delta \Phi[\phi, G]}{\delta \phi(x)}=\frac{i}{6} \\
& \frac{\delta \Gamma[\phi, G]}{\delta G}=0 \Longrightarrow \delta_{\mathcal{C}}(x, y)=\int_{\mathcal{C}} d^{4} z G_{0}^{-1}(x, z) G(z, y)+i \int_{\mathcal{C}} d^{4} z \Sigma(x, z) G(z, y) \\
& \Sigma(x, y)=-2 \frac{\delta \Phi[\phi, G]}{\delta G(y, x)}=\frac{i}{2} \bigcirc, \frac{i}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2-point functions

## 2-point functions on the contour

- Evolution equations are defined on the Schwinger-Keldysh contour $\mathcal{C}$

$$
G(x, y)=\Theta_{\mathcal{C}}\left(x_{0}-y_{0}\right) G^{>}(x, y)+\Theta_{\mathcal{C}}\left(y_{0}-x_{0}\right) G^{<}(x, y) \text { with }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
G^{>}(x, y) \equiv\langle\varphi(x) \varphi(y)\rangle \\
G^{<}(x, y) \equiv\langle\varphi(y) \varphi(x)\rangle
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Real scalar theory $\left[G^{>}(x, y)\right]^{*}=G^{<}(x, y) \rightarrow$ only 2 independent real functions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G^{>}(x, y)=F(x, y)-\frac{i}{2} \rho(x, y), \\
& G^{<}(x, y)=F(x, y)+\frac{i}{2} \rho(x, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- The functions $F / \rho$ contain statistical/spectral information

$$
F(x, y)=\frac{1}{2}\langle\{\varphi(x), \varphi(y)\}\rangle \quad, \quad \rho(x, y)=i\langle[\varphi(x), \varphi(y)]\rangle
$$

## Evolution Equations

## 2-point functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\partial_{x}^{2}+M^{2}(x)\right] F(x, y)=\int_{0}^{x_{0}} d z_{0} \int d^{3} z \Sigma^{\rho}(x, z) F(z, y)-\int_{0}^{y_{0}} d z_{0} \int d^{3} z \Sigma^{F}(x, z) \rho(y, z)} \\
& {\left[\partial_{x}^{2}+M^{2}(x)\right] \rho(x, y)=\int_{y_{0}}^{x_{0}} d z_{0} \int d^{3} z \Sigma^{\rho}(x, z) \rho(z, y)}
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{2}(x) & =m^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2} \phi(x)^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2} F(x, x) \\
\Sigma^{F}(x, y) & =\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} \phi(x) \phi(y)\left[F^{2}(x, y)-\frac{\rho^{2}(x, y)}{4}\right]+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{6} F(x, y)\left[F^{2}(x, y)-\frac{3 \rho^{2}(x, y)}{4}\right] \\
\Sigma^{\rho}(x, y) & =\lambda^{2} \phi(x) \phi(y)[F(x, y) \rho(x, y)]+\frac{\lambda^{2}}{6} \rho(x, y)\left[3 F^{2}(x, y)-\frac{\rho^{2}(x, y)}{4}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1-point function

$$
\frac{\left[\partial_{x}^{2}+M^{2}(x)\right] \phi(x)=\frac{\lambda}{3} \phi(x)^{3}+\int_{0}^{x_{0}} d z_{0} \int d^{3} z \widetilde{\Sigma}^{\rho}(x, z) \phi(z)}{\text { with } \quad \widetilde{\Sigma}^{\rho}(x, z)=-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{6} \rho(x, z)\left[3 F(x, z)^{2}-\frac{\rho(x, z)^{2}}{4}\right]}
$$

## Initial Conditions

Spatially homogeneous situation

$$
\left\{F(x, y)=F\left(t, t^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\right), \rho(x, y)=\rho\left(t, t^{\prime}, \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}\right)\right\} \Longrightarrow\left\{F_{\mathbf{k}}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right), \rho_{\mathbf{k}}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

Mean Field

Spectral Function

Symmetric Function

$$
\phi=0 \quad \text { Symmetric Phase } \quad \phi=v_{\text {tree }} \quad \text { Broken Phase }
$$

$$
\rho_{\mathbf{k}}(t, t)=0,\left.\quad \partial_{t} \rho_{\mathbf{k}}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t=t^{\prime}}=1
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.F_{\mathbf{k}}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t=t^{\prime}=0} & =\left.\left\langle\left\{\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}(t), \varphi_{-\mathbf{k}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right\rangle\right|_{t=t^{\prime}=0}=\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}}\left[n_{\mathbf{k}}+\frac{1}{2}\right] \\
\left.\partial_{t} F_{\mathbf{k}}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t=t^{\prime}=0} & =\left.\left\langle\left\{\pi_{\mathbf{k}}(t), \varphi_{-\mathbf{k}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right\rangle\right|_{t=t^{\prime}=0}=0 \\
\left.\partial_{t} \partial_{t^{\prime}} F_{\mathbf{k}}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t=t^{\prime}=0} & =\left.\left\langle\left\{\pi_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \pi_{-\mathbf{k}}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right\rangle\right|_{t=t^{\prime}=0}=\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\left[n_{\mathbf{k}}+\frac{1}{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

"Top-Hat"

$$
n_{\mathbf{k}}=H \theta\left(\mathbf{k}_{\text {max }}^{2}-\mathbf{k}^{2}\right) \Theta\left(\mathbf{k}^{2}-\mathbf{k}_{\text {min }}^{2}\right)
$$

## Observables

Quasiparticle distribution function

$$
n_{\mathbf{k}}(t)+\frac{1}{2}=c_{\mathbf{k}} \sqrt{\left.\partial_{t} \partial_{t^{\prime}} F_{\mathbf{k}}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t=t^{\prime}} F_{\mathbf{k}}(t, t)}
$$

Dispersion relation

$$
\omega_{\mathbf{k}}(t)=\sqrt{\left.\partial_{t} \partial_{t^{\prime}} F_{\mathbf{k}}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t=t^{\prime}} / F_{\mathbf{k}}(t, t)}
$$

Total Particle number density

$$
n_{\text {tot }}(t)=\int_{\mathbf{k}} n_{\mathbf{k}}(t)
$$

Close to equilibrium

- Effective quasiparticle mass $m_{\text {eff }}$

$$
\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}(t)=c^{2}(t)\left(m_{\mathrm{eff}}(t)^{2}+\mathbf{k}^{2}\right)
$$

- Effective Temperature $T_{\text {eff }}$ and chemical potential $\mu_{\text {eff }}$

$$
n_{\mathbf{p}}(t)=\frac{1}{e^{\left[\omega_{\mathbf{p}}(t)-\mu\right.} \mathrm{eff}^{(t)] / T} \mathrm{eff}^{(t)}-1}
$$

## Energy and Memory Kernels

- We monitor the memory kernels, i.e. $\Sigma^{F}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right), \Sigma^{\rho}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\Sigma}^{\rho}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$
- Only a finite memory is kept, i.e. $\Sigma\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ for $\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|>t_{\text {cut }}$
- We check that the energy $E(t)=\int d^{3} x T^{00}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is conserved


## Numerical Implementation

- The system is discretized on a $N^{3}=16^{3}$ spatial lattice of spacing a.
- Time is discretized with spacing $a_{t}$

$$
S_{\mathrm{lat}}[\varphi]=a^{3} a_{t} \sum_{\mathbf{x}, t}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{t} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}\left(\partial_{i} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m_{0}^{2} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)^{2}-\frac{1}{4!} \lambda_{0} \varphi(\mathbf{x}, t)^{4}\right]
$$

## Renormalization

- General method quite involved (solution of Bethe-Salpeter equations)
- In our discretized case we use an approximate 2-loop renormalization

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{0}^{2}=m^{2}-\frac{i}{2} \bigcirc+\left.\frac{i}{2} \bigcirc\right|_{T=0, G_{0}}=m^{2}-\frac{\lambda}{2 a^{2}} \frac{1}{N^{3}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{a^{2} m^{2}+\lambda a^{2} v^{2} / 2+\mathbf{k}^{2}}}-\frac{\lambda^{2} v^{2}}{2} \frac{1}{N^{3}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\left(a^{2} m^{2}+\lambda a^{2} v^{2} / 2+\mathbf{k}^{2}\right)^{3}}} \\
\frac{1}{\lambda_{0}}=\frac{1}{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2} 马_{\mathbf{Q}}=\frac{1}{\lambda}-\frac{1}{N^{3}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{1}{4 \sqrt{\left(a^{2} m^{2}+\lambda a^{2} v^{2} / 2+\mathbf{k}^{2}\right)^{3}}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Symmetric Phase: Equilibration

Simulation Parameters: $\phi=0, a m=0.7, \lambda=6, a_{t}=0.1 a, m t_{\text {cut }}=28$

- T1, T2 and T3: same energy
- T1 and T2: similar total particle number density







Distribution function
$n_{\mathrm{k}}$ vs. $\omega_{\mathrm{k}}$, Hartree and Basketball for T1





## Dispersion relation

$\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ vs. $\mathbf{k}^{2}$, Hartree and Basketball for T1

## Equilibration seems to occur at $m t \sim 100$ !

## Kinetic vs. Chemical Equilibration



Evolution of individual modes


Evolution of total particle number $n_{\text {tot }}$

- Kinetic equilibration occurs relatively fast ( $m t \sim 100$ ), dominated by $2 \leftrightarrow 2$ processes
- Chemical equilibration is much slower (caused by $1 \leftrightarrow 3,2 \leftrightarrow 4, \ldots$ processes).
- Kinetically preequilibrated state remembers the initial particle number.


Evolution of effective mass, temperature and chemical potential

- Very slow evolution towards final equilibrium ( $m \tau \sim 10^{4-5}$ )
- Exponential fits suggest asympotic values $T / m=1.36$ and $\mu / m=0.7$ (!)
- Chemical equilibration seems to be much smaller than in $2+1$ dimensions [Juchem,Cassing,Greiner '03]
- Effective mass: Comparison with Hartree estimate $M_{H}\left(T_{\text {eff }}, \mu_{\text {eff }}\right)$ indicates that the contribution to the mass from the basketball not very large.


## Symmetric Phase: Damping

- Close to thermal equilibrium (Initial conditions: Thermal)
- Mean field slightly displaced from $\phi=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{\phi}(t)+M^{2}(T, t) \phi(t)=-\frac{\lambda}{6} \phi(t)^{3}-\int_{0}^{t} d t^{\prime} \tilde{\Sigma}_{0}^{\rho}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \phi\left(t^{\prime}\right) \\
& \Downarrow \\
& \ddot{\phi}(t)+M^{2}(T) \phi(t)=-\int_{0}^{t} d t^{\prime} \tilde{\Sigma}_{0}^{\rho}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right) \phi\left(t^{\prime}\right) \\
& \Downarrow \quad \text { Solvable } \\
& \phi(t)=\frac{2 \phi_{i}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} d \omega \frac{\omega \operatorname{lm} \tilde{\Sigma}_{0}^{R}(\omega) \cos (\omega t)}{\left[\omega^{2}-M^{2}-\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Sigma}_{0}^{R}(\omega)\right]^{2}+\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Sigma}_{0}^{R}(\omega)^{2}} \\
& \Downarrow \quad \text { Narrow width }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\phi(t) \approx \phi_{i} Z e^{-\gamma t} \cos \left(M_{\mathrm{eff}} t-\alpha\right)
$$

$$
\gamma=Z \frac{\operatorname{lm} \tilde{\Sigma}_{0}^{R}\left(M_{\mathrm{eff}}\right)}{M_{\mathrm{eff}}}, \quad M_{\mathrm{eff}}^{2}=M^{2}+\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\Sigma}_{0}^{R}(\omega)
$$



- Spectral Function $\rho_{\mathbf{k}}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{\omega_{\mathbf{k}}} e^{-\gamma_{\mathbf{k}}\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|} \sin \left[\omega_{\mathbf{k}}\left(t-t^{\prime}\right)\right]$


## Damping: 2-loop vs. Basketball




- Effective masses almost identical and close to Hartree
- Basketball damping slightly larger than 2-loop damping
- Basketball damping (20-40)\% larger than Perturbative
- Spectral function zero-mode mass and damping closely
 follow mean field values


## Broken Phase: Equilibration

- $\phi \neq 0$ allows to compare 2-loop and basketball for the equilibration of 2-point functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\delta S[\phi]}{\delta \phi(x)}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda G(x, x) \phi(x)=\frac{i}{6} \cdots \times \\
& \Sigma(x, y)=\frac{i}{2} \Omega+\frac{i}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The 2-loop perturbative approximation contains no on-shell scattering,
- But the 2-loop $\Phi$-derivable approximation contains on-shell scattering (through resummation of higher orders)
- We take $\phi=v_{\text {tree }} \approx v$ so that the time evolution of $\phi(t)$ does not affect the dynamics of the 2-point functions


## Broken Phase: Equilibration

Simulation Parameters: $\phi=v_{\text {tree }}, a m=0.7, \lambda=1, a_{t}=0.1 a, m t_{\text {cut }}=84$,


Distribution function
$n_{\mathbf{k}}$ vs. $\omega_{\mathbf{k}}, 2$-loop and Basketball for T1


## Dispersion relation

$\omega_{\mathbf{k}}^{2}$ vs. $\mathbf{k}^{2}, 2$-loop and Basketball for T1

- Early equilibration in 2-loop almost as fast as in Basketball
- Further chemical and final equilibration very slow


## Broken Phase: Damping

- Close to thermal equilibrium (Initial conditions: Thermal)
- Mean field $\phi=v_{\text {tree }}$ slightly displaced from true $v$
- Linearization around $\mathrm{v}: \phi(t)=v+\sigma(t)$

$$
\ddot{\sigma}(t)+M^{2}(T, t) \sigma(t)=-\int_{0}^{t} d t^{\prime} \tilde{\Sigma}_{0}^{\rho}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \sigma\left(t^{\prime}\right)
$$



- Vacuum expectation value $v$

$$
M^{2}(T, t) v-\frac{\lambda}{3} v^{3}+\int_{0}^{t} d t^{\prime} \tilde{\Sigma}_{0}^{\rho}\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) v=0
$$

- Close enough to equilibrium

$$
\sigma(t) \approx \sigma_{\mathrm{in}} Z e^{-\gamma t} \cos \left(M_{\mathrm{eff}} t-\alpha\right)
$$



## Damping: 2-loop vs. Basketball



- Effective masses and $v$ practically identical and close to Hartree
- Similar damping in both approximations (rough estimates)


## Conclusions

## Equilibration stages

Early Kinetic Equilibration ("Stabilization" of occupation numbers and dispersion relation)
Late Chemical and final equilibration
Prethermalization? (J. Berges and S. Borsányi's talks)

Hartree/2-loop/Basketball $\Phi$-derivable approximations

- Hartree vs. 2-loop/Basketball: Not large changes in masses and $v$
- Enhanced mean field damping (w.r.t perturbation theory)
- Possible to study 2-point function equilibration in 2-loop (broken phase)
- Equilibration almost as fast in 2-loop as in Basketball (broken phase)
- Larger couplings: Secular-like Instabilities?, Renormalization?

