Isotropization vs thermalization

Szabolcs Borsányi Heidelberg, Institut für Theoretische Physik s.borsanyi@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de

12 August 2005

Outline

2PI equations of motion for a scalar theory Weakly coupled theory Anisotropic initial condition What determines the isotropization rate? On what time scale does chemical equilibrium reached? Does the Boltzmann equation give a proper estimate? Solve an initial value problem



Initial conditions: Gaussian fluctuations From the 2PI equations of motion: effective loss of initial information Cox, Berges 2000 Comparison to classical evolution: Aarts, Berges 2002



The 2PI effective action

Cornwall, Jackiw, Tomboulis 1974; Calzetta, Hu 1988 Ivanov, Knoll, Voskresensky 1988;

Define path integral along the *closed time path* contour

$$t_{max} = 0$$

 $Z[J,K] = \int \mathcal{D}\varphi_c \exp\left(i\mathcal{S}[\varphi] + iJ_a\varphi_a + \frac{i}{2}\varphi_a K_{ab}\varphi_b\right),\,$

 $W[J,K] = -i \log \left(Z[J,K] \right)$ $\delta W[J,K]/\delta J = \phi \quad \delta W[J,K]/\delta K = (\phi^2 - G)/2$

 $\Gamma\left[\phi,G\right] = W\left[J,K\right] - J_a\phi_a - \frac{1}{2}K_{ab}\left[G_{ab} + \phi_a\phi_b\right]$

2PI equations of motion

(a)
$$\frac{\delta\Gamma[\phi,G]}{\delta\phi_a(x)} = -J_a(x) - \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathrm{d}^4 y \left[K_{ab}(x,y) \phi_b(y) \right] \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

(b)
$$\frac{\delta\Gamma[\phi,G]}{\delta G_{ab}(x,y)} = -\frac{1}{2} K_{ab}(x,y) \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \longrightarrow G_{ab}(x,y;\phi) = \langle \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}\hat{\varphi}(x)\hat{\varphi}(y)\rangle_c$$

Decomposition:

$$\Gamma_{b}\left[\phi,G\right] = S\left[\phi\right] + \frac{i}{2}\mathsf{tr}_{\mathcal{C}}\left[\log\left[G^{-1}\right]\right] + \frac{i}{2}\mathsf{tr}_{\mathcal{C}}\left[G_{0}^{-1}G\right] + \Gamma_{\mathrm{int}}\left[\phi,G\right] + \mathrm{const}$$

$$\Gamma_{f}\left[\psi,D\right] = S\left[\psi\right] - i\mathsf{tr}_{\mathcal{C}}\left[\log\left[D^{-1}\right]\right] - i\mathsf{tr}_{\mathcal{C}}\left[D_{0}^{-1}D\right] + \Gamma_{\mathrm{int}}\left[\psi,D\right] + \mathrm{const}$$

With
$$\Sigma_f(x,y) \equiv 2i \frac{\delta\Gamma_{\text{int}}[G]}{\delta G(y,x)}$$
 $\Sigma_s(x,y) \equiv -i \frac{\delta\Gamma_{\text{int}}[D]}{\delta D(y,x)}$
 $(\partial_x^2 + m^2) G_{ab}(x,y) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} d^4 z \Sigma_{ab} (x,z;G,D) G_{bc}(z,y) + \delta_{\mathcal{C}}(x,y) \delta_{ab}$
 $(\partial_x + im_f) D_{ij}(x,y) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} d^4 z \Sigma_{ik}(x,z;G,D) D_{kj}(z,y) + \delta_{\mathcal{C}}^4(x,y) \delta_{ij}$

 $\leftarrow equivalent \ to \ Kadanoff-Baym \ equations$

ISOTROPIZATION VS THERMALIZATION

2PI: Ladder ressummation

Propagator in the symmetric phase:



Ж

For n > 2or in the non-symmetric case: *n*-point defined from the 1PI effective action: All 3 channels resummed





2PI, NLO

2PI resummed 1PI

ISOTROPIZATION VS THERMALIZATION

2PI 1/N resummation





Berges 2000; Aarts, Ahrensmeyer, Berges, Baier, Serreau 2002

- Shear viscosity from correlators of the energy momentum tensor Aarts, Resco 2004
- Nontrivial (not mean field) critical exponents Alford, Berges, Cheyne 2004
- Parametric resonance Berges, Serreau 2002
- Tachyonic instability

Arrizabalaga, Smit, Tranberg 2004

Isotropization

Berges, Borsanyi, Wetterich 2005

Ideal hydrodynamics requires the isotropization of the **pressure**







Does isotropization have a rate?



Equal energy densities:

Unique (thermal) rate after distribution "looks" isotropic

Isotropization of the propagator

$$G(x,y) = F(x,y) - \frac{i}{2}\rho(x,y)\operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbb{C}}(x^0 - y^0)$$

Half width:



Amplitude:

- Rate (in the linear regime) \sim pressure isotropization rate, ~ 0.01
- this is **not** the width of the spectral function
- Thermalization longer than isotropization

Wigner transformed observables

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}\hat{\varphi}(x)\hat{\varphi}(y)\rangle_{c} \equiv G(x,y) = F(x,y) - \frac{i}{2}\rho(x,y)\operatorname{sgn}_{\mathbb{C}}(x^{0}-y^{0}),$$

$$F(t;\omega,\vec{p}) = \int ds \, e^{i\omega s} F(t+s/2,t-s/2;\vec{p}),$$

$$\varrho(t;\omega;\vec{p}) = -i \int ds \, e^{i\omega s} \rho(t+s/2,t-s/2;\vec{p})$$

For isotropization: $\Delta F(t;\omega,\bar{q}) \equiv F(t;\omega,p_{\perp}=0,p_{\parallel}=\bar{q}) - F(t;\omega,p_{\perp}=\bar{q},p_{\parallel}=0),$

For equilibration:

$$\overline{F}(t;\omega,\bar{q}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left[F(t;\omega,p_{\perp}=0,p_{\parallel}=\bar{q}) + F(t;\omega,p_{\perp}=\bar{q},p_{\parallel}=0) \right]$$

Damping rate vs isotropization



Does the plasmon rate fit?

$$\gamma(\vec{p}) = -\frac{\Sigma_{\varrho}^{(\text{eq})}(\omega_p^{(\text{eq})}, \vec{p})}{2\omega_p^{(\text{eq})}}. \qquad \gamma^{(\text{noneq})}(t; \vec{p}) = -\frac{\Sigma_{\varrho}^{(\text{noneq})}(t; \omega_p^{(\text{eq})}, \vec{p})}{2\omega_p^{(\text{eq})}}.$$

Gradient expansion

$$\begin{split} \left[\partial_{t_1}^2 + \vec{p}^2 + M^2(t_1)\right] F(t_1, t_2; \vec{p}) &= -\int_0^{t_1} dt' \, \Sigma_\rho(t_1, t'; \vec{p}) F(t', t_2; \vec{p}) \\ &+ \int_0^{t_2} dt' \, \Sigma_F(t_1, t'; \vec{p}) \rho(t', t_2; \vec{p}) , \\ \left[\partial_{t_1}^2 + \vec{p}^2 + M^2(t_1)\right] \rho(t_1, t_2; \vec{p}) &= -\int_{t_2}^{t_1} dt' \, \Sigma_\rho(t_1, t'; \vec{p}) \rho(t', t_2; \vec{p}) \\ \downarrow \end{split}$$

$$2\omega \frac{\partial}{\partial t} F(t;\omega,\vec{p}) = \Sigma_{\varrho}(t;\omega,\vec{p})F(t;\omega,\vec{p}) - \Sigma_{F}(t;\omega,\vec{p})\varrho(t;\omega,\vec{p}),$$

$$2\omega \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \varrho(t;\omega,\vec{p}) = 0$$

Linearized equations

In the equations of motion:

$$\frac{\delta G(p)}{dt} \sim \delta[\Sigma(p) \cdot G(p)] = \delta \Sigma(p) \cdot G^{\text{eq}}(p) + \underbrace{\Sigma^{\text{eq}}(p) \cdot \delta G(p)}_{\text{Damping rate}} = \mathcal{S}_{pr} \delta G_r$$

1st term: off-diagonal in δG :

$$\delta\Sigma(p)\cdot G^{\rm eq}(p)\sim \int_{qk}G^{\rm eq}(q)G^{\rm eq}(k)\delta G(p-k-q)\cdot G^{\rm eq}(p)=\mathcal{S}_{pr}^{\rm offdiagonal}\delta G_r$$

For different phenomena (*isotropization*, *kinetic equilibration*) different eigenvectors/eigenvalues are relevant.

Due to the off-diagonal elements small eigenvalues appear. Final thermalization: **smallest eigenvalue**

ISOTROPIZATION VS THERMALIZATION

Chemical equilibration

- Toy model: *N* scalar fields
- Φ_a , a = 1 started from an anisotropic state
- Φ_a , $a \neq 1$ started from vacuum
- solve 2PI equations of motion in the symmetric phase

Prototype of chemical equilibration:

fields of equal mass should have equal energy

Possible conclusions to heavy ion physics?

- Not the numbers
- Understanding the *time scales* and nonequilibrium phenomena

Equalization of energy/particle content



Quantum 2PI results show good agreement to *classical* statistical field theory for high occupation numbers ~ 10 .

Isotropization vs particle transfer between field species

Propagators:

chemical:
$$\Delta_{ch} = F_a(\vec{p}) - F_b(\vec{p})$$
 $a \neq b$
anisotropy: $\Delta F = F_a(\vec{p}) - F_a(\vec{k})$ $\vec{p} \perp \vec{k}$



"Chemical" equilibration rate \approx isotropization rate

Testing the gradient expansion

From the 2PI equations for (F=Re G, ρ =Im G) After Wigner transformation and LO gradient expansion:



Gradient expansion and thermalization

From the 2PI equations for (F=Re G, ρ =Im G) After Wigner transformation and LO gradient expansion:



Summary

Kinetic Equilibration much longer than Isotropization

even without plasma instabilities.

Gradient expansion vs. 2PI

- Linearized dynamics is determined by the analytical structure of the equilibrium propagator: cuts (off-diagonal) and poles (diagonal).
- For isotropization gradient expansion works well *unfortunately not from the earliest nonlinear part.*

Gradient expansion starts working from the time scale it is about to describe

Time scales:

- microscopical dynamics
- elastic scattering rate
- global particle number changing processes

 $\rightarrow \ prethermalization \\ \rightarrow \ isotropization \\ \rightarrow \ final \ equilibration$