

Generalized Geometry and Flux Compactifications

Part I by Paul Koerber

Max-Planck-Institut für Physik, Munich

Vienna, 10 October 2008

Contents

- Supersymmetry conditions for compactifications of type II supergravity
- Generalized geometry
- Generalized calibrations

Motivation

- Supersymmetric compactifications of type II supergravity
 - With **fluxes**: moduli stabilization
 - With four-dimensional flat **Minkowski** or **AdS₄** factor: uplifting to dS in later phase

Motivation

- Supersymmetric compactifications of type II supergravity
 - With **fluxes**: moduli stabilization
 - With four-dimensional flat **Minkowski** or **AdS₄** factor: uplifting to dS in later phase
- Why supersymmetric?

Motivation

- Supersymmetric compactifications of type II supergravity
 - With **fluxes**: moduli stabilization
 - With four-dimensional flat **Minkowski** or **AdS₄** factor: uplifting to dS in later phase
- Why supersymmetric?
 - Supersymmetry conditions: easier to solve than EOM
 - Supersymmetry conditions and Bianchi's form fields imply all EOM
IIA: *Lüst, Tsimpis*, IIB: *Gauntlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram*
With sources: *PK, Tsimpis*

Motivation

- Supersymmetric compactifications of type II supergravity
 - With **fluxes**: moduli stabilization
 - With four-dimensional flat **Minkowski** or **AdS₄** factor: uplifting to dS in later phase
- Why supersymmetric?
 - Supersymmetry conditions: easier to solve than EOM
 - Supersymmetry conditions and Bianchi's form fields imply all EOM
IIA: *Lüst, Tsimpis*, IIB: *Gauntlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram*
With sources: *PK, Tsimpis*
 - Break supersymmetry at low energy (for e.g. hierarchy problem)
See part II for GG and susy breaking

Motivation

- Supersymmetric compactifications of type II supergravity
 - With **fluxes**: moduli stabilization
 - With four-dimensional flat **Minkowski** or **AdS₄** factor: uplifting to dS in later phase
- Why supersymmetric?
 - Supersymmetry conditions: easier to solve than EOM
 - Supersymmetry conditions and Bianchi's form fields imply all EOM
IIA: *Lüst, Tsimpis*, IIB: *Gauntlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram*
With sources: *PK, Tsimpis*
 - Break supersymmetry at low energy (for e.g. hierarchy problem)
See part II for GG and susy breaking
- Relation between susy conditions of type II in the presence of fluxes and GG

Motivation

- Supersymmetric compactifications of type II supergravity
 - With **fluxes**: moduli stabilization
 - With four-dimensional flat **Minkowski** or **AdS₄** factor: uplifting to dS in later phase
- Why supersymmetric?
 - Supersymmetry conditions: easier to solve than EOM
 - Supersymmetry conditions and Bianchi's form fields imply all EOM
 IIA: *Lüst, Tsimpis*, IIB: *Gauntlett, Martelli, Sparks, Waldram*
 With sources: *PK, Tsimpis*
 - Break supersymmetry at low energy (for e.g. hierarchy problem)
 See part II for GG and susy breaking
- Relation between susy conditions of type II in the presence of fluxes and GG
- Applications to AdS/CFT:
 find new susy solutions of supergravity
 \implies geometric dual of CFT

Compactification ansatz I

- Metric:

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(y)} g_{(4)\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu dx^\nu + g_{mn}(y) dy^m dy^n ,$$

with $g_{(4)}$ flat Minkowski or AdS_4 metric, A warp factor

Compactification ansatz I

- Metric:

$$ds^2 = e^{2A(y)} g_{(4)\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu dx^\nu + g_{mn}(y) dy^m dy^n ,$$

with $g_{(4)}$ flat Minkowski or AdS_4 metric, A warp factor

- RR-fluxes:

- **Democratic formalism**: double fields, impose **duality** condition
- Combine forms into one **polyform**

$$F_{\text{tot}} = \sum_l F_{(l)} = F + e^{4A} \text{vol}_4 \wedge F_{\text{el}} , \quad (F_{\text{el}} = \star_6 \sigma(F))$$

with l **even/odd** in type IIA/IIB

Compactification ansatz II

- $N = 1$ ansatz for susy generators:

$$\epsilon^1 = \zeta_+ \otimes \eta_+^{(1)} + \zeta_- \otimes \eta_-^{(1)} ,$$

$$\epsilon^2 = \zeta_+ \otimes \eta_{\mp}^{(2)} + \zeta_- \otimes \eta_{\pm}^{(2)} ,$$

ζ : 4d spinor characterizes preserved susy
 $\eta^{(1,2)}$: fixed 6d-spinor, property background

Compactification ansatz II

- $\eta^{(1,2)}$: **fixed** 6d-spinor, **property** background
- Define polyforms

$$\Psi_{\pm} = -\frac{8i}{\|\eta^{(1)}\|^2} \eta_{+}^{(1)} \otimes \eta_{\pm}^{(2)\dagger}$$

Compactification ansatz II

- $\eta^{(1,2)}$: **fixed** 6d-spinor, **property** background
- Define polyforms

$$\Psi_{\pm} = -\frac{8i}{\|\eta^{(1)}\|^2} \eta_{+}^{(1)} \otimes \eta_{\pm}^{(2)\dagger}$$

Fierzing, we find:

$$\Psi_{\pm} = -\frac{i}{\|\eta^{(1)}\|^2} \sum_l \frac{1}{l!} \eta_{\pm}^{(2)\dagger} \gamma_{i_1 \dots i_l} \eta_{+}^{(1)} dx^{i_l} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_1}$$

Compactification ansatz II

- $\eta^{(1,2)}$: **fixed** 6d-spinor, **property** background
- Define polyforms

$$\Psi_{\pm} = -\frac{8i}{\|\eta^{(1)}\|^2} \eta_{+}^{(1)} \otimes \eta_{\pm}^{(2)\dagger}$$

- Clifford map between **polyforms** and **operators on spinors**
- **Not every** polyform \iff spinor bilinear, only **pure spinors**

Compactification ansatz II

- $\eta^{(1,2)}$: **fixed** 6d-spinor, **property** background
- Define polyforms

$$\Psi_{\pm} = -\frac{8i}{\|\eta^{(1)}\|^2} \eta_{+}^{(1)} \otimes \eta_{\pm}^{(2)\dagger}$$

- Clifford map between **polyforms** and **operators on spinors**
- **Not every** polyform \iff spinor bilinear, only **pure spinors**
- Special case **SU(3)**-structure: $\eta^{(2)} = c\eta^{(1)}$

$$\Rightarrow \Psi_{+} = -ic^{-1}e^{iJ}, \quad \Psi_{-} = \Omega$$

J two-form, Ω holomorphic three-form

Background susy conditions

Graña, Minasian, Petrini, Tomasiello

- Susy conditions type II sugra:

Gravitino's

$$\delta\psi_M^1 = \left(\nabla_M + \frac{1}{4} \not{H}_M \right) \epsilon^1 + \frac{1}{16} e^\Phi \not{F}_{\text{tot}} \Gamma_M \Gamma_{(10)} \epsilon^2 = 0$$

$$\delta\psi_M^2 = \left(\nabla_M - \frac{1}{4} \not{H}_M \right) \epsilon^2 - \frac{1}{16} e^\Phi \sigma(\not{F}_{\text{tot}}) \Gamma_M \Gamma_{(10)} \epsilon^1 = 0$$

Dilatino's

$$\delta\lambda^1 = \left(\not{\partial}\Phi + \frac{1}{2} \not{H} \right) \epsilon^1 + \frac{1}{16} e^\Phi \Gamma^M \not{F}_{\text{tot}} \Gamma_M \Gamma_{(10)} \epsilon^2 = 0$$

$$\delta\lambda^2 = \left(\not{\partial}\Phi - \frac{1}{2} \not{H} \right) \epsilon^2 - \frac{1}{16} e^\Phi \Gamma^M \sigma(\not{F}_{\text{tot}}) \Gamma_M \Gamma_{(10)} \epsilon^1 = 0$$

Background susy conditions

Graña, Minasian, Petrini, Tomasiello

- Susy conditions type II sugra:

Gravitino's

$$\delta\psi_M^1 = \left(\nabla_M + \frac{1}{4} \not{H}_M \right) \epsilon^1 + \frac{1}{16} e^\Phi \not{F}_{\text{tot}} \Gamma_M \Gamma_{(10)} \epsilon^2 = 0$$

$$\delta\psi_M^2 = \left(\nabla_M - \frac{1}{4} \not{H}_M \right) \epsilon^2 - \frac{1}{16} e^\Phi \sigma(\not{F}_{\text{tot}}) \Gamma_M \Gamma_{(10)} \epsilon^1 = 0$$

Dilatino's

$$\delta\lambda^1 = \left(\not{\partial}\Phi + \frac{1}{2} \not{H} \right) \epsilon^1 + \frac{1}{16} e^\Phi \Gamma^M \not{F}_{\text{tot}} \Gamma_M \Gamma_{(10)} \epsilon^2 = 0$$

$$\delta\lambda^2 = \left(\not{\partial}\Phi - \frac{1}{2} \not{H} \right) \epsilon^2 - \frac{1}{16} e^\Phi \Gamma^M \sigma(\not{F}_{\text{tot}}) \Gamma_M \Gamma_{(10)} \epsilon^1 = 0$$

\implies can be concisely rewritten as ...

Background susy conditions

Graña, Minasian, Petrini, Tomasiello

- Susy equations in polyform notation:

$$d_H(e^{4A-\Phi}\text{Re}\Psi_1) = e^{4A}F_{\text{el}},$$

$$d_H(e^{3A-\Phi}\Psi_2) = 0,$$

$$d_H(e^{2A-\Phi}\text{Im}\Psi_1) = 0,$$

for Minkowski.

- F_{el} : external part polyform RR-fluxes, Φ : dilaton, A : warp factor, H NSNS 3-form, $d_H = d + H \wedge$
- $\Psi_1 = \Psi_{\mp}$, $\Psi_2 = \Psi_{\pm}$ for IIA/IIB

Background susy conditions

Graña, Minasian, Petrini, Tomasiello

- Susy equations in polyform notation:

$$d_H(e^{4A-\Phi}\text{Re}\Psi_1) = (3/R)e^{3A-\Phi}\text{Re}(e^{i\theta}\Psi_2) + e^{4A}F_{\text{el}},$$

$$d_H(e^{3A-\Phi}\Psi_2) = (2/R)i e^{2A-\Phi}e^{-i\theta}\text{Im}\Psi_1,$$

$$d_H(e^{2A-\Phi}\text{Im}\Psi_1) = 0,$$

for AdS: $\nabla_\mu \zeta_- = \pm \frac{e^{-i\theta}}{2R} \gamma_\mu \zeta_+$.

- F_{el} : external part polyform RR-fluxes, Φ : dilaton, A : warp factor, H NSNS 3-form, $d_H = d + H \wedge$
- $\Psi_1 = \Psi_{\mp}$, $\Psi_2 = \Psi_{\pm}$ for IIA/IIB

Finding solutions

- Susy equations supplemented with Bianchi's:

$$d_H F = -j,$$

where j represents sources

Finding solutions

- Susy equations supplemented with Bianchi's:

$$d_H F = -j,$$

where j represents sources

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Susy conditions} \\ \text{Bianchi with sources} \\ \text{Sources = gen. cal. cycles} \end{array} \right. \implies \begin{array}{l} \text{Einstein equations with sources} \\ \text{dilaton EOM with sources} \\ \text{EOM fluxes} \end{array}$$

PK, Tsimpis

Finding solutions

- Susy equations supplemented with Bianchi's:

$$d_H F = -j,$$

where j represents sources

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Susy conditions} \\ \text{Bianchi with sources} \\ \text{Sources = gen. cal. cycles} \end{array} \right. \implies \begin{array}{l} \text{Einstein equations with sources} \\ \text{dilaton EOM with sources} \\ \text{EOM fluxes} \end{array}$$

PK, Tsimpis

- For Minkowski compactifications:
Sources **negative** tension (orientifolds) necessary *Maldacena, Núñez*
For AdS_4 : solutions without sources possible

Finding solutions

- Susy equations supplemented with Bianchi's:

$$d_H F = -j,$$

where j represents sources

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Susy conditions} \\ \text{Bianchi with sources} \\ \text{Sources = gen. cal. cycles} \end{array} \right. \implies \begin{array}{l} \text{Einstein equations with sources} \\ \text{dilaton EOM with sources} \\ \text{EOM fluxes} \end{array}$$

PK, Tsimpis

- For Minkowski compactifications:
Sources **negative** tension (orientifolds) necessary *Maldacena, Núñez*
For AdS₄: solutions without sources possible
- New Minkowski solutions on nilmanifolds/solvmanifolds:
Graña, Minasian, Petrini, Tomasiello; Andriot
- New AdS₄ solutions on twistor bundles/coset manifolds:
Tomasiello; PK, Lüst, Tsimpis

Classification of structures

$N = 1$ ansatz susy generators:

$$\epsilon^1 = \zeta_+ \otimes \eta_+^{(1)} + \zeta_- \otimes \eta_-^{(1)}$$

$$\epsilon^2 = \zeta_+ \otimes \eta_{\mp}^{(2)} + \zeta_- \otimes \eta_{\pm}^{(2)}$$

Relation $\eta^{(1)}$ and $\eta^{(2)}$: $\eta_+^{(2)} = c\eta_+^{(1)} + W^i \gamma_i \eta_-^{(1)}$

Classification of structures

$N = 1$ ansatz susy generators:

$$\epsilon^1 = \zeta_+ \otimes \eta_+^{(1)} + \zeta_- \otimes \eta_-^{(1)}$$

$$\epsilon^2 = \zeta_+ \otimes \eta_{\mp}^{(2)} + \zeta_- \otimes \eta_{\pm}^{(2)}$$

Relation $\eta^{(1)}$ and $\eta^{(2)}$: $\eta_+^{(2)} = c\eta_+^{(1)} + W^i \gamma_i \eta_-^{(1)}$

- Strict SU(3)-structure: $c \neq 0, W = 0$ everywhere

$$\Rightarrow \Psi_+ = -ic^{-1}e^{iJ}, \quad \Psi_- = \Omega$$

J two-form, Ω holomorphic three-form **Type: (0,3)**

Classification of structures

$N = 1$ ansatz susy generators:

$$\epsilon^1 = \zeta_+ \otimes \eta_+^{(1)} + \zeta_- \otimes \eta_-^{(1)}$$

$$\epsilon^2 = \zeta_+ \otimes \eta_{\mp}^{(2)} + \zeta_- \otimes \eta_{\pm}^{(2)}$$

Relation $\eta^{(1)}$ and $\eta^{(2)}$: $\eta_+^{(2)} = c\eta_+^{(1)} + W^i \gamma_i \eta_-^{(1)}$

- Strict SU(3)-structure: $c \neq 0, W = 0$ everywhere

$$\Rightarrow \Psi_+ = -ic^{-1}e^{iJ}, \quad \Psi_- = \Omega$$

J two-form, Ω holomorphic three-form Type: (0,3)

- Static SU(2)-structure: $W \neq 0, c = 0$ everywhere Type: (2,1)

Classification of structures

$N = 1$ ansatz susy generators:

$$\epsilon^1 = \zeta_+ \otimes \eta_+^{(1)} + \zeta_- \otimes \eta_-^{(1)}$$

$$\epsilon^2 = \zeta_+ \otimes \eta_{\mp}^{(2)} + \zeta_- \otimes \eta_{\pm}^{(2)}$$

Relation $\eta^{(1)}$ and $\eta^{(2)}$: $\eta_+^{(2)} = c\eta_+^{(1)} + W^i \gamma_i \eta_-^{(1)}$

- Strict SU(3)-structure: $c \neq 0, W = 0$ everywhere

$$\Rightarrow \Psi_+ = -ic^{-1}e^{iJ}, \quad \Psi_- = \Omega$$

J two-form, Ω holomorphic three-form Type: (0,3)

- Static SU(2)-structure: $W \neq 0, c = 0$ everywhere Type: (2,1)

- Intermediate SU(2)-structure: $W \neq 0, c \neq 0$
 $|W|^2, |c|^2$ constant, fixed angle Type: (0,1)

Classification of structures

$N = 1$ ansatz susy generators:

$$\epsilon^1 = \zeta_+ \otimes \eta_+^{(1)} + \zeta_- \otimes \eta_-^{(1)}$$

$$\epsilon^2 = \zeta_+ \otimes \eta_{\mp}^{(2)} + \zeta_- \otimes \eta_{\pm}^{(2)}$$

Relation $\eta^{(1)}$ and $\eta^{(2)}$: $\eta_+^{(2)} = c\eta_+^{(1)} + W^i \gamma_i \eta_-^{(1)}$

- Strict SU(3)-structure: $c \neq 0, W = 0$ everywhere

$$\Rightarrow \Psi_+ = -ic^{-1}e^{iJ}, \quad \Psi_- = \Omega$$

J two-form, Ω holomorphic three-form Type: (0,3)

- Static SU(2)-structure: $W \neq 0, c = 0$ everywhere Type: (2,1)

- Intermediate SU(2)-structure: $W \neq 0, c \neq 0$
 $|W|^2, |c|^2$ constant, fixed angle Type: (0,1)

- Dynamic SU(3) \times SU(3)-structure: type may change

Generalized geometry

Hitchin; Gualtieri

- Interpretation of Ψ_{\pm} in generalized geometry

Generalized geometry

Hitchin; Gualtieri

- Interpretation of Ψ_{\pm} in generalized geometry
- **Generalized** geometry is based on: $TM \oplus T^*M$

Generalized geometry

Hitchin; Gualtieri

- Interpretation of Ψ_{\pm} in generalized geometry
- **Generalized** geometry is based on: $TM \oplus T^*M$
- Comes with **natural metric**:

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) = \frac{1}{2}(\eta(X) + \xi(Y))$$

for $\mathbb{X} = (X, \xi), \mathbb{Y} = (Y, \eta) \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M)$
 \implies signature (6,6) \implies **SO(6,6)-structure**

Spinors of Spin(6,6)

- Action of generalized tangent bundle on polyforms:

$$\mathbb{X} \cdot \Psi = \iota_X \Psi + \xi \wedge \Psi,$$

for $\mathbb{X} = (X, \xi)$

Spinors of Spin(6,6)

- Action of generalized tangent bundle on polyforms:

$$\mathbb{X} \cdot \Psi = \iota_X \Psi + \xi \wedge \Psi,$$

for $\mathbb{X} = (X, \xi)$

- Clifford algebra:

$$(\mathbb{X} \cdot \mathbb{Y} + \mathbb{Y} \cdot \mathbb{X}) \cdot \Psi = 2\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) \Psi$$

\implies polyforms are **spinors of Spin(6,6)**

Spinors of Spin(6,6)

- Action of generalized tangent bundle on polyforms:

$$\mathbb{X} \cdot \Psi = \iota_X \Psi + \xi \wedge \Psi,$$

for $\mathbb{X} = (X, \xi)$

- Clifford algebra:

$$(\mathbb{X} \cdot \mathbb{Y} + \mathbb{Y} \cdot \mathbb{X}) \cdot \Psi = 2\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) \Psi$$

\implies polyforms are **spinors of Spin(6,6)** (well, almost)

Spinors of Spin(6,6)

- Action of generalized tangent bundle on polyforms:

$$\mathbb{X} \cdot \Psi = \iota_X \Psi + \xi \wedge \Psi,$$

for $\mathbb{X} = (X, \xi)$

- Clifford algebra:

$$(\mathbb{X} \cdot \mathbb{Y} + \mathbb{Y} \cdot \mathbb{X}) \cdot \Psi = 2\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) \Psi$$

\implies polyforms are **spinors of Spin(6,6)** (well, almost)

- Spinor bilinear: Mukai pairing

$$\phi_1^T C \phi_2 = \langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle = \phi_1 \wedge \sigma(\phi_2)|_{\text{top}}$$

Pure spinors

- Null space of polyform:

$$N_\Psi = \{\mathbb{X} \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) : \mathbb{X} \cdot \Psi = 0\}$$

\implies **isotropic**: $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) = 0$ for all $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} \in N_\Psi$

Pure spinors

- Null space of polyform:

$$N_\Psi = \{\mathbb{X} \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) : \mathbb{X} \cdot \Psi = 0\}$$

\implies **isotropic**: $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) = 0$ for all $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} \in N_\Psi$

- **Pure spinor** \iff null space maximal

Pure spinors

- Null space of polyform:

$$N_\Psi = \{\mathbb{X} \in \Gamma(TM \oplus T^*M) : \mathbb{X} \cdot \Psi = 0\}$$

\implies **isotropic**: $\mathcal{I}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}) = 0$ for all $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} \in N_\Psi$

- **Pure spinor** \iff null space maximal
- **Pure spinor** \iff Spin(6)-spinor bilinear

Generalized almost complex structure

- Generalized almost complex structure

$$\mathcal{J} : TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow TM \oplus T^*M$$

so that

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = -\mathbb{1}$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{J}\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{J}\mathbb{Y}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$$

Generalized almost complex structure

- Generalized almost complex structure

$$\mathcal{J} : TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow TM \oplus T^*M$$

so that

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = -\mathbb{1}$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{J}\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{J}\mathbb{Y}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$$

- Defines $\pm i$ eigenbundles

$$L_{\pm} \subset (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

Generalized almost complex structure

- Generalized almost complex structure

$$\mathcal{J} : TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow TM \oplus T^*M$$

so that

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = -\mathbb{1}$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{J}\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{J}\mathbb{Y}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$$

- Defines $\pm i$ eigenbundles

$$L_{\pm} \subset (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

\Rightarrow isotropic

Generalized almost complex structure

- Generalized almost complex structure

$$\mathcal{J} : TM \oplus T^*M \rightarrow TM \oplus T^*M$$

so that

$$\mathcal{J}^2 = -\mathbb{1}$$

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{J}\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{J}\mathbb{Y}) = \mathcal{I}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y})$$

- Defines $\pm i$ eigenbundles

$$L_{\pm} \subset (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

\Rightarrow isotropic

- Almost complex structure & symplectic structure examples

$$\mathcal{J}_J = \begin{pmatrix} J & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -J^T \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{J}_{\omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \omega^{-1} \\ -\omega & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

Generalized complex structure

- Generalized complex structure **integrable** if L_+ involutive: $[L_+, L_+]_H \subset L_+$
where the **H -twisted Courant bracket**:

$$[X + \xi, Y + \eta]_H = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \eta - \mathcal{L}_Y \xi - \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_X \eta - \iota_Y \xi) + \iota_X \iota_Y H$$

Generalized complex structure

- Generalized complex structure **integrable** if L_+ involutive: $[L_+, L_+]_H \subset L_+$
where the **H -twisted Courant bracket**:

$$[X + \xi, Y + \eta]_H = [X, Y] + \mathcal{L}_X \eta - \mathcal{L}_Y \xi - \frac{1}{2} d(\iota_X \eta - \iota_Y \xi) + \iota_X \iota_Y H$$

- Properties Courant bracket:
 - Projects nicely to Lie bracket

$$\pi([X, Y]_H) = [\pi(X), \pi(Y)]$$

- Under B -transform (off-diagonal part of $SO(6,6)$)

$$e^B(X + \xi) = X + (\xi + \iota_X B)$$

it transforms covariantly:

$$[e^B X, e^B Y]_{H+dB} = e^B [X, Y]_H$$

Relation pure spinor and generalized almost complex structure

- $\Psi \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{J}$ iff $N_\Psi = L_{\mathcal{J}+}$

Relation pure spinor and generalized almost complex structure

- $\Psi \iff \mathcal{J}$ iff $N_\Psi = L_{\mathcal{J}+}$
- Integrability:

$$\mathcal{J} \text{ is } H\text{-integrable} \iff d_H \Psi = \mathbb{X} \cdot \Psi$$

Relation pure spinor and generalized almost complex structure

- $\Psi \iff \mathcal{J}$ iff $N_\Psi = L_{\mathcal{J}+}$
- Integrability:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{J} \text{ is } H\text{-integrable} & \iff d_H \Psi = \mathbb{X} \cdot \Psi \\ \mathcal{J} \text{ is } H\text{-twisted gen. CY} & \iff d_H \Psi = 0 \end{array}$$

Relation pure spinor and generalized almost complex structure

- $\Psi \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{J}$ iff $N_\Psi = L_{\mathcal{J}+}$
- Integrability:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} \text{ is } H\text{-integrable} &\iff d_H \Psi = \mathbb{X} \cdot \Psi \\ \mathcal{J} \text{ is } H\text{-twisted gen. CY} &\iff d_H \Psi = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- B -transform:

$$\mathbb{X} \longleftrightarrow e^{-B} \mathbb{X} \implies [\cdot, \cdot]_H \longleftrightarrow [\cdot, \cdot]$$

corresponds to

$$\Psi \longleftrightarrow e^B \Psi \implies d_H \longleftrightarrow d$$

\implies we can choose to work with H or B

Susy conditions revisited

- **Pure** spinors Ψ_1, Ψ_2
satisfying compatibility relation $\langle \Psi_2, \mathbb{X} \cdot \text{Re} \Psi_1 \rangle = 0$
- Corresponds to: $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ satisfying compatibility relation:
 $[\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2] = 0$

Susy conditions revisited

- **Pure** spinors Ψ_1, Ψ_2
satisfying compatibility relation $\langle \Psi_2, \mathbb{X} \cdot \text{Re} \Psi_1 \rangle = 0$
- Corresponds to: $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ satisfying compatibility relation:
 $[\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2] = 0$
- **SO(6,6)** structure reduces to **SU(3) × SU(3)**

Susy conditions revisited

- **Pure** spinors Ψ_1, Ψ_2
satisfying compatibility relation $\langle \Psi_2, \mathbb{X} \cdot \text{Re} \Psi_1 \rangle = 0$
- Corresponds to: $\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ satisfying compatibility relation:
 $[\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2] = 0$
- **SO(6,6)** structure reduces to **SU(3) × SU(3)**
- Susy conditions

$$d_H(e^{4A-\Phi} \text{Re} \Psi_1) = e^{4A} F_{\text{el}}$$

$$d_H(e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi_2) = 0$$

$$d_H(e^{2A-\Phi} \text{Im} \Psi_1) = 0$$

Susy conditions revisited

- **Pure** spinors Ψ_1, Ψ_2
satisfying compatibility relation $\langle \Psi_2, \mathbb{X} \cdot \text{Re} \Psi_1 \rangle = 0$
- Corresponds to: $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2$ satisfying compatibility relation:
 $[\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2] = 0$
- **SO(6,6)** structure reduces to **SU(3) × SU(3)**
- Susy conditions

$$d_H(e^{4A-\Phi} \text{Re} \Psi_1) = e^{4A} F_{\text{el}}$$

$$d_H(e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi_2) = 0 \quad \mathcal{J}_2 \text{ integrable}$$

$$d_H(e^{2A-\Phi} \text{Im} \Psi_1) = 0$$

⇒ integrability \mathcal{J}_2 : allows to study deformations of D-branes
PK, Martucci

Susy conditions revisited

- **Pure** spinors Ψ_1, Ψ_2
satisfying compatibility relation $\langle \Psi_2, \mathbb{X} \cdot \text{Re} \Psi_1 \rangle = 0$
- Corresponds to: $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2$ satisfying compatibility relation:
 $[\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2] = 0$
- **SO(6,6)** structure reduces to **SU(3) × SU(3)**
- Susy conditions

$$d_H(e^{4A-\Phi} \text{Re} \Psi_1) = e^{4A} F_{el} \quad \mathcal{J}_1 \text{ not integrable}$$

$$d_H(e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi_2) = 0 \quad \mathcal{J}_2 \text{ integrable}$$

$$d_H(e^{2A-\Phi} \text{Im} \Psi_1) = 0$$

⇒ integrability \mathcal{J}_2 : allows to study deformations of D-branes
PK, Martucci

Susy conditions revisited

- **Pure** spinors Ψ_1, Ψ_2
satisfying compatibility relation $\langle \Psi_2, \mathbb{X} \cdot \text{Re} \Psi_1 \rangle = 0$
- Corresponds to: $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2$ satisfying compatibility relation:
 $[\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2] = 0$
- **SO(6,6)** structure reduces to **SU(3) × SU(3)**
- Susy conditions

$$d_H(e^{4A-\Phi} \text{Re} \Psi_1) = e^{4A} F_{el} \quad \mathcal{J}_1 \text{ not integrable}$$

$$d_H(e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi_2) = 0 \quad \mathcal{J}_2 \text{ integrable}$$

$$d_H(e^{2A-\Phi} \text{Im} \Psi_1) = 0$$

⇒ integrability \mathcal{J}_2 : allows to study deformations of D-branes

PK, Martucci

⇒ **exceptional** generalized geometry *Hull; Waldram, Pacheo*

Calibrations I

Calibrations:

- A way to find **minimal volume** submanifolds in a curved space
- Second-order equations \Rightarrow first-order equations
- Analogous to self-duality solves Yang-Mills equations
- Or more generally BPS equations solve equations of motion

Generalized calibrations:

- Submanifold $\Sigma \implies$ D-brane (Σ, \mathcal{F})
- D-brane wrapping generalized calibrated cycle \iff **susy**

Calibrations I

Calibrations:

- A way to find **minimal volume** submanifolds in a curved space
- Second-order equations \Rightarrow first-order equations
- Analogous to self-duality solves Yang-Mills equations
- Or more generally BPS equations solve equations of motion

Generalized calibrations:

- Submanifold $\Sigma \implies$ D-brane (Σ, \mathcal{F})
- D-brane wrapping generalized calibrated cycle \iff **susy**
- In fact: extend self-duality YM to higher dimensions, combine with calibrations

Calibrations II

Calibration form ϕ :

- $d\phi = 0$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p} \geq \phi|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
for every subspace T_p of tangent space at point p
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrations II

Calibration form ϕ :

- $d\phi = 0$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p} \geq \phi|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
for every subspace T_p of tangent space at point p
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrated submanifold Σ :

- Saturates bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p\Sigma} = \phi|_{T_p\Sigma}$ (3)

Calibrations II

Calibration form ϕ :

- $d\phi = 0$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p} \geq \phi|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
for every subspace T_p of tangent space at point p
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrated submanifold Σ :

- Saturates bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p\Sigma} = \phi|_{T_p\Sigma}$ (3)

For $\partial\mathcal{B} = \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_1$

Calibrations II

Calibration form ϕ :

- $d\phi = 0$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p} \geq \phi|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
for every subspace T_p of tangent space at point p
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrated submanifold Σ :

- Saturates bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p\Sigma} = \phi|_{T_p\Sigma}$ (3)

For $\partial\mathcal{B} = \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_1$

$$\text{Vol}(\Sigma_2) = \int_{\Sigma_2} \sqrt{g}$$

Calibrations II

Calibration form ϕ :

- $d\phi = 0$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p} \geq \phi|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
for every subspace T_p of tangent space at point p
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrated submanifold Σ :

- Saturates bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p\Sigma} = \phi|_{T_p\Sigma}$ (3)

For $\partial\mathcal{B} = \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_1$

$$\text{Vol}(\Sigma_2) = \int_{\Sigma_2} \sqrt{g} \stackrel{(2)}{\geq} \int_{\Sigma_2} \phi$$

Calibrations II

Calibration form ϕ :

- $d\phi = 0$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p} \geq \phi|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
for every subspace T_p of tangent space at point p
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrated submanifold Σ :

- Saturates bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p\Sigma} = \phi|_{T_p\Sigma}$ (3)

For $\partial\mathcal{B} = \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_1$

$$\text{Vol}(\Sigma_2) = \int_{\Sigma_2} \sqrt{g} \stackrel{(2)}{\geq} \int_{\Sigma_2} \phi \stackrel{(1)}{=} \int_{\Sigma_1} \phi \stackrel{(3)}{=} \int_{\Sigma_1} \sqrt{g} = \text{Vol}(\Sigma_1)$$

Calibrations II

Calibration form ϕ :

- $d\phi = 0$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p} \geq \phi|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
for every subspace T_p of tangent space at point p
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrated submanifold Σ :

- Saturates bound: $\sqrt{g}|_{T_p\Sigma} = \phi|_{T_p\Sigma}$ (3)

For $\partial\mathcal{B} = \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_1$

$$\text{Vol}(\Sigma_2) = \int_{\Sigma_2} \sqrt{g} \stackrel{(2)}{\geq} \int_{\Sigma_2} \phi \stackrel{(1)}{=} \int_{\Sigma_1} \phi \stackrel{(3)}{=} \int_{\Sigma_1} \sqrt{g} = \text{Vol}(\Sigma_1)$$

Calibration forms from invariant spinors: e.g. $\Omega, \frac{1}{k!} J^k$ in CY

Generalized calibrations

PK; Martucci, Smyth

We have:

- bulk fluxes H and F
- \mathcal{F} on the D-brane, where $\mathcal{F} = B + 2\pi\alpha' F_{\text{WV}}$ such that $d\mathcal{F} = H$

Generalized calibrations

PK; Martucci, Smyth

Calibration **poly**form ϕ (or $\omega = \phi - C_{\text{el}}$):

- $d_H \phi = F_{\text{el}}$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p} \geq \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Generalized calibrations

PK; Martucci, Smyth

Calibration **poly**form ϕ (or $\omega = \phi - C_{\text{el}}$):

- $d_H \phi = F_{\text{el}}$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p} \geq \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Papadopoulos and Gutowski

Generalized calibrations

PK; Martucci, Smyth

Calibration **poly**form ϕ (or $\omega = \phi - C_{\text{el}}$):

- $d_H \phi = F_{\text{el}}$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p} \geq \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Generalized geometry

Papadopoulos and Gutowski

Generalized calibrations

PK; Martucci, Smyth

Calibration **polyform** ϕ (or $\omega = \phi - C_{\text{el}}$):

- $d_H \phi = F_{\text{el}}$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p} \geq \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrated D-brane (Σ, \mathcal{F}) :

- Saturates bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p \Sigma} = \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p \Sigma}$

Generalized calibrations

PK; Martucci, Smyth

Calibration **polyform** ϕ (or $\omega = \phi - C_{\text{el}}$):

- $d_H \phi = F_{\text{el}}$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p} \geq \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrated D-brane (Σ, \mathcal{F}) :

- Saturates bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p \Sigma} = \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p \Sigma}$
For $\partial_H(\mathcal{B}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = (\Sigma_2, \mathcal{F}_2) - (\Sigma_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$

Generalized calibrations

PK; Martucci, Smyth

Calibration **polyform** ϕ (or $\omega = \phi - C_{\text{el}}$):

- $d_H \phi = F_{\text{el}}$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p} \geq \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrated D-brane (Σ, \mathcal{F}) :

- Saturates bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p \Sigma} = \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p \Sigma}$
For $\partial_H(\mathcal{B}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = (\Sigma_2, \mathcal{F}_2) - (\Sigma_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$

$$\mathcal{V}(\Sigma_2, \mathcal{F}_2) = \int_{\Sigma_2} e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}_2} - C_{\text{el}}$$

Generalized calibrations

PK; Martucci, Smyth

Calibration **polyform** ϕ (or $\omega = \phi - C_{\text{el}}$):

- $d_H \phi = F_{\text{el}}$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p} \geq \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrated D-brane (Σ, \mathcal{F}) :

- Saturates bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p \Sigma} = \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p \Sigma}$

For $\partial_H(\mathcal{B}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = (\Sigma_2, \mathcal{F}_2) - (\Sigma_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$

$$\mathcal{V}(\Sigma_2, \mathcal{F}_2) = \int_{\Sigma_2} e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}_2 - C_{\text{el}}} \stackrel{(2)}{\geq} \int_{\Sigma_2} (\phi - C_{\text{el}}) e^{\mathcal{F}_2}$$

Generalized calibrations

PK; Martucci, Smyth

Calibration **polyform** ϕ (or $\omega = \phi - C_{\text{el}}$):

- $d_H \phi = F_{\text{el}}$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p} \geq \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrated D-brane (Σ, \mathcal{F}) :

- Saturates bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p \Sigma} = \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p \Sigma}$

For $\partial_H(\mathcal{B}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = (\Sigma_2, \mathcal{F}_2) - (\Sigma_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(\Sigma_2, \mathcal{F}_2) &= \int_{\Sigma_2} e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}_2 - C_{\text{el}}} \stackrel{(2)}{\geq} \int_{\Sigma_2} (\phi - C_{\text{el}}) e^{\mathcal{F}_2} \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \int_{\Sigma_1} (\phi - C_{\text{el}}) e^{\mathcal{F}_1} \stackrel{(3)}{=} \mathcal{V}(\Sigma_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \end{aligned}$$

Generalized calibrations

PK; Martucci, Smyth

Calibration **polyform** ϕ (or $\omega = \phi - C_{\text{el}}$):

- $d_H \phi = F_{\text{el}}$ (1) (differential property)
- Bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p} \geq \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p}$ (2) (algebraic property)
bound must be such that it can be saturated

Calibrated D-brane (Σ, \mathcal{F}) :

- Saturates bound: $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{T_p \Sigma} = \phi e^{\mathcal{F}}|_{T_p \Sigma}$

For $\partial_H(\mathcal{B}, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = (\Sigma_2, \mathcal{F}_2) - (\Sigma_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(\Sigma_2, \mathcal{F}_2) &= \int_{\Sigma_2} e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}_2} - C_{\text{el}} \stackrel{(2)}{\geq} \int_{\Sigma_2} (\phi - C_{\text{el}}) e^{\mathcal{F}_2} \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \int_{\Sigma_1} (\phi - C_{\text{el}}) e^{\mathcal{F}_1} \stackrel{(3)}{=} \mathcal{V}(\Sigma_1, \mathcal{F}_1) \end{aligned}$$

- Corresponds to **supersymmetric** D-brane

Natural calibration forms

Martucci, Smyth

- Calibration forms are the polyforms:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{\text{sf}} &= e^{4A-\Phi} \text{Re} \Psi_1, \\ \omega_\phi^{\text{DW}} &= e^{3A-\Phi} \text{Re}(e^{i\phi} \Psi_2), \\ \omega^{\text{string}} &= e^{2A-\Phi} \text{Im} \Psi_1.\end{aligned}$$

- Differential property is provided by the bulk susy equations:

$$\begin{aligned}d_H(e^{4A-\Phi} \text{Re} \Psi_1) &= e^{4A} F_{\text{el}}, && \text{space-filling D-brane} \\ d_H(e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi_2) &= 0, && \text{domain wall} \\ d_H(e^{2A-\Phi} \text{Im} \Psi_1) &= 0, && \text{string-like D-brane}\end{aligned}$$

Natural calibration forms

Martucci, Smyth

- Calibration forms are the polyforms:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^{\text{sf}} &= e^{4A-\Phi} \text{Re} \Psi_1, \\ \omega_\phi^{\text{DW}} &= e^{3A-\Phi} \text{Re}(e^{i\phi} \Psi_2), \\ \omega^{\text{string}} &= e^{2A-\Phi} \text{Im} \Psi_1.\end{aligned}$$

- Differential property is provided by the bulk susy equations:

$$\begin{aligned}d_H(e^{4A-\Phi} \text{Re} \Psi_1) &= e^{4A} F_{\text{el}}, && \text{space-filling D-brane} \\ d_H(e^{3A-\Phi} \Psi_2) &= 0, && \text{domain wall} \\ d_H(e^{2A-\Phi} \text{Im} \Psi_1) &= 0, && \text{string-like D-brane}\end{aligned}$$

- Spoiled in the AdS case: interpretation *PK, Martucci*

Generalized current

- Definition: $j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})}$

$$\int_{\Sigma} \phi \wedge e^{\mathcal{F}} = \int_M \langle \phi, j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})} \rangle$$

Generalized current

- Definition: $j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})}$

$$\int_{\Sigma} \phi \wedge e^{\mathcal{F}} = \int_M \langle \phi, j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})} \rangle$$

- Roughly: $j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})} = \delta(\Sigma) \wedge e^{-\mathcal{F}}$

Generalized current

- Definition: $j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})}$

$$\int_{\Sigma} \phi \wedge e^{\mathcal{F}} = \int_M \langle \phi, j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})} \rangle$$

- Roughly: $j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})} = \delta(\Sigma) \wedge e^{-\mathcal{F}}$
- Appears in Bianchi's: $d_H F = -j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})}$

Generalized current

- Definition: $j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})}$

$$\int_{\Sigma} \phi \wedge e^{\mathcal{F}} = \int_M \langle \phi, j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})} \rangle$$

- Roughly: $j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})} = \delta(\Sigma) \wedge e^{-\mathcal{F}}$
- Appears in Bianchi's: $d_H F = -j_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})}$
- Real pure spinor: null space is generalized tangent bundle *Gualtieri*

$$T_{(\Sigma, \mathcal{F})} = \{X + \xi \in T_{\Sigma} \oplus T_M^*|_{\Sigma} : P_{\Sigma}[\xi] = \iota_X \mathcal{F}\}$$

D-flatness and F-flatness conditions

Focus on **space-filling** D-brane

Saturating bound consists of two parts

- $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{\Sigma} = e^{i\alpha} e^{4A - \Phi} \Psi_1|_{\Sigma} \wedge e^{\mathcal{F}}$

where $e^{i\alpha}$ varying phase

$\Rightarrow (\Sigma, \mathcal{F})$ is **generalized complex submanifold** with respect to \mathcal{J}_2

This becomes an **F-flatness** condition in the 4d-effective theory

D-flatness and F-flatness conditions

Focus on **space-filling** D-brane

Saturating bound consists of two parts

- $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{\Sigma} = e^{i\alpha} e^{4A - \Phi} \Psi_1|_{\Sigma} \wedge e^{\mathcal{F}}$

where $e^{i\alpha}$ varying phase

$\Rightarrow (\Sigma, \mathcal{F})$ is **generalized complex submanifold** with respect to \mathcal{J}_2

This becomes an **F-flatness** condition in the 4d-effective theory

Superpotential *Martucci*:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{brane}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}} e^{3A - \Phi} \Psi_2|_{\mathcal{B}} \wedge e^{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}, \quad \partial \mathcal{B} = \Sigma - \Sigma_0$$

D-flatness and F-flatness conditions

Focus on **space-filling** D-brane

Saturating bound consists of two parts

- $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{\Sigma} = e^{i\alpha} e^{4A - \Phi} \Psi_1|_{\Sigma} \wedge e^{\mathcal{F}}$

where $e^{i\alpha}$ varying phase

$\Rightarrow (\Sigma, \mathcal{F})$ is **generalized complex submanifold** with respect to \mathcal{J}_2

This becomes an **F-flatness** condition in the 4d-effective theory

Superpotential *Martucci*:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{brane}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}} e^{3A - \Phi} \Psi_2|_{\mathcal{B}} \wedge e^{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}, \quad \partial \mathcal{B} = \Sigma - \Sigma_0$$

- $\text{Im} \Psi_1|_{\Sigma} \wedge e^{\mathcal{F}} = 0$: analogous to the '**special**' in special lagrangian

This becomes a **D-flatness** condition in the 4d-effective theory

D-flatness and F-flatness conditions

Focus on **space-filling** D-brane

Saturating bound consists of two parts

- $e^{-\Phi} \sqrt{g + \mathcal{F}}|_{\Sigma} = e^{i\alpha} e^{4A - \Phi} \Psi_1|_{\Sigma} \wedge e^{\mathcal{F}}$

where $e^{i\alpha}$ varying phase

$\Rightarrow (\Sigma, \mathcal{F})$ is **generalized complex submanifold** with respect to \mathcal{J}_2

This becomes an **F-flatness** condition in the 4d-effective theory

Superpotential *Martucci*:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\text{brane}} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{B}} e^{3A - \Phi} \Psi_2|_{\mathcal{B}} \wedge e^{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}, \quad \partial \mathcal{B} = \Sigma - \Sigma_0$$

- $\text{Im} \Psi_1|_{\Sigma} \wedge e^{\mathcal{F}} = 0$: analogous to the '**special**' in special lagrangian
This becomes a **D-flatness** condition in the 4d-effective theory
- For interpretation bulk susy conditions as F- and D-flatness:
see part II

Conclusions

- Generalized geometry organizes supersymmetry conditions of type II with fluxes
- Susy conditions background \iff generalized calibrations of D-branes

Conclusions

- Generalized geometry organizes supersymmetry conditions of type II with fluxes
- Susy conditions background \iff generalized calibrations of D-branes
- Suga vacua beyond SU(3)-structure: group manifolds & coset manifolds
- No algebraic geometry, so far no easy way to produce examples

Conclusions

- Generalized geometry organizes supersymmetry conditions of type II with fluxes
- Susy conditions background \iff generalized calibrations of D-branes
- Suga vacua beyond SU(3)-structure: group manifolds & coset manifolds
- No algebraic geometry, so far no easy way to produce examples
- Part II: 4D effective theory, susy breaking

End of part I ... Part II by Martucci