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## Chapter 1

## Topology

If we are interested in global aspects of geometry then concepts like distances or even smoothness are not important. What we investige in topology is just a very basic set of structures that allow us to identify global data of a space, like the number of holes of a surface, but also local properties, like the dimension of a manifold. Similarly to the definition of a smooth manifold, whose differentiable structure will be fixed by a consistent declaration of which functions we call differentiable, we might define the topological structure of a set of points by a consistend choice of which (real) functions on that set are declared to be continuous.

A somewhat more suggestive approach is to start with what we call a neighborhood of a point. As we will see the standard definition of a topological space, which uses the concept of open sets, is closely related to this, but is slightly more efficient as it only requires us to declare what subsets of a space $X$ are open instead of declaring for each point $x \in X$ what subsets of $X$ we call neighorhoods of $x$.

After introducing basic concepts like compactness and connectedness we will find some data that are topological invariants, so that they help us to decide whether two topological spaces are isomorphic (i.e. 'essentially the same'). Such data often can be equipped with an algebraic structure, like a group or a ring; then we enter realm of algebraic topology. Next we will turn to our main interest, which is manifolds, and show how algebraic operations allow us to classify the topology of surfaces. In the last part we consider homology groups and their dimensions, the Betti numbers of a manifold.

The mathematical symbols that we use include ' $\wedge$ ' for the logical and, ' $A \backslash B$ ' for settheoretic complement of $B$ in $A$, and 'iff' for 'if and only if'.

### 1.1 Definitions

A metric on a set $X$ of points is a non-negative function $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(x, y)=d(y, x), \quad d(x, y)>0 \Leftrightarrow x \neq y, \quad d(x, y)+d(y, z) \geq d(x, z) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$d(x, y)$ is called the distance between $x$ and $y$. An (open) $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of a point $x \in X$ is the open ball $U_{\varepsilon}(x)=\{y \in X: d(x, y)<\varepsilon\}$ where $\varepsilon>0$ is a positive real number. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between two metric spaces $(X, d)$ and $\left(Y, d^{\prime}\right)$ is called continuous at the point $x \in X$ if there exists a positive number $\delta>0$ for each $\varepsilon>0$ such that $d^{\prime}(f(x), f(p))<\varepsilon$ for all $p \in X$ with $d(x, p)<\delta$. If $f$ is continuous at all points it is called continous.
A subset $\mathcal{O} \subset X$ of a metric space is called open if each of its points has an $\varepsilon$-neighborhood that is contained in $\mathcal{O}$, i.e. if for each $x \in \mathcal{O}$ there exists a positive number $\varepsilon$ with $U_{\varepsilon}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{O}$.
Examples: The discrete metric on a arbitrary set is $d(x, y)=1$ for $x \neq y$ and $d(x, x)=0$. For the $n$-sphere $S^{n}=\left\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}:|\vec{x}|=1\right\}$ we can consider the geodesic distance on $S^{n}$ or the distance induced by the embedding in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with its natural metric. $\mathbb{R}$ can be given a bounded metric by "pulling back" the metric from the circle $z=x+i y=e^{i \varphi}$ along the stereographic projection $y=\cot \frac{\varphi}{2}$.
Exercise 1: Show that a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between metric spaces is continuous iff the inverse image of every open set in $Y$ is an open set in $X$, where the inverse image of a set $U \subset Y$ is the set of points $x$ whose image $f(x)$ is in $U$.

The assertion of this exercise shows that the notion of continuity only depends on the system of open sets of a metric space and not of the actual distances between points. This fact can be taken as a motivation for the more abstract definition of a topological space, which waives the concept of a distance and only keeps the notion of open sets, whose collection we call topology: A family $\mathcal{T}$ of subsets of a set $X$ is called a topology on $X$ if it contains $X$ and the empty set, as well as finite intersections and arbitrary unions of elements of $\mathcal{T}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Top1: } \emptyset \in \mathcal{T}, X \in \mathcal{T}, \quad \text { Top2: } \mathcal{O}_{1} \cap \mathcal{O}_{2} \in \mathcal{T}, \quad \text { Top3: } \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathcal{O}_{i} \in \mathcal{T} \quad \forall \mathcal{O}_{i} \in \mathcal{T} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sets $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ are called open and their complements $\mathcal{A}_{i}=X \backslash \mathcal{O}_{i}$ are the closed sets of the topological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$.
Remarks: A set can be open and closed, like $\emptyset$ and $X$. Typically, however, most sets are neither open nor closed (like semi-open intervals in $\mathbb{R}$ ).
Note that finite unions and arbitrary intersections of closed sets are closed. We thus could have defined a topology using the "dual" axioms for closed sets and then defining the open sets as their complements. Sometimes proofs can be made much simpler by first dualizing the statement to an assertion for the complements of the relevant sets.

Examples: The power set $\mathcal{P}(X)$ and $\{\emptyset, X\}$ are called discrete and indiscrete topology, respectively. With every metric space there comes the natural topology, whose open sets are the unions of open balls. The natural topology on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is given by the unions of the open balls $U_{\varepsilon}(x)=\{y \in X: d(x, y)<\varepsilon\}$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$. (Unless stated differently we will assume that $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is equipped with the usual distance and the respective natural topology.)

Two topological spaces $\left(X_{1}, \mathcal{I}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, \mathcal{T}_{2}\right)$ are called homeomorphic iff there exists a bijection $f: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ that induces a bijective map $f: \mathcal{T}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{2}$ of the topologies. The map $f$ is called a homeomorphism. A map $g: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ is called continuous iff the sets $g^{-1}\left(\mathcal{O}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{T}$ are open in $(X, \mathcal{T})$ for all open sets $\mathcal{O}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}^{\prime}$. Equivalently, $g$ is continuous iff all inverse images of closed sets are closed. A bijective map $h$ is a homeomorphism iff it is bi-continuous, i.e. iff $h$ and $h^{-1}$ are both continuous.

For each subset $M \subseteq X$ we can define the induced topology, which is given by the set of intersections $\mathcal{O}_{i} \cap M$ with $\mathcal{O}_{i} \in \mathcal{T}$. For two topological spaces $\left(A, \mathcal{T}_{A}\right)$ and $\left(B, \mathcal{T}_{B}\right)$ we can define the product topology on $A \times B$ by declaring the products of open sets to be open. These products thus form a basis of the product topology, i.e. every open set is a union of finite intersections of the basic open sets. (In fact, every subset of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is a basis of the topology that it generates in the described way.) Similar definitions can be used to define the image or preimage topology with respect to some function if the domain or the image of the function is equipped with a topology, respectively.

The smallest closed subset of $X$ that contains $M \subset X$ is called the closure $\bar{M}$ of $M$ in $X$. The interior $M^{0}$ of $M$ is defined to be the largest open set contained in $M$. ( $\bar{M}$ and $M^{0}$ are given by the intersection/union of all closed/open sets containing/contained in $M$.) A subset $M \subset X$ is called dense in $X$ iff $X=\bar{M}$. The difference $\partial M:=\bar{M} \backslash M^{0}$ is called the boundary of $M$. A subset $\mathcal{U} \subset X$ is called a neighborhood of $x \in X$ iff $x$ is contained in an open subset of $\mathcal{U}$. Note that a subset $\mathcal{S} \subseteq X$ is open iff it is a neighborhood of all of it points. (Using this correspondence, topological spaces may equivalently be defined by a set of axioms for neighborhoods, with open sets being a derived concept.)

A family of subsets $M_{i} \subseteq X$ is called a covering of $X$ if $X=\bigcup M_{i}$. A topological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is called Hausdorff space iff any two points can be separated by neighborhoods (i.e. iff $\forall x \neq y \in X$ there exist $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{T}$ with $x \in \mathcal{U} \wedge y \in \mathcal{V} \wedge \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{V}=\emptyset)$. A subset $\mathcal{A} \subseteq X$ is called quasi-compact if each covering of $\mathcal{A}$ by open sets $\mathcal{O}_{i}$ contains a finite subcovering, it is called compact if it is quasi-compact and Hausdorff, and it is called locally compact if each point $x \in X$ has a compact neighborhood. More generally, any property of a topological space with the adjective 'locally' will mean that each point has a basis of neighorhoods with that property.

Example: Let $A^{n}=\mathbb{C}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the polynomial ring in $n$ complex varibles and regard $x_{i}$
as coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. For any subset $T \subseteq A^{n}$ of polynomials the vanishing set is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(T)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \mid P(x)=0 \quad \forall P \in T\right\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ is called algebraic if it is equal to the set of solutions $Z(T)$ of some set $T$ of polynomials. Unions $Z\left(T_{1}\right) \cup Z\left(T_{2}\right)$ of algebaic sets are algebraic, with $T=\left\{P_{i}(x) \cdot P_{j}(x)\right.$ : $\left.P_{i} \in T_{1}, P_{j} \in T_{2}\right\}$, and arbitrary intersections are also algebraic. They can thus be taken to be the closed sets of a topology, called the Zariski topology $\mathcal{T}_{Z}$, which is frequently used in algebraic geometry. $\left(\mathbb{C}^{n}, Z(T)\right)$ is not Hausdorff, but quasicompact because any algebraic set is the zero set of a finite set of polynomials, and all but a finite set of its defining polynomial equations are redundant (this is essentially the content of Hilbert's basis theorem [C098]).

Theorems: • Compact subsets $\mathcal{A} \subseteq X$ of a Hausdorff space $X$ are closed.

- Closed subspaces and continuous images of compact spaces are compact.
- Metric spaces $M$ are compact iff every sequence in $M$ contains a convergent subsequence.
- A subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is compact iff it is bounded and closed [Heine-Borel].

A compact topological space $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{T}})$ is called a compactification of $(X, \mathcal{T})$ if $X$ is (homeomorphic to) a dense subset of $\tilde{X}$ and if $\mathcal{T}$ is the topology that is induced on $X$ by $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$. All locally compact spaces can be compactified in the following way by adding only a single point [Alexandroff]: Define $\tilde{X}=X \cup\{\omega\}$ with $\mathcal{O} \notin X$ and let $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ contain all open sets $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{T}$ and, in addition, all subsets $\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \subseteq \tilde{X}$ that contain $\omega$ and whose complement $\tilde{X}-\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is a compact subset of $X$. It can be checked that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is a topology on $\tilde{X}$ and that the resulting topological space is compact. ( $X$ is dense in $\tilde{X}$ if $X$ is not compact. It can be shown that the one point compactification is unique up to homeomorphisms.)

Examples: The one point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is homeomorphic to the $n$-dimensional sphere $S^{n}$ (consider, for example, the stereographic projection).
An alternative compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the (real) projective space $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n}$. It is the set of equivalence classes $[v]=\left\{\lambda v: v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}-\{0\} \wedge \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{*}\right\}$ of non-vanishing vectors $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ modulo scaling by non-vanishing real numbers $\lambda$. Taking a vector of length $|v|=1$ as a representative of the class it is easy to see that $\mathbb{R}^{p}{ }^{n}$ is homeomorphic to the sphere $S^{n}$ modulo the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ identification $v \rightarrow-v$. Alternatively, we can describe $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n}$ as the space of 1-dimensional linear subspaces ${ }^{1}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The points of projective space can be described by homogeneous coordinates $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: \ldots: x_{n}\right)$, which are identified with $\left(\lambda x_{0}: \lambda x_{1}: \ldots: \lambda x_{n}\right)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$. The set $\mathcal{U}_{i}$ of points $\left(x_{0}: x_{1}: \ldots: 1: x_{i+1}: x_{n}\right)$ that can be respresented by homogeneous coordinates with $x_{i}=1$ for some $i \geq 0$ form a subspace that can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ in a natural way. Since at least one of the homogeneous coordinates is nonzero we can alway scale one of the coordinates to 1 . This shows that $\mathbb{R}^{\left(P^{n}\right.}$ can be covered by $n+1$ coordinate patches

[^0]$\mathcal{U}_{i}$ that are isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For each patch $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n}-\mathcal{U}_{i}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Projective space is therefore a disjoint union $\mathbb{R}^{n} \cup \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathbb{R}^{1} \cup \mathbb{R}^{0}$ of affine spaces. Its topology can be defined as the set of unions of open sets in the patches $\mathcal{U}_{i}$.

Exercise 2: Show that $S^{n}$ and $\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{n}$ are compactifications of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. (For projective space use the fact that a finite union of compact subspaces is compact and that homogeneous coordinates can always be choses to have $\left|x_{i}\right| \leq 1$ ).

A topological space is called disconnected iff $X$ can be decomposed into two disjoint open sets $\mathcal{O}_{i} \in \mathcal{T}, X=\mathcal{O}_{1} \cup \mathcal{O}_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{1} \cap \mathcal{O}_{2}=\emptyset$ with $\mathcal{O}_{i} \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{O}_{i} \neq X$. If such sets don't exist then $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is called connected. Since the closed sets are the complements of open sets we could replace all open sets by closed sets in this definition. There is a related notion, which is equivalent to connectedness except for 'pathological' situations. For this we first need the concept of a path in a topological space: A continuous map $f: I \rightarrow X$ from the closed interval $I=[0,1]$ to $X$ is called a path from $a \in X$ to $b \in X$ if $f(0)=a$ and $f(1)=b$. A topological space is called arcwise connected if any two points in $X$ can be connected by a path. All arcwise connected spaces are connected (take a path from $x_{1} \in \mathcal{O}_{1}$ to $x_{2} \in \mathcal{O}_{2}$; then $f^{-1}\left(f(I) \cap \mathcal{O}_{1}\right.$ would be open and closed in $\left.I\right)$. As a counterexample for the inverse direction consider the graph $A$ of the function $y=\sin 1 / x$ from $(0,1)$ to $[-1,1]$. The closure $\bar{A}=A \cup\{(0, y):-1 \leq y \leq 1\}$ of $A$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is connected, but not arcwise connected. A closed path, i.e. the case where $a=b$, is called a loop with base point $a$ in $X$.

### 1.2 Homotopy

Continuous images of (arcwise) connected spaces are (arcwise) connected. Connectedness is therefore a topological invariant, i.e. a property that is invariant under homemorphisms. One important task of topology is to find useful topological invariants that characterize the topological properties of manifolds. These invariants may themselves have an algebraic structure (for example a group or a ring structure). Then we are in the realm of algebraic topology [MA91,B082, CR78]. As a first example in this direction we will now consider the homotopy groups.

We are mainly interested in manifolds, which locally look like $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Hence, the local topology is fixed and the interesting things happen globally, and should therefore be independent of deformations. We call two continous maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: X \rightarrow Y$ homotopic if there exists a continuous map $F: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ with $F(x, 0)=f(x)$ and $F(x, 1)=g(x)$ for all $x \in X$. For a pair of topological spaces $X$ and $Y$ we can now consider homotopy classes of continuos maps. Of particular interest for the construction of topological invariants is the case where $X=S^{n}$ is a sphere of some dimension $n$.

We first consider the most important case $n=1$ : We denote by $\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ the set of homotopy classes [a] of loops $a: I \rightarrow X$ in $X$ with base point $x_{0}=a(0)=a(1)$. We can define a product on this space in the following way:

$$
[a] *[b]:=[a * b], \quad(a * b)(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
a(2 t) & \text { if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}  \tag{1.4}\\
b(2 t-1) & \text { if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

This operation is associative (modulo homotopy!), the class of contractible loops (the homotopy class of the constant loop) acts as unit element, and an inverse is given by $a^{-1}(t):=a(1-t)$. Hence $\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is a group, which we call the fundamental group of $X$ (with base point $x_{0}$ ). This group is, in general, not commutative. If $X$ is arcwise connected it is easy to see that $\pi_{1}$ is independent of the base point. A topological space is called simply connected if it is connected and the fundamental group is trival (i.e. all loops are contractible).

A covering space of a topological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is a connected and locally connected ${ }^{2}$ space $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{T}})$ together with a surjective map $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ with the property that for every point $x \in X$ there exists a neighborhood $U(x)$ such that $\pi$ is a homeomorphism from $\tilde{U}$ to $U(x)$ for every connected component $\tilde{U}$ of $\pi^{-1} U(x)$ (loosely speaking, $\tilde{X}$ locally looks like $X$ ). It is an important theorem that for every connected and locally connected topological space $X$ there exists a covering space that is simply connected. This space is unique up to homeomorphisms and is therefore called universal covering space. It can be constructed as the space of homotopy classes of paths with a fixed starting point (we thus get $\left|\pi_{1}\right|$ copies of each point). For each curve $f: I \rightarrow X$ we can define a lift $\tilde{f}$ of $f$ to the covering space $\tilde{X}$ with $f=\pi \circ \tilde{f}$, which is unique by continuity once we choose, for example, the image $\tilde{f}(0)$ of its starting point.

The higher homotopy groups are defined in a similar way: $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ denotes the space of homotopy classes of maps $f: S^{n} \rightarrow X$ with $x_{0} \in f\left(S^{n}\right)$. Loosely speaking, multiplication is defined by moving some parts of the spheres together and then taking away some common, topologically trivial $n$-dimensional piece of surface that has the base point at its boundary to form a bigger sphere. It can be shown that this operation ${ }^{3}$ defines a product on $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ that satisfies the group axioms and that is abelian if $n>1$ [NA90] (as for the case $n=$ 1 it is independent of $x_{0}$ if $X$ is arcwise connected). These groups are useful to capture more of the topology of $X$. For a chunk of Emmentaler cheese, for example, the fundamental group would be trivial, whereas $\pi_{2}$ tells us something about the holes. A more important application is instanton physics [eg80,NA90]: Instantons are topologically non-trivial gauge field

[^1]configurations of minimal Euclidean action. They contribute to non-perturbative phenomena like tunneling and quantum mechanical violation of conservation laws.

Discrete groups can often be described by a presentation $\left\langle g_{i}\right\rangle /\left\langle R_{I}\right\rangle$ where $g_{i}$ generate the group and $R_{I}$ denotes relations (i.e. equations) emong the generators. By definition, a cyclic group has one generator. It can either be freely generate (i.e. there are no relations) and thus isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, or there can be one relation $g^{n}=e \equiv 1$. Then the group is denoted by $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$. The order of a group is the number of its elements, the order of a group element $h$ is the smallest positive integer $l$ with $h^{l}=1$, or infinity if such an $l$ does not exist.

Theorem: A finitely generated abelian group is isomporhic to $\mathbb{Z}^{r} \times$ Tor, where $r$ is called the rank and the torsion part Tor is the finite group that consists of all elements of finite order. The torsion Tor is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{n_{s}}$, where we can either choose $n_{i}$ to be a divisible by $n_{i+1}$ (this yields the minimal set of factors) or $n_{i}$ to be powers of prime numbers (yielding the maximal value of $s$ ).

Exercise 3: Show that $\mathbb{Z}_{m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{n}=\langle g, h\rangle /\left\langle g^{m}=h^{n}=1\right\rangle$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{\lambda} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\gamma}=$ $\langle G, H\rangle /\left\langle G^{\lambda}=H^{\gamma}=1\right\rangle$ where $\lambda=\operatorname{lcm}(m, n)$ and $\gamma=\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)$. Concretely, it follows from the Euler algorithm that the greatest common divisor can be represented as $\gamma=a m+b n$ with some integers $a, b$. Then we can choose $G=g h$ and $H=h / G^{\frac{a m}{\gamma}}=h^{\frac{b n}{\gamma}} g^{-\frac{a m}{\gamma}}$.

We conclude with a list of homotopy groups of spheres:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi_{k<n}\left(S^{n}\right)=0, \quad \pi_{n}\left(S^{n}\right)=\mathbb{Z} \quad[n \geq 1], \quad \pi_{n+1}\left(S^{n}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \quad[n \geq 3],  \tag{1.5}\\
\pi_{n>1}\left(S^{1}\right)=0, \quad \pi_{3}\left(S^{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}, \quad \pi_{4}\left(S^{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}, \quad \pi_{5}\left(S^{2}\right)=\pi_{5}\left(S^{3}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2} . \tag{1.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Further results are $\pi_{6}\left(S^{2}\right)=\pi_{6}\left(S^{3}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{12}$; a general formula for $\pi_{k}\left(S^{n}\right)$ is not known.
Since $S^{0}=\{-1,1\}$ the elements of $\pi_{0}$ correspond to the arcwise connected components of $X$ (the continuous maps from $S^{0} \rightarrow X$ coorespond to the pairs of points $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ in $X$; keeping $x_{0}$ point fixed we get one homotopy class for each component of $X$ ). $\pi_{0}$ cannot be given a natural group structure. ${ }^{4}$

[^2]
### 1.3 Manifolds

A (topological) manifold of dimension $n$ is a Hausdorff space such that every point has an open neighborhood homeomorphic to an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. An open subset $U$ of some topological space $M$ together with a homeomorphism $\varphi$ from $U$ to an open subset $V$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called a (coordinate) chart of $M$. The coordinates $\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ of the image $\varphi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of a point $x \in U$ are called the coordinates of $x$ in the chart $(U, \varphi)$. Two charts $\left(U_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(U_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)$ are called $C^{r}$ compatible if $V=U_{1} \cap U_{2}=\emptyset$ or if the homeomorphisms $\varphi_{2} \circ \varphi_{1}^{-1}$ is an $r$ times continuously differentiable map from $\varphi_{1}(V) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ onto $\varphi_{2}(V) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. A $C^{r}$ atlas on a manifold $X$ is a set of $C^{r}$ compatible coordinate charts such that the domains of the charts cover $X$. Two atlases are called equivalent iff their union is again a $C^{r}$ atlas. A $C^{r}$ manifold is a manifold together with an equivalence class of $C^{r}$ atlases. A differentiable manifold is a $C^{\infty}$ manifold. Similarly, we can define an analytic manifold and a complex manifold by requiring that all functions $\varphi_{2} \circ \varphi_{1}^{-1}$ are analytic or holomophic, respectively. In the latter case the $\varphi_{i}$ should be complex coordinates, i.e. maps from the neighborhoods $U_{i}$ to subsets of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $n$ is the complex dimension of the manifold (its real dimension is $2 n$ ). A subset $S$ of a manifold $M$ is called a submanifold of dimension $s$ if for every point in $x \in S$ there exists a chart $\left(U_{x}, \varphi\right)$ of $M$ containing $x$ such that $U_{x} \cap S$ is identical to the subset of $U_{x} \cap M$ for which the last $n-s$ coordinates vanish.

A Lie group $G$ is a group that is also a differentiable manifold such that the operation $f: G \times G \rightarrow G$ with $f(x, y)=x y^{-1}$ is differentiable. A left/right group action on a manifold is a differentiable map $\sigma: G \times M \rightarrow M$ such that $\sigma_{g} \circ \sigma_{h}=\sigma_{g h}$ or $\sigma_{g} \circ \sigma_{h}=\sigma_{h g}$, respectively, where $\sigma_{g}(x):=\sigma(g, x)$. We say that the action of $G$ on $M$ is

- effective if $\sigma_{g}(x)=x \forall x \in M \Rightarrow g=e$ (i.e. only the identity $e$ acts trivially),
- free if $g \neq e \Rightarrow \sigma_{g}(x) \neq x \forall x \in M$ (i.e. only $\sigma_{e}$ has fixed points),
- transitive if $\forall x, y \in M$ there exists a $g \in G$ such that $\sigma_{g}(x)=y$.

The isotropy group (also called little group or stabilizer) of a point $x \in M$ is the subgroup $H(x)=\left\{g \in G \mid \sigma_{g}(x)=x\right\}$ of $G$ consisting of the group elements that have $x$ as a fixed point.

Examples: The following subgroups of the matrix groups $G L(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $G L(n, \mathbb{C})$ of invertible real/complex matrices (the name means 'general linear') are called the classical Lie groups: $S L(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the group of 'special linear' matrices, i.e. matrices with determinant 1.
$S O(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the group of orthogonal matrices with det $=1$ (i.e. the connected component of $O(n, \mathbb{R})$. Orthogonal matrices leave the metric $g_{m n}=\delta_{m n}$ of Euclidean space invariant. Consider an antisymmetric matrix $\omega_{m n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. The $2 n \times 2 n$ matrices $S p(2 n, \mathbb{R})$ that leave the $n$-fold tensorproduct of $\omega_{m n}$ invariant are called symplectic matrices. $S U(n)$ is the group of special unitary matrices, i.e. complex unitary matrices with det $=1$.

Cartan showed that in addition to these inifinite series of classical Lie groups there is only a finite number of exceptional Lie groups with 'simple Lie Algebra'. They are called $E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}, F_{4}$ and $G_{2}$. In his classification $S L(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ and $S U(n+1)$, which are related by analytic continuation, are denoted by $A_{n}, B_{n}$ corresponds to $S O(2 n+1), C_{n}$ corresponds to $S p(2 n)$, and $D_{n}$ corresponds to $S O(2 n)$. Actually the truth is a little more complicated: For each of the groups $A_{1}, \ldots, G_{2}$ there is a unique choice of the real form of the Lie algebra such that the Lie Group becomes compact. And there is, in addition, a choice in the global structure, which corresponds to dividing by a subgroup of the center of the universal covering group. ${ }^{5}$

In quantum mechanics the groups $S O_{3}$ and $S U_{2}$ are of particular importance. The group manifold of $S U_{2}$ is homeomophic to $S^{3}$, since its elements are of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & \beta \\ -\beta^{*} & \alpha^{*}\end{array}\right)$ with $\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}=1$. It is the double covering of $S O_{3} \cong \mathbb{R P}^{3}$ (this can be illustrated with the belt trick: A twisted belt with its ends kept parallel corresponds to a closed path in $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$. A twist by $4 \pi$ can be undone without twisting the ends). The correspondence between $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SU}_{2}$ can be constructed in the following way: Vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ can be identified with traceless Hermitian matrices via $H_{x}:=\vec{x} \vec{\sigma}$, where $\sigma_{i}$ are the Pauli matrices. Since $|\vec{x}|^{2}=\operatorname{tr} H_{x}^{2}$ the 'adjoint' action $H \rightarrow A^{-1} \mathrm{HA}$ of $S U_{2}$ matrices $A$ on Hermitian matrices $H_{x}$ leaves lengths invariant and hence defines an $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ action on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. This map from $\mathrm{SU}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ is two-to-one since $A$ and $-A$ define the same transformation. ${ }^{6}$

Returning to general manifolds, we will next consider homology, which can be thought of as the study of topological properties of integration domains. Here we use approximations of manifolds by simplices, the higher dimensional analogues of triangles. But before entering the general definition of homology groups we first consider the use of triangulations in the classification of surfaces (i.e. 2-dimensional manifolds).

### 1.4 Surfaces

A subset of a surface $X$ is called a (topological) triangle if it is homeomorphic to some triangle in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. A finite collection of triangles $T_{i}$ is called a triangulation of $X$ if $X=\bigcup T_{i}$ and if any non-empty intersection $T_{i} \cap T_{j}$ is either a common vertex or a common edge of $T_{i}$ and $T_{j}$ for $i \neq j$. We can give an orientation to a triangle by choosing an order for its vertices up to cyclic permutations. This induces a direction for the edges of the triangle. Let $a$ be the edge from $P$ to $Q$. Then we denote the edge from $Q$ to $P$ by $a^{-1}$. We say that a triangulation is oriented

[^3]

Fig. 1: Trying to paste a neighborhood of $P$ for a non-linked edge $a$.
if we assign orientations in such a way that common edges of two triangles are always oriented in reverse directions. A surface is orientable if it admits an oriented triangulation.

Let us denote a triangle by the symbol $a b c$ if it has vertices $P Q R$ and is bounded by the oriented edges $P \xrightarrow{a} Q \xrightarrow{b} R \xrightarrow{c} P$. To any triangulation with $n$ triangles we can assign a polygon with $n+2$ edges by joining the triangles along edges $c_{i}$ and $c_{i}^{-1}$ (in a first step we only join new triangles along 1 edge). We thus obtain a topological model of the surface by sewing the polygon along its bounding edges, i.e. by identifying the segments of the boundary according to their symbols. If the original surface $X$ has no boundary then each edge occurs exactly twice, and the orientations must be inverse if $X$ is orientable. By cutting and pasting such polygons in an appropriate way we can bring them into a normal form and thereby classify the topologies of triangulable surfaces.

Theorem: The normal form of a connected and oriented compact triangulable surface is either $a a^{-1}$ or $\prod_{i=1}^{g}\left(a_{i} b_{i} a_{i}^{-1} b_{i}^{-1}\right)$, where all vertices of the polygon correspond to a single point on the surface in the latter case. $g$ is called the genus of the surface, with $g:=0$ if the normal form is $a a^{-1}$.

Proof: We proceed in three steps [FA80]. First we show that we can choose all vertices to correspond to the same point. Then we convince ourselves that each of the edges $a$ of the resulting polygon is linked to some other edge $b$, i.e. that the symbol of the polygon is of the form $a \cdots b \cdots a^{-1} \cdots b^{-1} \cdots$. Eventually we bring all linked edges together.

1. We single out some vertex $P$ which we want to become the base point of all edges. Assuming that there are more than 2 edges and that we remove any factor $a a^{-1}$, the symbol representing the polygon must have the form $P \xrightarrow{a} Q \xrightarrow{b} R \cdots R \xrightarrow{b^{-1}} Q \cdots$. If $Q \neq P$ we now cut the polygon from $P$ to $R$ along a line $c$ and glue the resulting triangle $a b c^{-1}$ back to the polygon along $b$. Now the polygon has the form $P \xrightarrow{c} R \cdots R \xrightarrow{c^{-1}} P \xrightarrow{a} Q \cdots$, i.e. we removed one vertex $Q$ and replaced it by a vertex $P$ at a later position along the polygon. Since we did not change the number of edges, the iteration of this process must lead to a polygon with all vertices identical to $P$ in a finite number of steps (unless we obtain $a a^{-1}$, the normal form for $g=0$ ).
2. Next we show for a polygon with only one vertex $P$ that every edge $a$ must be linked with some other edge. Assuming that this is not the case we consider a polygon of the form $a X a^{-1} Y$ where the segments $X$ and $Y$ of the boundary have no common edges. Gluing together all


Fig. 2: Normal form for genus 2.


Fig. 3: Decomposition of a genus 2 surface.
edges in $X$ and all edges in $Y$ we obtain a surface which only has the two bounding edges, namely $a$ and $a^{-1}$ as shown in Fig. 1. Sewing along $a$ would have to produce a surface without boundary. But this is not possible as we observe by checking the neighborhood of $P$, which ought to look like some small disk in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
3. Considering some fixed linked pair $a, b$ of a polygon $a X b Y a^{-1} Z b^{-1} W$. we now make a cut $c$ from the end point of $a$ to the beginning of $a^{-1}$ and glue the resulting polygons along $b$. This gives us a polygon whose symbol is of the form $a c a^{-1} Z Y c^{-1} X W$ (with $c$ homotopic to $X b Y$ on the original surface). Next we make a cut $d$ from the beginning of $c^{-1}$ to the beginning of $a^{-1}$ and glue along $a$. This results in a symbol of the form $d c d^{-1} c^{-1} X W Z Y$ (with $\left[d^{-1}\right]=\left[a^{-1} Z Y\right]$ or $[a]=[Z Y d])$. Note that we never made any modifications within a segment of the boundary that is abbreviated by a capital letter so that linked edges that already are in normal form stay together. This completes the proof.

We have not yet shown that surfaces with different genus are topologically distinct. This can be achieved by finding a topological invariant that distinguishes between different genera. The Euler number of a 2-surface is defined by $\chi:=v-e+f$, where $v, e$ and $f$ are the numbers of vertices, edges and faces of a triangulation, respectively.

Exercise 4: Show that the Euler number is independent of the triangulation and show that $\chi=v-e+f=2-2 g$ for compact orientable surfaces of genus $g$.
Hint: The formula for the Euler number can also be used for decompositions into arbitrary polygons. Any refinement of a polygon decomposition can be achieved with the following moves: a: split an edge into two edges with a new vertex, b: add a new vertex in the interior of a polygon and join it with a vertex, c: join two vertices with an edge. Any two triangulations admit (up to homotopy) a common refinement.

The normal form of a compact orientable surface suggests a presentaion of the fundamental group: $\pi_{1}$ of a genus $g$ surface is generated by $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ with the single relation $\prod_{i=1}^{g} a_{i} b_{i} a_{i}^{-1} b_{i}^{-1}=1$ (the boundary of the polygon model Fig. 2 of the surface is contractible and it can be shown that no other relations exist).

The non-orientable case can be treated in a similar way [MA91]: The normal form of a
compact surface is $a_{1} a_{1} \cdots a_{q} a_{q}$ with all vertices identified, so that the Euler number is $\chi=2-q$. Any non-orientable surface has an orientable double cover (take two copies of either orientation for each triangle of a triangulation and glue the copies along edges whose orientations match). Going from a non-orientable $X_{q}$ to the orientible double cover $X_{g}$ the Euler number doubles, thus the genus of $X_{g}$ is $g=q-1$. The case $q=1$ is the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, whose double cover is the sphere with $g=0$. The double cover of the Klein bottle $q=2$ is the torus $g=1$.

The gluing of two surfaces $S_{i}$ along some common triangle is called connected sum $S_{1} \# S_{2}$. It is easy to see that $\chi\left(S_{1} \# S_{2}\right)=\chi\left(S_{1}\right)+\chi\left(S_{2}\right)-2$. All compact surfaces can be obtained as connected sums of tori and projective planes [MA91]. In other words, the orientable surfaces can be obtained from the sphere by attaching $g$ handles, whereas the non-orientable ones are obtained by attaching $q$ Möbius strips (whose boundaries only have one component). The connected sum with $\mathbb{R P}^{2}$ (or, equivalently, the attachment of a Möbius strip) is often drawn as a crosscap $\bigotimes$. It is easy to see that the Euler number for an arbitrary surface with boundaries is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=2-2 h-b-c \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is the number of handles, $b$ is the number of components of the boundary and $c$ is the number of crosscaps. Attaching a handle to an unorientable surface is equivalent to two crosscaps. The surface is thus characterized by its orientability, its Euler number and the number of components of the boundary.

## Exercise 5:

- Show that $\mathbb{R P}^{2}=D \cup M$ and that $M=D \# \mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{2}$ can be viewed as an annulus (or cylinder) one of whose boundaries is closed by a crosscap ( $D$ is the disk and $M$ is a Möbius strip).
- Show that a cylinder closed by two crosscaps (i.e. Möbius strips) gives a Klein bottle.
- Enumerate all surfaces of non-negative Euler number.

In higher dimensions the situation is more complicated: In 1958 A.A.Markov showed that there exists no algorithm for a classification of compact triangulable 4-manifolds; Poincaré's conjecture from the beginning of the 20th century that $S^{3}$ is the only simply connected compact 3 -manifold was an open problem until recently when a proof sketched by Perelman in a series of papers 2002 / 2003 was checked and confirmed in 2006 (in 4-dimensions manifolds like $S^{2} \times S^{2}$ have these properties but are different from $S^{4}$ ). Triangulability of surfaces has been shown in 1925 by T.Radó [AH60], who pointed out the necessity of assuming a countable basis for the topology. In 1952 E.Moise proved triangulability of 3-manifolds; recently A.Casson and M.Freedman showed that some 4-manifolds cannot be triangulated [MA91].

### 1.5 Homology

The fundamental group of a manifold is relevant, for example, for analytic continuation. If we are interested in integrals over closed curves (or higher-dimensional submanifolds), however, then the order in which the different parts of a closed path is passed through is irrelevant. This suggests to modify the concept of homotopy groups by allowing to split a loop into several elementary loops, which may be deformed individually and which may be added formally with integral (or real) coefficients. Such a formal sum is called a cycle. We then also need a new concept of equivalence: Since integrals of total derivatives are equal to integrals over the boundary of the integration domain (see below) the appropriate notion of equivalence for domains of dimension $r$ is that a domain is in the class of 0 if it is the boundary of some $(r+1)$ dimensional domain. Integration domains that differ by boundaries are called homologous. The essential fact we will use is that a boundary has no boundary (see below). Therefore integrals of total derivatives over boundaries are zero.

A formal sum of $r$-dimensional (integration) domains is called $r$-chain. Since $\partial B=0$ if $B=\partial M$ is the boundary of a chain $M$, we can write $\partial^{2}=0$ for the boundary operator $\partial$, which maps $r$-chains to $(r-1)$-chains. A chain is called a cycle $Z$ if it satisfies $\partial Z=0$. Of course it is not true in general that a cycle must be a boundary. The homology group $H_{r}(X)=Z_{r} / B_{r}$ is defined as the quotient of the group $Z_{r}(X)$ of $r$-cycles by the group $B_{r}(X)$ of $r$-boundaries. ${ }^{7}$ The additive group structure is given by the formal sums of cycles, hence $H_{r}$ is an abelian group. If we insist on integral or real coefficients then it is safer to write $H_{r}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ or $H_{r}(X, \mathbb{R})$. Being pedantic, we may insist that we have, in fact, different boundary operators $\partial_{r}: C_{r} \rightarrow B_{r-1} \subseteq C_{r-1}$ that act on $r$-dimensional chains. Thus we have a finite sequence ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow C_{n} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}} C_{n-1} \ldots C_{r} \xrightarrow{\partial_{r}} C_{r-1} \ldots C_{0} \xrightarrow{\partial_{0}} 0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

of homomorphisms $\partial_{r}$ of abelian groups $C_{n}$ with $\partial_{r-1} \circ \partial_{r}=0$. Such a structure is called a complex and the sequence is called exact if $\operatorname{Ker} \partial_{r-1}=\operatorname{Im} \partial_{r}$. The vector space dimensions $b_{r}(X)=\operatorname{dim} H_{r}(X, \mathbb{R})$ are called Betti numbers of the manifold.

To be more precise about the definition of the cycle groups $C_{r}$ and their subgroups $Z_{r} \supseteq B_{r}$ we use (generalized) triangulations, i.e. decompositions of the manifold into simplexes: A simplex $\sigma_{k}=\left\langle p_{0} p_{1} \ldots p_{k}\right\rangle:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x=\sum_{i=0}^{k} c_{i} p_{i}, c_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_{i}=1\right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the convex hull of $k+1$ affine independent points (i.e. the points $p_{i}$ should span a $k$-dimensional affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; the $k+1$ unique numbers $c_{i}$ are called barycentric coordinates of $x \in \sigma_{k}$ ).

[^4]The convex hull of $q+1$ vertices of $\sigma_{k}$ is called a face of $\sigma_{k}$. It is a $q$ simplex which is called a proper face of $\sigma_{k}$ if $q<k$ and a facet if $q=k-1$. A finite set $K$ of simplexes $\sigma_{i}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called a simplicial complex if each face of a simplex in $K$ belongs to $K$ and if the intersection $\sigma_{i} \cap \sigma_{j}$ of any two simplexes in $K$ is either empty or a face of both simplexes, $\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{j}$. The union $|K|=\bigcup_{\sigma_{i} \in K} \sigma_{i}$ is called the polyhedron of $K$. A topological space is said to be triangulable if it is homeomorphic to $|K|$ for some simplicial complex $K$.

In order to define simplicial homology we also need to consider orientations. Let $\sigma=$ $\left(p_{0} \ldots p_{r}\right)=(-)^{r}\left(p_{1} \ldots p_{r} p_{0}\right)=-\left(p_{1} p_{0} p_{2} \ldots p_{r}\right)$ denote an oriented simplex, which is given by an ordered set of vertices up to even permutations; odd permutations reverse the orientation. The boundary of an oriented simplex $\sigma_{r}$ is a formal sum of the oriented facets of the simplex: $\partial_{r}\left(p_{0} \ldots p_{r}\right)=\left(p_{1} p_{2} \ldots p_{r}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{2} \ldots p_{r}\right)+\ldots+(-1)^{r}\left(p_{0} p_{1} \ldots p_{r-1}\right)$. In particular, the boundary of an oriented line is its end point minus its initial point. It is easy to check that the boundary of a boundary is zero $\partial_{r-1} \circ \partial_{r}=0$. We can now go on to define chains and cycles as above. The $r$-chain group $C_{r}(K)$ of a simplicial complex is the free abelian group generated by the $r$-simplexes of $K$, i.e. it consists of formal sums of $\sigma_{r}$ 's with integral coefficients. The cycle group $Z_{r}$ is the kernel of $\partial_{r}$ and the boundary group $B_{r}$ is the image of $\partial_{r+1}$. Hence $Z_{r}$ consists of chains without boundaries and $B_{r}$ consists of boundaries. Two cycles are homologous if their difference is a boundary.

Since $\partial^{2}=0$ all boundaries are cycles and we define the simplicial homology groups (with integral coefficients) $H_{r}(K)=Z_{r}(K) / B_{r}(K)$ as the group of homology classes of cycles. Note that the homology group is not always a free abelian group. $H_{r}(X) \cong H_{r}(K)$ is a finitely generated abelian group that can be shown to be independent of the triangulation. It's rank is equal to the Betti number $b_{r}$. An example of a homology group with torsion is $\mathbb{P}_{2}$, for which $H_{1}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (if we take twice the non-contractible loop, which generates $H_{1}$, then we obtain a boundary). The torsion subgroup of $H_{r}(K)$ can be thought of as the 'twisting' of the complex $K$ [NA83]. We can get rid of the torsion subgroup by allowing real (or rational) coefficients of chains, because then we can divide the equation $n a=\partial b$ for a generator of finite order $n$ by $n$, i.e. $H_{r}(K, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}^{b_{k}}$.

Euler Poincaré theorem: Let $K$ be an $n$-dimensional simplicial complex and let $I_{r}$ be the number of $r$-simplexes in $K$. Then the Euler characteristic is related to the Betti numbers by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(K):=\sum_{r=0}^{n}(-1)^{r} I_{r}=\sum_{r=0}^{n}(-1)^{r} b_{r} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 6: Show the Euler Poincaré theorem and compute the Betti numbers for a genus $g$ surface.
Hint: Use that $b_{r}=\operatorname{dim} Z_{r}-\operatorname{dim} B_{r}$ and convince yourself that $I_{r}=\operatorname{dim} C_{r}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker} \partial_{r}\right)+$


Fig. 4: $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are a basis of homology cycles for a compact orientable genus $g$ surface.
$\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im} \partial_{r}\right)=\operatorname{dim} Z_{r}+\operatorname{dim} B_{r-1}$. For an orientable connected manifold $b_{0}=b_{n}=1$.
For an arcwise connected topological space it can be shown that the first homotopy group is isomorphic to the abelianization of the fundamental group [MA91] (the abelianization can be obtained by adding commutativity to the other relations of a presentation of $\pi_{1}$ ).

A more general setting for the definition of the homology groups $H_{r}$ is singular homology, where one admits arbitrary continous images of simplices for the decomposition of an arbitrary topological space $X$. The required conditions for the gluing of the faces can be defined via the parametrization. $H_{r}$ can be computed efficiently using the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence and CW-complexes [MA91].

It is often useful to consider the dual spaces $C^{r}$, called cochain spaces, and the dual complex with the coboundary operators $d_{r}: C^{r} \rightarrow C^{r+1}$ defined as the adjoint to $\partial_{r}$. Note the $d_{r}$ increases the degree $r$. The quotients $H^{r}=\operatorname{Ker} d_{r} / \operatorname{Im} d_{r-1}$ are called cohomology groups. They have the advantage that there exists a ring structure, the cup product, which is compatible with the grading by the degree $r$. We will come back to this concept in the context of differential forms and de Rham cohomology, where the coboundary operator will be realized as a differential operator.

### 1.6 Intersection numbers and the mapping class group

Requiring transversal intersections of representatives of homology groups on smooth orientable manifolds we can define an intersection product, which maps $H_{r} \times H_{s} \rightarrow H_{r+s-n}$. For even dimensions this defines a scalar product on middle-dimensional cycles that only depends on the class in $H_{n / 2}$. This product has an interesting application to the characterization of automorphisms of orientable surfaces.

There are homeomorphisms of surfaces onto themselves that cannot be continuously deformed to the identity. The group of homotopy classes of such homeomorphisms is called
mapping class group (MCG), which can be shown to be generated by Dehn twists, i.e. twists by $2 \pi$ around non-contractible loops. The twists around $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ in Fig. 4 provide a non-minimal set of generators of a subgroup of the MCG, called the (Siegel) modular group.

The modular group (MG) is isomorphic to $S p(2 g, \mathbb{Z})$, which can be seen as follows: Modular transformations leave the intersection matrix of a canonical homology basis $a_{i} \cap b_{j}=-b_{j} \cap a_{i}=$ $\delta_{i j}$ invariant. Since $D_{a_{1}} b_{1}=a_{1}+b_{1}, D_{c_{1}} b_{1}=b_{1}+c_{1}$ and $D_{c_{1}} b_{2}=b_{2}-c_{1}$ with $c_{1}=a_{1} a_{2}^{-1}$ their action on ( $a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}$ ) is described by

$$
D_{a_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0  \tag{1.10}\\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad D_{b_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad D_{c_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The quotient MCG/MG is called Torelli group, which is non-trivial for $g>1$ (it is generated by Dehn twists around homologically trivial cycles and thus leaves intersection numbers of homology cycles invariant).

## Chapter 2

## Differentiable manifolds

In differential geometry [CH77, eg80, GO62, K063, NA90] we are interested in spaces that locally look like $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for some dimension $n$ : A differentiable manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is a (topological) space that can be covered by a collection of local coordinate charts $\mathcal{U}_{I} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ with $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_{I}=\mathcal{M}$ and local coordinates defined on $\mathcal{U}_{I}$. These coordinates $x_{i}^{(I)}$ have to be compatible in the sense that $x_{i}^{(I)}$ and $x_{j}^{(J)}$ are related by differentiable transition functions on $\mathcal{U}_{I} \cap \mathcal{U}_{J}$ whenever that intersection is non-empty. To give a meaning to the word local we first need a topology on $\mathcal{M}$, which tells us about the neighborhoods of points. The charts $\mathcal{U}_{I}$ should be open sets with respect to this topology, and, in turn, the open sets of $\mathcal{U}_{I}$ (in the topology inherited from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ via the local coordinates) should provide a basis for the topology of $\mathcal{M}$.

The local coordinates tell us how to differentiate functions and allow us to define the tangent space and infinitesimal operations, as well as cotangent vectors and general tensors (tangent vectors have upper/contravariant indices). Spaces of vector and tensor fields may themselves be considered as manifolds, which leads us to the notion of fiber bundles. The coordinate independent exterior derivative can be defined on differential forms, which correspond to anti-symmetric tensor fields with lower indices. These are useful in integration theory and in formulating structure equations and integrability conditions.

### 2.1 Tangent space and tensors

Differentiable (and topological) manifolds can always be embedded in Euclidean spaces of higher dimensions. We could therefore think of tangent vectors as vectors in that embedding space. It does not make much sense, however, to deal with all the ambiguous additional structure if we are only interested in intrisic geometrical properties of a manifold. It is thus more useful to work with a more abstract definition: Tangent vectors can be constructed as directional derivatives along smooth curves, which we identify by their action on $C^{\infty}(M)$ evaluated at a given point. Vector fields are, therefore, derivations on the algebra of smooth functions.

Smooth functions on a manifold form an algebra, because they form a ring (they can be added and multiplied) as well as a vector space over the field $\mathbb{R}$ (linear combinations again are smooth functions). A tangent vector $v_{x}: C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at a point $x \in X$ is a linear map

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x}(\alpha f+\beta g)=\alpha v_{x}(f)+\beta v_{x}(g) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

that satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{x}(f g)=f(x) v_{x}(g)+g(x) v_{x}(f) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(here it would be sufficient to consider the algebra of smooth functions that are defined in some neighborhood of $x$, since $v_{x}$ only depends on the functions in an arbitrarily small neighborhood). From this definition it follows that $v_{x}=v^{m} \partial_{m}$ is a linear combination of partial derivatives with respect to any coordinates $x^{m}$. This must be true for any choice of coordinates, so the chain rule $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{n}}=\frac{\partial \hat{x}^{m}}{\partial x^{n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{x}^{m}}$ implies that the local coordinates (or components) of a vector $v$ transform contravariantly $\hat{v}^{m}=\frac{\partial \hat{x}^{m}}{\partial x^{n}} v^{n}$ under a diffeomorphism $x \rightarrow \hat{x}(x)$. The tangent vectors $v_{x}$ at a point $x$ form a vector space of the dimension of the manifold, the tangent space $T_{x}(\mathcal{M})$ Taylor expansion can be used to show that the vectors $\partial_{n}$ form a basis of $T_{x}(\mathcal{M})$.

More general tensors can be obtained as duals and tensor products of tangent vectors. The dual $V^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}(V, \mathbb{R})$ of a vector space is the linear space of linear forms (or functionals) on $V$, i.e. $w \in V^{*}$ is a linear map (or homomorphism) $w: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The value of this map evaluated on a vector $v$ is denoted by the bracket $\langle w, v\rangle:=w(v)$, which is also called duality pairing. If we have a basis $E_{i}$ of vectors then there is a natural dual basis, the co-basis $e^{j}$ that is defined by the following action of the co-vectors $e^{i}$ on the basis of $V$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle e^{j}, E_{i}\right\rangle=\delta^{j}{ }_{i} \quad \Rightarrow \quad\langle w, v\rangle:=w(v)=w_{i} v^{i} \quad \text { with } \quad w=w_{j} e^{j}, v=v^{i} E_{i} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dual of $T_{x} \mathcal{M}$ is the space $T_{x}^{*} \mathcal{M}$ of cotangent vectors at a point $x \in \mathcal{M}$. General tensors with $m$ upper and $n$ lower indices are elements of the tensor product ${ }^{1}$ of $m$ copies of $T_{x} \mathcal{M}$ and $n$ copies of $T_{x}^{*} \mathcal{M}$. The coordinates (components) of such a tensor $t$ are defined by $t=$ $t^{i_{1} \ldots i_{m}}{ }_{j_{1} \ldots j_{n}} E_{i_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes E_{i_{m}} \otimes e^{j_{1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes e^{j_{n}}$ (possibly with some other ordering of the indices).

The basis dual to the partial derivatives $\partial_{i}$ that come with a coordinate system is denoted by $d x^{j}$. Since vectors $v \in T_{x} \mathcal{M}$ and $w \in T_{x}^{*} \mathcal{M}$, as well as the duality pairing $\langle w, v\rangle$ are independent of the choice of coordinates, we find the following transformations of indices under diffeomorphism $x \rightarrow \hat{x}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{i}=(D \hat{x})_{i}{ }^{j} \hat{\partial}_{j}, \quad d \hat{x}^{j}=d x^{i}(D \hat{x})_{i}{ }^{j}, \quad \hat{v}^{j}=v^{i}(D \hat{x})_{i}{ }^{j}, \quad w_{i}=(D \hat{x})_{i}{ }^{j} \hat{w}_{j}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^5]with the jacobian matrix $(D \hat{x})_{i}{ }^{j}:=\frac{\partial \hat{x}^{j}(x)}{\partial x^{i}}$ (the determinant of $D \hat{x}_{i}{ }^{j}$, which will be important in integration theory, is called jacobian). For general tensors we get a jacobian matrix or its inverse for each index: The upper/lower indices of contravariant/covariant tensors transform inverse/identical to the basis $\partial_{i}$ of tangent vectors. (Tensors could also be defined via a collection of component functions that transforms in this way under diffeomorphism, i.e. "a tensor is an object that transforms like a tensor".)

The formulas (2.4), with $\hat{x}(x)$ replaced by $f(x)$, are also valid for a more general smooth map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ from a manifold $X$ to a manifold $Y$. The mapping among (co)vectors is then well-defined as long as we do not have to invert the (in general rectangular) jacobian matrix $D f$. This suggests that there should be natural maps $f_{*}: T_{x} X \rightarrow T_{y} Y$ and $f^{*}: T_{y}^{*} Y \rightarrow T_{x}^{*} X$ with $y=f(x)$. Indeed, this is easy to see in a coordinate independent way: For any function $g$ of $Y$ we can define the pull back $f^{*} g:=g \circ f$, which is a function on $X$. But this map $f^{*}: C^{\infty}(Y) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(X)$ can be used to define for each tangent vector $v_{x}: C^{\infty}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a vector $v_{y}=f_{*} v_{x}$ by $\left(f_{*} v_{x}\right)(g):=v_{x}\left(f^{*} g\right)$. The operation $f_{*}$ is called push forward or differential map and it is defined for any contravariant tensor in the obvious way. It maps, in particular, tangent vectors of a curve to the tangent vectors of the image curve. In turn, cotangent vectors (and covariant tensors) can again be pulled back in a natural way: For an element $w_{y} \in T_{y}^{*} Y$ the pull back $w_{x}=f^{*} w_{y}$ is defined by $f^{*} w_{y}\left(v_{x}\right):=w_{y}\left(f_{*} v_{x}\right)$. If $f$ is a diffeomorphism then we can, of course, push and pull arbitrary tensors in either direction along $f$.

Exercise 7: Show that $f_{*} v_{x}$ is an element of $T_{y} Y$ and write down the pull back (push forward) for covariant (contravariant) tensors in terms of coordinates.

In differential geometry we are interested in tensor fields on manifolds rather than in tensors at a single point. These can be defined via (smooth/continuous) coordinate dependent component functions. For vector fields it is easy to write down a coordinate independent version of this definition: A smooth vector field is a derivation on the algebra $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$, i.e. a linear map $v: C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$ that satisfies the Leibniz rule. This is so because a vector $v_{x}$ assigns a number to a function, so that a vector field (a vector at each point) assigns a number at each point (a function) to a function.

### 2.2 Lie derivatives

A vector field $\xi=\xi^{m} \partial_{m}$ defines a curve through any given point on the manifold: We may think of moving along the direction $\xi^{m}(x)$ at each point with coordinates $x^{m}$ on a manifold with a velocity that is proportional to the length of $\xi$. This suggests to consider the system of first order differential equations $\frac{d}{d t} x^{m}(t)=\xi^{m}(x(t))$, whose solutions $x(t)$ we call integral
curves of the vector field $\xi$. The integral curves of the basis vector fields $\partial_{i}$ are the coordinate lines themselves.

Integral curves exist at least locally and they can be used to define a $t$-dependent map of points on the manifold, i.e. a diffeomorphism of the manifold onto itself. Such a diffeomorphism is often called an active transformations, to which we can associate a coordinate transformation (or passive transformation) by assigning the old coordinates of the target points as new coordinates to the original points. For infinitesimal transformations, i.e. for small $t$, we obtain the $\operatorname{map} \phi_{t}: x^{m} \rightarrow \tilde{x}^{m}=x^{m}+t \xi^{m}+O\left(t^{2}\right)$ in either case (only the interpretation of $\tilde{x}^{m}$ is different).

The Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} T$ of a tensor field $T$ is its variation induced by the infinitesimal transformation that comes with the vector field $\xi$. It is thus defined to be the term of leading order for $t \rightarrow 0$ in the difference $\phi_{t}^{*} T-T$ between a tensor field and its pull back along $\phi_{t}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\xi} T:=\left(\frac{d}{d t}\left(\phi_{t}^{*} T\right)\right)_{\left.\right|_{t=0}}, \quad \phi_{t}(x)=x+t \xi+O\left(t^{2}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is easy to compute for a function: $\phi_{t}^{*} f=f+t \xi(f)+O\left(t^{2}\right)$ so that $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} f=\xi(f)=\xi^{m} \partial_{m} f$. For the component functions of tensor fields, however, we have additional terms from the jacobian matrices and their inverses [see eq. (2.4)]. The difference between tensors of the same type is again a tensor, and so is the Lie derivative of a tensor. Putting the pieces together we find its components

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\xi} T_{i}^{p}=\xi^{m} \partial_{m} T_{i}^{p}+\partial_{i} \xi^{m} T_{m}{ }^{p}-\partial_{n} \xi^{p} T_{i}{ }^{n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with additional positive/negative terms for additional lower/upper indices.
In computations with tensor fields whose rank we do not want to specify it is often useful to write the part of the Lie derivative that comes from the jacobian factor in a more symbolic form. For this purpose we introduce the symbol $\Delta_{i}{ }^{j}$ for infinitesimal $G L(n)$ transformation, which acts on (co)vectors by $\Delta_{i}{ }^{j} v^{u}=\delta_{i}{ }^{u} v^{j}$ and $\Delta_{i}{ }^{j} v_{l}=-\delta_{l}{ }^{j} v_{i}$ and which is extended to general tensors by the Leibniz rule and by linearity (i.e. $\Delta_{i}{ }^{j}$ is a derivation on the tensor algebra; on a tensor with $m$ upper and $n$ lower indices it acts by collection of $m$ positive and $n$ negative terms containing $\delta_{i}{ }^{u}$ and $\delta_{l}{ }^{j}$, respectively). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\xi}=\xi^{l} \partial_{l}-\partial_{i} \xi^{k} \Delta_{k}{ }^{i}, \quad \Delta_{k}{ }^{i} v^{n}=\delta_{k}{ }^{n} v^{i}, \quad \Delta_{k}{ }^{i} v_{m}=-\delta_{m}{ }^{i} v_{k} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the first term $\xi^{l} \partial_{l}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ is called shift term for obvious reasons.
Exercise 8: Show that $\left[\Delta_{i}{ }^{j}, \Delta_{k}{ }^{l}\right]=\delta_{i}{ }^{l} \Delta_{k}{ }^{j}-\delta_{k}{ }^{j} \Delta_{i}{ }^{l}$ is the commutator of $G L_{n}$-transformations (since both sides are derivations it is sufficient to check this on covariant and contravariant vectors, which generate the tensor algebra).

Symmetry transformations act simultaneously on each factor of a tensor product. If we consider infinitesimal transformations, then we are collecting all terms that are linear in a small
parameter. We thus get one term for each factor in a product, so that infinitesimal transformations act as derivations on algebras. Non-commutativities of finite symmetry transformations manifest themselves in non-vanishing commutators of infinitesimal transformations. It is easy to check that the commutator of two derivations is again a derivation, and thus corresponds to another infinitesimal transformation. In the case of diffeomorphisms inifinitesimal transformations correspond to (Lie derivatives along) vector fields. On functions $\mathcal{L}_{v}(f)=v(f)$. This is the motivation for defining the Lie bracket of two vector fields as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[v, w]:=v \circ w-w \circ v=\left(v^{i} \partial_{i} w^{l}-w^{i} \partial_{i} v^{l}\right) \partial_{l}=\mathcal{L}_{v}(w)=-\mathcal{L}_{w}(v), \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which again is a vector field.
It is easy to check that the Lie bracket is anti-symmetric and satisfies the Jacobi identity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[v, w]=-[w, v], \quad \sum_{i, j, k}\left[v_{i},\left[v_{j}, v_{k}\right]\right]:=\left[v_{i},\left[v_{j}, v_{k}\right]\right]+\left[v_{j},\left[v_{k}, v_{i}\right]\right]+\left[v_{k},\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]\right]=0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It commutes with the push forward $f_{*}[v, w]=\left[f_{*} v, f_{*} w\right]$ and satisfies the following identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{f v}(w)=f[v, w]-w(f) v, \quad \mathcal{L}_{v}(f w)=f[v, w]+v(f) w, \quad\left[\mathcal{L}_{v}, \mathcal{L}_{w}\right]=\mathcal{L}_{[v, w]} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M})$; the last identity gives the commutator of Lie derivatives in terms of the Lie brackets of vector fields.

Note that all Lie brackets among the natural basis vector fields $\partial_{i}$ vanish (partial derivatives commute). A set of $n$ pointwise linearly independent vector fields $v_{i}$ defines a basis of tangent space. It can be shown that their integral curves define local coordinates (of a submanifold if $n$ is smaller than the number of dimensions) iff $\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]=0 \forall i, j$ (such a basis $v_{i}$ of $T M$ is called holonomous). Geometrically a non-vanishing Lie bracket $[v, w] \neq 0$ means that the flows along the integrals curves of $v$ and $w$ do not commute. If the Lie brackets among $r<n$ linearly independent vector fields satisfy the Frobenius integrability condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right]=f_{i j}^{k} v_{k}, \quad i=1, \ldots, r<n \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the Lie bracket closes on $v_{i}$ ) then the integral curves locally span an $r$-dimensional submanifold.

### 2.3 Differential forms

The exterior (Grassmann) algebra $\Lambda(V)$ over a vector space $V$ is the associative algebra spanned by $V$ with the wedge product defined by the relation $v \wedge v=0$, and hence $v \wedge w=$ $-w \wedge v$. A graded algebra is an algebra with a decomposition into a direct sum $\mathcal{A}=\bigoplus_{p \in P} \mathcal{A}_{p}$ for an abelian group $P$ that is compatible with the product, i.e. $\mathcal{A}_{p} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{q} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{p+q}$. Typical
examples are $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ gradings, like multidegrees of polynomials, or $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ gradings (superalgebras), which correspond to decompositions into even and odd elements. $\Lambda(V)=\bigoplus \Lambda^{p}(V)$ with $\Lambda^{1} \cong V$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded and $\Lambda^{i}=\{0\}$ unless $0 \leq i<\leq n=\operatorname{dim} V . \Lambda^{p}(V)$ has dimension $\binom{n}{p}$ and can be identified with the linear subspace of the tensor algebra consisting of totally antisymmetric tensors of degree $p$ because the alternating sum over permutations

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{p}=\sum_{p} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) v_{\pi(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\pi(p)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines (by linear extension) an associative and graded-commutative product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha \wedge \beta) \wedge \gamma=\alpha \wedge(\beta \wedge \gamma), \quad \alpha \wedge \beta=(-)^{\alpha \beta} \beta \wedge \alpha \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Lambda(V)$ thus can be considered a linear subspace (with dimension $2^{n}$ ) of the tensor algebra. It is, however, not a subalgebra because the wedge product and the tensor product are different associative products and $\Lambda^{p} \otimes \Lambda^{q}$ is not contained in $\Lambda^{p+q}$.

For a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ graded (associative) algebra $\mathcal{A}$ we can define graded derviations $\operatorname{Der}_{ \pm}(\mathcal{A})$, which are maps $\delta: \mathcal{A}_{p} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{p+|\delta|}$ that satisfy the graded Leibniz rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(A \cdot B)=\delta A \cdot B+(-)^{\delta A} A \cdot \delta B \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In the exponent of $(-) \equiv(-1)$ we identify graded maps and algebra elements with their grading.) Even elements $\delta \in D e r_{+}$, with $|\delta| \equiv 0$, are called derivations. Odd elements $\delta \in$ Der_ are called antiderivations. It is straightforward to check that the graded commutator $[\delta, \varepsilon]_{ \pm}=\delta \circ \varepsilon-(-)^{\delta \varepsilon} \varepsilon \circ \delta$ of two graded derivations is again a graded derivation, whose degree is $|\delta|+|\varepsilon|$. Due to the graded antisymmetry and the graded Jacobi identity of the graded commutator we thus obtain a graded Lie algebra.

An important example of a Grassmann algebra is the exterior algebra over the cotangent space $\Lambda_{x} \mathcal{M}=\Lambda\left(T_{x}^{*} \mathcal{M}\right)$. The elements with pure degree of $\Lambda \mathcal{M}$ are antisymmetric covariant tensors with smooth component functions, called differential forms $\omega \in \Lambda^{p}$ or $p$-forms with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{1}{p!} d x^{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x^{i_{p}} \omega_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The wedge product thus becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \wedge \beta:=\frac{1}{p!q!} d x^{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x^{i_{p+q}} \alpha_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} \beta_{i_{p+1} \ldots i_{p+q}} \in \Lambda^{p+q} \quad \forall \alpha \in \Lambda^{p}, \beta \in \Lambda^{q} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $(\alpha \wedge \beta)_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p} j_{1} \ldots j_{q}}=\frac{(p+q)!}{p!q!} \alpha_{\left[i_{1} \ldots i_{p}\right.} \beta_{\left.j_{1} \ldots j_{q}\right]}$ with $t_{\left[i_{1} \ldots i_{p}\right]}:=\frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\pi} \operatorname{sign}(\pi) t_{i_{\pi(1)} \ldots i_{\pi(p)}}$.
A differential on a graded algebra is a nilpotent antiderivation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2}=0, \quad d(\alpha \wedge \beta)=d \alpha \wedge \beta+(-)^{|\alpha|} \alpha \wedge d \beta \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total differential $d f=d x^{i} \partial_{i} f$ maps functions to their gradient, which is a cotangent vector (as can be checked by its transformation properties). It can be extended to a differential on the whole exterior algebra, which is called exterior derivative. On coordinate function we find $d\left(x^{n}\right)=d x^{n}$. Moreover, since $d\left(d x^{i}\right)=d^{2} x^{i}=0$, the differential on 1-forms is $d\left(d x^{i} \omega_{i}\right)=$ $-d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j} \partial_{j} \omega_{i}=\frac{1}{2} d x^{i} \wedge d x^{j}\left(\partial_{i} \omega_{j}-\partial_{j} \omega_{i}\right)$, or $(d \omega)_{i j}=\partial_{i} \omega_{j}-\partial_{j} \omega_{i}$. As $d^{2}$ is a derivation, $d$ is consistently defined on all of $\Lambda \mathcal{M}$ by its antiderivation property. In components we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d \omega)_{i_{0} \ldots i_{p}}=(p+1) \partial_{\left[i_{0}\right.} \omega_{\left.i_{1} \ldots i_{p}\right]}=\sum_{i_{0} \ldots i_{p}}( \pm)^{p+1} \partial_{i_{0}} \omega_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum$ denotes the cyclic sum (which is this case has $p+1$ terms). It can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d \omega)\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{p}\right)=\sum_{0}^{p}(-)^{l} v_{l}\left(\omega\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{p}\right)\right)+\sum_{i<j}(-)^{i+j} \omega\left(\left[v_{i}, v_{j}\right], v_{o}, \ldots, v_{p}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the obvious omissions of the vectorfields $v_{l}$ and $v_{i}, v_{j}$ in the arguments of $\omega$.
In physics we are used to anticommuting wave functions, which implement the Pauli principle. It would be possible to count signs from anticommuting fermions and anticommuting differentials seperately, but it is equivalent and much simpler to use an overall $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ grading to account for all signs. In turn, we will often omit the $\wedge$ symbol and just regard the differentials $d x^{i}$ as anticommuting objects. We can then write $d=d x^{i} \partial_{i}$.
Exercise 9: In $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ tangent and cotangent vectors can be identified by index shifts with the Kronecker $\delta$ and the Levi-Civita symbol $\varepsilon$ can be used to identify functions $f$ with 3 -forms $\frac{1}{6} f \varepsilon_{i j k} d x^{i} d x^{j} d x^{k}$ and the components $v^{i}$ of vector fields with 2 -forms $\frac{1}{2} v^{i} \varepsilon_{i j k} d x^{j} d x^{k}$. Show that the differential $d$ thus corresponds to gradient, curl, and divergence on functions, 1-forms, and 2forms, respectively. Moreover, $d^{2}=0$ comprises the identities curl $\circ \operatorname{grad}=0$ and div $\circ$ curl $=0$.

Remark: In special relativity electromagnetic potentials and field strengths can be combined to a gauge potential $A=A_{m} d x^{m}$ and its exterior derivative $F=d A=\frac{1}{2} d x^{i} d x^{j}\left(\partial_{i} A_{j}-\partial_{j} A_{i}\right)$. The two homogeneous Maxwell equations thus become $d F=0$ (which is a purely topological equation; the analogous form of the inhomogeneous equations will depend on the metric).

The interior product $i_{v}$ is the anti-derivation of degree -1 on $\Lambda$ that vanishes on functions and that inserts $v$ into forms, $i_{v} w:=\langle w, v\rangle=w(v)$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{v}^{2}=0, \quad\left\{d, i_{v}\right\}=\mathcal{L}_{v}, \quad\left[\mathcal{L}_{v}, i_{w}\right]=i_{[v, w]}, \quad\left(i_{v} \omega\right)_{n_{2} \ldots n_{p}}=v^{l} \omega_{l n_{2} \ldots n_{p}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

on arbitrary $p$-forms. The algebra of $d, i_{v}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{v}=\left\{d, i_{v}\right\}$ implies $\left[d, \mathcal{L}_{v}\right]=0$ by the graded Jacobi identity. Because of the properties of graded derivations it is sufficient to check this algebra for functions and 1-forms. The interior product is sometimes also called interior derivative. ${ }^{2}$

[^6]One of the main applications of differential forms is integration theory: Under a change of coordinates the component functions of an $n$-form transform with an inverse Jacobian because we get an inverse Jacobi matrix for each lower index and the antisymmetrization in all indices generates the determinant. This just compensates the Jacobian that we get for a change of variables in an $n$-dimensional integral. Therefore, if a domain can be covered by a single coordinate patch then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C} \omega:=\int_{C} d x^{1} \ldots d x^{n} \omega_{1 \ldots n} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a geometrical construction that does not depend on the coordinates chosen for the evaluation of the integral, except possibly for a sign. More general integration domains have to be decomposed into patches. To take care of the sign ambiguity we require that the manifold is orientable, i.e. that we can choose an atlas in such a way that all Jacobians for changes of coordinates are positive on the overlap of the respective patches. Then we allow only coordinates with positive orientation and coordinate independence of (2.21) implies that the result is independent of the decomposition of the complete integration domain. ${ }^{3}$

The same construction can be used if $\omega$ is a $p$ form and if $C \subset M$ is some oriented $p$ dimensional submanifold. Recall that we always can pull back covariant tensors along differentiable maps; we can thus regard the integral of a $p$ form as being defined via the integral of the pull back of that form over a $p$-dimensional coordinate domain that parametrizes the (sub)manifold. By linearity we can extend the definition of integration to integrals of $p$ forms over arbitrary $p$-cycles. Using, for example, a decomposition with rectanguar coordinate domains it can be shown that integrals of $d \omega$ over cycles are related to integrals over boundaries:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{C_{r}} d \omega_{r-1}=\int_{\partial C_{r}} \omega_{r-1} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is Stokes' theorem, which generalizes Gauß' and Stokes' theorems in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
Since $d^{2}=0$ we can define the de Rham complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Lambda^{0} M \xrightarrow{d_{0}} \Lambda^{1} M \xrightarrow{d_{1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{d_{n-2}} \Lambda^{n-1} M \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \Lambda^{n} M \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}} 0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

in analogy to the chain complex for the bounday operator. A $p$ form $\omega$ is called closed, or a cocycle, if $d \omega=0$. It is called exact, or a coboundary, if $\omega=d \omega^{\prime}$ for some $p-1$ form $\omega^{\prime}$. The de Rham cohomology groups $H^{p}=Z^{p} / B^{p}=\operatorname{Ker} d_{p} / \operatorname{Im} d_{p-1}$ are the additive groups of closed $p$ forms modulo exact $p$ forms, where $Z^{p}$ and $B^{p}$ are the cocycle and coboundary groups, respectively. As the names suggest, these groups are dual to the homology groups, at least under certain conditions. This is the content of the

[^7]de Rham theorem: The bilinear map
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left[C_{r}\right],\left[\omega_{r}\right]\right) \rightarrow \int_{C_{r}} \omega_{r} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad C_{r} \in Z_{r}, \omega_{r} \in Z^{r} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

is non-degenerate. (It is easy to see that this map is independent of the representatives $C_{r}, \omega_{r}$ of the (co)homology classes $\left[C_{r}\right] \in H_{r},\left[\omega_{r}\right] \in H^{r}$ because of Stokes's theorem.) If $M$ is compact then $H_{r}$ and $H^{r}$ are finite-dimensional and the dimensions $b^{r}=\operatorname{dim} H^{r}$ coincide with the Betti numbers $b_{r}=\operatorname{dim} H_{r}$.

The integral $(C, \omega):=\int_{C} \omega$ is called the period of the closed $r$-form $\omega$ over the $r$-cycle $C$. De Rham's theorem implies that, given a basis $C_{i}$ of $H_{r}(M, \mathbb{R})$, a closed $r$-form is exact iff its periods over all $C_{i}$ vanish. In turn, for any set of $r$ real numbers there exists a closed form whose periods are given by these numbers. The de Rham theorem thus provides an amazing link between topology (counting faces in triangulations) and analysis (global existence of solutions to differential equations). In particular we obtain the
Poincaré lemma: If $M$ is contractible then $H^{r}=0$ for $r>0$, i.e. all closed forms are exact. This applies, in particular, to contractible coordinate neighborhoods.

Proof (for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ): We use the 'homotopy operator'

$$
\begin{equation*}
K: \Omega(x) \rightarrow K(\Omega(x))=i_{x} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{t} \Omega_{t}(x), \quad \Omega_{t}(x):=\Omega(t x)=\frac{t^{p}}{p!} \Omega_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}}(t x) d x^{i_{1}} \ldots d x^{i_{p}} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\Lambda^{p}$, where $i_{x}$ is the interior product with the vector field $x^{m}$. $K$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
K d+d K=1 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $p$-forms, which can be seen as follows: With $f: x \rightarrow t x$ we observe $f_{*}\left(x^{m}\right)=t x^{m}, f^{*}(\Omega)=\Omega_{t}$ and $i_{x} f^{*}=f^{*} i_{t x}=t f^{*} i_{x}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(\Omega)=\int_{0}^{1} d t f^{*}\left(i_{x} \Omega\right), \quad\{K, d\} \Omega=\int_{0}^{1} d t f^{*}\left\{i_{x}, d\right\} \Omega=\int_{0}^{1} d t f^{*}\left(\mathcal{L}_{x} \Omega\right) . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{x} \Omega$ can be written as $\mathcal{L}_{x} \Omega(x)=\frac{d}{d \varepsilon} \Omega_{(1+\varepsilon)}(x)_{\mid \varepsilon=0}=\frac{d}{d t} \Omega_{t}(x)_{\mid t=1}$ and we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{K, d\} \Omega(x)=\int_{0}^{1} d t \frac{d}{d t} \Omega_{t}(x)=\Omega_{1}(x)-\Omega_{0}(x)=\Omega(x) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting the pieces together we find $\Omega=d \omega$ with $\omega=K \Omega$ for any closed $p$-form $\Omega$ because $d \omega=\{K, d\} \Omega=\Omega$. Note that our construction yields a 'potential' $\omega$ that satisfies the 'radial gauge' condition $i_{x} \omega=0$ (which makes $\omega$ unique because $d(\delta \omega)=i_{x}(\delta \omega)=0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{x}(\delta \omega)=0$ ).

Remark: For electromagnetic field strenghts the absense of magnetic sources $d F=0$ thus implies the local existence of a gauge potential 1-form $A$ with $F=d A$.

## Chapter 3

## Riemannian geometry

So far we only considered differentiable manifolds without additional structure. Such structure is needed if we want to introduce geometrical concepts like parallel transport of vectors, distances and curvature. To this end Riemannian geometry introduces a metric and a connection, which are closely related by a compatibility condition. First we add a connection, which tells us how to relate tangent spaces at different points and how to do parallel transport of vectors along curves on the manifold. The result of parallel transport in general depends on the curve, which leads to the notion of (intrinsic) curvature (and torsion). In many applications it is also important to be able to measure distances and angles with the parallel transport being compatible in the sense that it conserves scalar products. In order to describe spinors in curved space it is necessary to introduce the vielbein and the spin connection. A metric on tangent space will allow the definition of the Hodge dual and of an inner product on the exterior algebra.

### 3.1 Covariant derivatives and connections

The simplest situation is the embedding of a manifold into some Euclidean space $E$ of higher dimension with coordinates $X^{\mu}$. Then tangent vectors can be identified with vectors in $E$, with a basis of $T_{x} M$ provided by $\partial_{m} X^{\mu}(x)$. The components of the induced metric are $g_{m n}=$ $\partial_{m} X^{\mu} \partial_{n} X^{\nu} \delta_{\mu \nu}$. Partial differentition of tangent vectors $V^{\mu}=V^{m} \partial_{m} X^{\mu}$, however, in general takes us out of tangent space. In this situation we can define a covariant derivative of tangent vector fields by orthogonal projection $D_{i} V=\operatorname{tpr}\left(\partial_{i} V\right)$ of $\partial_{i} V$ to the tangent space at the appropriate point (the linear operator 'tpr' denotes this projection). We will see below that this leads to the unique metric-compatible torsion-free connection on the Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$.

In general we would like to have a covariant notion of a derivative of a vector or tensor in some direction $\xi$, like, for example, a covariant derivative along the tangent vector to a coordinate line $\xi=\partial_{i}$. The problem is that the partial derivatives of vector components $\partial_{i} v^{m}$ compare components of vectors at different points. The corresponding tangent spaces are, however, different spaces that are not related in a coordinate independent way. We thus need to introduce a relation between tangent spaces at neighboring points. ${ }^{1}$ (The notion of parallel transport is closely related to this: A vector field along a curve will be called parallel iff its covariant derivative in the direction of the tangent vector to the curve vanishes at each point). Such a relation is provided by an affine connection

$$
\begin{equation*}
D: T M \times T M \rightarrow T M, \quad(\xi, v) \rightarrow D_{\xi} v \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which by definition is bilinear and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f \xi} v=f D_{\xi} v, \quad D_{\xi}(f v)=\xi(f) v+f D_{\xi} v . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be thought of as a $G L_{n}$-valued 1-form, since we have a linear map $T M \rightarrow T M$ for each $\xi$. (The first of these equation states that $D .()$ is a 1 -form and the second that $D_{\xi}$ acts as a derivation on products; by demanding that $D_{\xi}$ is a derivation on arbitrary tensor products we can extend its definition to the tensor algebra.)

To understand the content of this defintion in terms of coordinates we evaluate the covariant derivative $D_{i} v^{n}:=\left(D_{\partial_{i}} v\right)^{n}$ using the connection coefficients $\Gamma_{i j}{ }^{k}$ that are defined by $\Gamma_{i j}{ }^{k} \partial_{k}:=D_{\partial_{i}} \partial_{j}$. Evaluating the components of $D_{i}(v)=D_{i}\left(v^{n} \partial_{n}\right)$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{i} v^{n}=\partial_{i} v^{n}+\Gamma_{i j}{ }^{n} v^{j}, \quad D_{i}=\partial_{i}+\Gamma_{i j}{ }^{n} \Delta_{n}{ }^{j} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second formula, which involves the $G L_{n}$ symbol, extends the definition of the covariant derivative to a derivation on the tensor algebra.

Exercise 10: Show that the connection coefficients transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i j}^{k}(y)=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} x^{l}}{\partial y^{i} \partial y^{j}}+\frac{\partial x^{m}}{\partial y^{i}} \frac{\partial x^{n}}{\partial y^{j}} \Gamma_{m n}^{l}(x)\right) \frac{\partial y^{k}}{\partial x^{l}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

under coordinate transformations (use, for example, the definition $\Gamma_{i j}{ }^{k}(y) \partial_{y^{k}}=D_{\partial_{y^{i}}}\left(\partial_{y^{j}}\right)$, the equations (3.2) and $\partial_{y^{l}}=\frac{\partial x^{n}}{\partial y^{l}} \partial_{x^{n}}$ ). Inserting $x=y+\xi+O\left(\xi^{2}\right)$ this implies the infinitesimal transformation law

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\xi} \Gamma_{i j}{ }^{n}=\partial_{i} \partial_{j} \xi^{n}+\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \Gamma_{i j}{ }^{n}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\xi} \Gamma_{i j}{ }^{n}$ denotes the terms that would arise if $\Gamma_{i j}{ }^{n}$ were a tensor. ${ }^{2}$

[^8]
### 3.2 Curvature and torsion

The transformation law (3.4) implies that the anti-symmetric parts of the connection coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j}^{k}:=\Gamma_{i j}^{k}-\Gamma_{j i}^{k} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the components of a tensor, which is called the torsion tensor; by an appropiate choice of local coodinates we may, on the other hand, make the symmetric part $\Gamma_{(i j)}{ }^{k}$ vanish at any given point. Furthermore, the difference of two connections and variations of a connection are tensors.

Since the commutator $\left[D_{m}, D_{n}\right]$ is again a derivation it must be proportional to $D_{l}$ and $\Delta_{i}{ }^{k}$. We find the following algebra of derivations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D_{i}, D_{j}\right]=-T_{i j}^{l} D_{l}+R_{i j k}^{l} \Delta_{l}^{k}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left[\Delta_{i}{ }^{k}, D_{j}\right]=-\delta_{j}^{k} D_{i}$ and $\left[\Delta_{i}^{k}, \Delta_{j}^{l}\right]=\delta_{i}^{l} \Delta_{j}^{k}-\delta_{j}^{k} \Delta_{i}^{l}$, with the curvature tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k}^{l}=\partial_{i} \Gamma_{j k}^{l}-\partial_{j} \Gamma_{i k}{ }^{l}+\Gamma_{i n}{ }^{l} \Gamma_{j k}{ }^{n}-\Gamma_{j n}{ }^{l} \Gamma_{i k}{ }^{n} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 11: Check this results for the curvature $R_{i j k}{ }^{l}$ by direct evaluation of $\left[D_{i}, D_{j}\right.$ ] or by application of (3.7) to a vector field. (Curvature and torsion are coefficients of independent covariant derivations in a tensorial equation and hence must themselves transform as tensors.) Exercise 12: Show that the Jacobi identity $\sum_{i j k}\left[D_{i},\left[D_{j}, D_{k}\right]\right]$ implies the Bianchi identities

$$
\begin{align*}
1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{BI}: & \sum_{i j k}\left(R_{i j k}^{l}-D_{i} T_{j k}^{l}+T_{j k}^{n} T_{i n}^{l}\right)=0,  \tag{3.9}\\
2^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{BI}: & \sum_{i j k}\left(D_{i} R_{j k l}^{m}-T_{j k}^{n} R_{i n l}{ }^{m}\right)=0, \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

for curvature and torsion, where the cyclic sum $\sum_{i j k}$ denotes the sum over cyclic permutations of the ordered set $(i, j, k)$.

Interpreting the torsion as vector valued two form $T=\frac{1}{2} d x^{i} d x^{j} T_{i j}{ }^{l} \partial_{l}$ and the curvature as a $G L_{n}$-valued two form $R=\frac{1}{2} d x^{i} d x^{j} R_{i j k}{ }^{l} \Delta_{l}{ }^{k}$ it is straightforward to check the coordinate independent formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(X, Y)=D_{X} Y-D_{Y} X-[X, Y], \quad R(X, Y) Z=D_{X} D_{Y} Z-D_{Y} D_{X} Z-D_{[X, Y]} Z \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary vector fields $X, Y, Z$, which can be used as a coordinate independent definition of the tensors $T$ and $R$. The geometrical meaning of curvature and torsion can be clarified by inserting $X=\partial_{i}$ and $Y=\partial_{j}$ : Then the Lie bracket $[X, Y]$ does not contribute and nonvanishing torsion implies that 'parallelograms do not close'. Nonvanishing curvature implies that a vector changes when transported along an infinitesimal closed loop (like, for example, along a
parallelogram of coordinate lines). The subgroup of $G L_{n}$ that is generated by parallel transport of vectors along arbitrary closed loops on a manifold is called holonomy group.

A Riemannian manifold is a manifold with a metric $g=d x^{m} \otimes d x^{n} g_{m n}$, which by definition is a positive definite ${ }^{3}$ symmetric bilinear form on tangent space

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(v, w)=v^{m} g_{m n} w^{n}, \quad g_{m n}=g_{n m}, \quad g:=\operatorname{det} g_{m n} \neq 0 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

A metric defines lengths and angles among tangent vectors at a given point and it is natural to demand that parallel transport does not change these quantities. This is equivalent to covariant constance $D_{m} g_{i j}=0$ of the metric tensor. This property is called metric compatibility

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{m} g_{i j}=\partial_{m} g_{i j}-\Gamma_{m i j}-\Gamma_{m j i}=0, \quad \text { with } \quad \Gamma_{i j k}:=g_{k l} \Gamma_{i j}^{l} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 13: Show that metric compatibility implies that the connection coefficients are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i j n}=\hat{\Gamma}_{i j n}+\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{i j n}+T_{n i j}-T_{j n i}\right), \quad \hat{\Gamma}_{i j n}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{i} g_{j n}+\partial_{j} g_{i n}-\partial_{n} g_{i j}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\hat{\Gamma}_{i j n}=g_{n l} \hat{\Gamma}_{i j}{ }^{l}$ is called Christoffel symbol or Levi-Civita connection. Thus the tensors metric and torsion fix a unique metric-compatible connection.
The torsion dependent contribution $K_{i j n}=K_{i[j n]}=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{i j n}+T_{n i j}-T_{j n i}\right)$ is called contorsion. Note that $\Gamma_{i n}{ }^{n}=\hat{\Gamma}_{i n}{ }^{n}$ but $\Gamma_{i n}{ }^{i}=\hat{\Gamma}_{i n}{ }^{i}+T_{i n}{ }^{i}$ and $g^{i j} \Gamma_{i j}{ }^{n}=g^{i j} \hat{\Gamma}_{i j}{ }^{n}+g^{n l} T_{l i}{ }^{i}$.

The metric provides a natural $n$ form, the volume form $\sqrt{g} d^{n} x$ so that any scalar $\phi$ can be used to build an $n$ form $\sqrt{g} d^{n} x \phi$ whose integral over the manifold is coordinate independent. It also can be used to define curvature tensors with fewer indices: A single contraction gives the Ricci tensor $\mathcal{R}_{i k}:=R_{i j k}{ }^{j}$ and a second contraction gives the curvature scalar $\mathcal{R}=g^{i k} R_{i j k}{ }^{j}$, which is used to build the Einstein Hilbert action in general relativity

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{E H}=\frac{1}{16 \pi G_{N}} \int d^{n} x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{R} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following are some variational formulas that are useful for the derivation of the field equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta g^{i j} & =-g^{i m} g^{j n} \delta g_{m n}, \quad \delta g=g g^{m n} \delta g_{m n},  \tag{3.16}\\
\delta \mathcal{R}_{m n} & =D_{m} \delta \Gamma_{j n}{ }^{j}-D_{j} \delta \Gamma_{m n}{ }^{j}+T_{m j}{ }^{l} \delta \Gamma_{l n}{ }^{j} \quad \text { Palatini identity }  \tag{3.17}\\
\frac{\delta}{\delta g^{m n}}\left(\sqrt{g} e^{\phi} \hat{\mathcal{R}}\right) & =\sqrt{g}\left(e^{\phi} \hat{G}_{m n}+\hat{D}_{m} \hat{D}_{n} e^{\phi}-g_{m n} g^{k l} \hat{D}_{k} \hat{D}_{l} e^{\phi}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G_{m n}=\mathcal{R}_{m n}-\frac{1}{2} g_{m n} \mathcal{R}$ is the Einstein tensor and $\phi$ an arbitrary scalar field. The Palatini identity is independent of the metric and holds for arbitrary affine connections. ${ }^{4}$ The formula for

[^9]the veriation of the determinant of the metric is easily obtained by using $\ln \operatorname{det} X_{i j}=\operatorname{tr} \ln X_{i j}$, so that $\delta \ln g=\operatorname{tr}\left(g_{. .}\right)^{-1} \delta g_{. .}=g^{m n} \delta g_{m n}$ with $g^{m n}:=\left(g_{m n}\right)^{-1}$.

For derivatives of the determinant $g=\operatorname{det} g_{m n}$ of the metric we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{p} \ln \sqrt{g}=\hat{\Gamma}_{p m}{ }^{m}=\Gamma_{p m}{ }^{m}, \quad \partial_{p}\left(\sqrt{g} v^{p}\right)=\sqrt{g}\left(D_{p}+T_{p l}{ }^{l}\right) v^{p}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\xi} \sqrt{g}=\partial_{p}\left(\xi^{p} \sqrt{g}\right) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\sqrt{g}\left(D_{n} X_{i \ldots j} Y^{n i \ldots j}+X_{i \ldots j} D_{n} Y^{n i \ldots j}+T_{n l}{ }^{l} X_{i \ldots j} Y^{n i \ldots j}\right)=\partial_{n}\left(\sqrt{g} X_{i \ldots j} Y^{n i \ldots j}\right)$ is a total derivative, which implies a covariant partial integration rule. These formulas also confirm that $\sqrt{g} \phi$ gives coordinate independ integrals: Under an infinitesimal coordinate transformation a scalar density $\sqrt{g} \phi$ transforms into the total derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}(\sqrt{g} \phi)=\partial_{m}\left(\xi^{m} \sqrt{g} \phi\right)$, whose integral gives a surface term that just compensates the shift in the integration domain. (For a tensor $T_{\ldots} \ldots$ the product $\sqrt{g} T_{\ldots} \ldots$ is called a tensor density.)

Exercise 14: Show that the 'orthogonal projection to tangent space' definition of the covariant derivative that can be used if a Riemannian manifold is realized by an embedding into Euclidean space is equal to the Levi-Civita connection. Hint: Use the definition $\Gamma_{m n}{ }^{l} \partial_{l} X^{\mu}:=$ $D_{m}\left(\partial_{n} X^{\mu}\right):=\operatorname{tpr}\left(\partial_{m}\left(\partial_{n} X^{\mu}\right)\right)$ and relate $\Gamma_{m n l}$ to the partial derivatives of the induced metric $g_{m n}=\partial_{m} X^{\mu} \partial_{n} X^{\nu} \delta_{\mu \nu}$. (Note that $V_{\mu} \operatorname{tpr}\left(W^{\mu}\right)=V_{\mu} W^{\mu}$ if $V^{\mu}$ is tangential.)

The length of a curve $x(t)$ with curve parameter $t$ on a Riemannian manifold is given by the integral $S=\int d s=\int d t L(t)$ with $L=\sqrt{\dot{x}^{m} \dot{x}^{n} g_{m n}(x)}$. A curve of extremal length is called a geodesic. Variation of $x(t)$ implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta S}{\delta x^{p}}=\frac{1}{2 L} \dot{x}^{m} \dot{x}^{n} \partial_{p} g_{m n}-\frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{2 \dot{x}^{n} g_{n p}}{2 L}\right)=g_{p n} \dot{x}^{n} \frac{\dot{L}}{L^{2}}-\frac{g_{p n}}{L}\left(\ddot{x}^{n}+\dot{x}^{i} \dot{x}^{j} \Gamma_{i j}{ }^{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation does not fix $x(t)$ as a function of $t$ because the 'action' $S$ is reparametrization invariant. We may thus choose an affine parametrization of the curve, with the curve parameter proportional to the length (i.e. we impose $\dot{L}=0$ ), to simplify the equation. Then only the last term remains and we obtain the geodesic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}^{n}+\dot{x}^{i} \dot{x}^{j} \hat{\Gamma}_{i j}{ }^{n} . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be obtained directly using the action $S=\int d t L^{2}$. (In general relativity the action of a structureless free particle is proportional to the proper time; such particles therefore move on geodesics.)

There is an alternative definition of a geodesic as a curve whose tangent vectors are parallel and - with affine parametrization - of constant length along the curve (the curve is autoparallel). This means that the covariant derivative of $\dot{x}$ along $\dot{x}^{m} \partial_{m}$ vanishes, i.e. $D_{\dot{x}} \dot{x}^{m}=\ddot{x}^{n}+\dot{x}^{i} \dot{x}^{j} \Gamma_{i j}{ }^{n}$. The two definitions agree for the Levi-Civita connection $\Gamma=\hat{\Gamma}$.

Since $R$ and $\hat{R}$ both are tensors the difference has to be a tensor as well. It is, indeed, straightforward to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j k}^{l}=\hat{R}_{i j k}^{l}+\hat{D}_{i} K_{j k}^{l}-\hat{D}_{j} K_{i k}^{l}+K_{i n}^{l} K_{j k}^{n}-K_{j n}^{l} K_{i k}{ }^{n}, \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{i j n}=\Gamma_{i j n}-\hat{\Gamma}_{i j n}=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{i j n}+T_{n i j}-T_{j n i}\right)$ is the contorsion. There is thus no loss in generality if we use the Levi-Civita connection and consider the torsion as an independent tensor field (the same is true for non-metricity components of the connection, as soon as a metric tensor if available).

The curvature tensor $\hat{R}$ of the Levi-Civita connection has additional symmetries: Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{R}_{i j k l}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{i} \partial_{k} g_{j l}-\partial_{i} \partial_{l} g_{j k}-\partial_{j} \partial_{k} g_{i l}+\partial_{j} \partial_{l} g_{i k}\right)+\hat{\Gamma}_{i k}{ }^{n} \hat{\Gamma}_{j l n}-\hat{\Gamma}_{j k}{ }^{n} \hat{\Gamma}_{i l n} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

symmetry of $\hat{\Gamma}_{i j}{ }^{k}$ implies $\hat{R}_{i j k l}=\hat{R}_{k l i j}=-\hat{R}_{j i k l}$; the first Bianchi identity reads $\sum_{i j k} \hat{R}_{i j k}{ }^{l}=0$.
The following formulas for a Weyl rescaling of the metric are valid for the Levi-Civita connection: Let $g_{i j}^{*}:=e^{-2 \sigma} g_{i j}$ and define $\sigma_{l}:=\partial_{l} \sigma, \sigma_{m n}:=D_{m} D_{n} \sigma+\sigma_{m} \sigma_{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{2 \sigma} R_{i j k l}^{*}=R_{i j k l}+g_{i l} \sigma_{j k}-g_{i k} \sigma_{j l}-g_{j l} \sigma_{i k}+g_{j k} \sigma_{i l}+\sigma^{n} \sigma_{n}\left(g_{i k} g_{j l}-g_{j k} g_{i l}\right)  \tag{3.24}\\
R_{i j}^{*}=R_{i j}+(n-2)\left(g_{i j} \sigma^{n} \sigma_{n}-\sigma_{i j}\right)-g_{i j} \Delta \sigma, \quad e^{-2 \sigma} R^{*}=R+(n-1)\left((n-2) \sigma^{n} \sigma_{n}-2 \Delta \sigma\right),  \tag{3.25}\\
\Delta f:=D^{n} D_{n} f=\left(g^{m n} \partial_{m} \partial_{n}-g^{i j} \Gamma_{i j}^{n} \partial_{n}\right) f,  \tag{3.26}\\
\bar{\Gamma}^{l}:=g^{m n} \Gamma_{m n}{ }^{l}-\frac{2}{n+1} g^{m l} \Gamma_{m n}{ }^{n} \Rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_{l}^{*}=\bar{\Gamma}_{l}+\frac{(n+2)(n-1)}{n+1} \sigma_{l}  \tag{3.27}\\
e^{-2 \sigma} \Delta^{*}=\Delta-(n-2) \sigma^{n} \partial_{n}, \quad\left(\Delta^{*}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{n-2}{n-1} R^{*}\right) \phi^{*}=e^{\frac{n+2}{2} \sigma}\left(\Delta+\frac{1}{4} \frac{n-2}{n-1} R\right) \phi \quad \text { with } \phi^{*}=e^{\frac{n-2}{2} \sigma} \phi \tag{3.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

The conformal (Weyl) curvature tensor $C_{i j k}{ }^{l}=C_{i j k}^{*}{ }^{l}$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{i j k l}:=R_{i j k l}+\frac{g_{i l} R_{j k}-g_{i k} R_{j l}-g_{j l} R_{i k}-g_{j k} R_{i l}}{n-2}+\frac{R\left(g_{i k} g_{j l}-g_{i l} g_{j k}\right)}{(n-2)(n-1)},  \tag{3.29}\\
C_{i j k l} C^{i j k l}=R_{i j k l} R^{i j k l}-\frac{4 R_{i k} R^{i k}}{n-2}+\frac{2 R^{2}}{(n-1)(n-2)}, \quad \sqrt{g} C^{2}=\left(\sqrt{g} C^{2}\right)^{*} \Leftrightarrow n=4 \tag{3.30}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 3.3 The Killing equation and the conformal group

A Riemannian manifold has a (continuous) symmetry if there is a family of coordinate transformations that leaves a fixed metric invariant. The vector field $\xi$ that corresponds to an infinitesimal symmetry thus has to satisfy the Killing equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{m n}=D_{m} \xi_{n}+D_{n} \xi_{m}=0 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

A conformal transformation is a coordinate transformation $x \rightarrow x^{\prime}(x)$ that amounts to Weyl rescaling of the metric, i.e $g_{m n}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=e^{-2 \sigma(x)} g_{m n}(x)$. The existence of an infinitesimal conformal transformation thus requires the existence of a solution to the conformal Killing equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g_{m n}=D_{m} \xi_{n}+D_{n} \xi_{m}=-2 \sigma(x) g_{m n} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\sigma(x)=-\frac{1}{n} D_{l} \xi^{l}\right.$ follows from taking the trace). Such solutions exist, for example, in flat space.
The conformal group $S O(p+1, q+1)$ of a flat space with signature $(p, q)$ can be obtained by solving the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{m n}:=\partial_{m} \xi_{n}+\partial_{n} \xi_{m}+2 \sigma(x) \eta_{m n}=0, \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{m n}=\operatorname{diag}(1, \ldots, 1,-1, \ldots,-1)$ with $q=n-p$ negative entries. Taking the trace (i.e. contracting with the inverse metric) and the double divergence (i.e. contracting with $\partial_{m} \partial_{n}$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{m n} h_{m n}=2(\partial \xi+n \sigma)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial^{m} \partial^{n} h_{m n}=\left(2-\frac{2}{n}\right) \square \partial \xi=0 . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n \neq 1$ this implies $\square \Lambda=\square \partial \xi=0$. (In one dimension there is, of course, no restriction on $\xi$.) Now we compute the symmetrized derivative of the divergence of $h_{m n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{l} \partial^{m} h_{m n}+\partial_{n} \partial^{m} h_{m l}=\square\left(\partial_{l} \xi_{n}+\partial_{n} \xi_{l}\right)+2 \partial_{l} \partial_{n} \partial \xi+4 \partial_{l} \partial_{n} \Lambda=2\left(1-\frac{2}{n}\right) \partial_{l} \partial_{n} \partial \xi=0, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used $\square\left(\partial_{l} \xi_{n}+\partial_{n} \xi_{l}\right)=-2 \eta_{l n} \square \Lambda=0$. In more than two dimensions this implies that all second derivatives of $\Lambda$ vanish, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
n>2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Lambda=-\frac{1}{n} \partial \xi=2 b x-\lambda \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $\lambda$ and $b_{m}$. In order to solve for $\xi$ we still need the antisymmetric part of $\partial_{m} \xi_{n}$, whose derivative is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{l}\left(\partial_{m} \xi_{n}-\partial_{n} \xi_{m}\right)=\partial_{m} \partial_{l} \xi_{n}-\partial_{n} \partial_{l} \xi_{m}=2\left(\eta_{l m} \partial_{n} \Lambda-\eta_{l n} \partial_{m} \Lambda\right)=4\left(\eta_{l m} b_{n}-\eta_{l n} b_{m}\right) . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this equation we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{m} \xi_{n}-\partial_{n} \xi_{m}\right)=\omega_{m n}+2 x_{m} b_{n}-2 x_{n} b_{m} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an antisymmetric integration constant $\omega_{m n}=-\omega_{n m}$. Putting the pieces together

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{m} \xi_{n}=\omega_{m n}+2 x_{m} b_{n}-2 x_{n} b_{m}+\eta_{m n}(\lambda-2 b x) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{n}=a^{n}+x^{m} \omega_{m}^{n}+\lambda x^{n}+x^{2} b^{n}-2 b x x^{n} . \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a, \omega, \lambda$ and $b$ generate translations, Lorentz transformations, dilatations and 'special conformal transformations', respectively.

Computing the Lie brackets of these vector fields it can be shown that the conformal group is isomorphic to $S O(p+1, q+1)$ for a space with signature $(p, q)$. The finite form of the special conformal transformations is $x^{n} \rightarrow y^{n}=\left(x^{n}+x^{2} b^{n}\right) /\left(1+2 b x+b^{2} x^{2}\right)$. They form a subgroup, as can be seen by writing the transformation as a combination of two inversions and a translation $\vec{y} / y^{2}=\vec{x} / x^{2}+\vec{b}$ (note that $x^{2} / y^{2}=1+2 b x+b^{2} x^{2}$; the inversion $\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x} / x^{2}$ itself is also a conformal map, but it has negative functional determinant - the radial direction is reversed - and hence is not continuously connected to the identity). The functional determinant is $\left|\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}\right|=\left(\frac{y^{2}}{x^{2}}\right)^{n}=\left(1+2 b x+b^{2} x^{2}\right)^{-n}$ and $\eta^{m n} \frac{\partial y^{i}}{\partial x^{m}} \frac{\partial y^{j}}{\partial x^{n}}=\eta^{i j} /\left(1+2 b x+b^{2} x^{2}\right)^{2}$.

### 3.4 Hodge duality and inner products

Given a metric with $\operatorname{sign}(g)=(-)^{s}$ the natural volume form is $\varepsilon=\sqrt{|g|} d x^{1} \ldots d x^{n}$ and we can define the inner product for $p$ forms $(\alpha \mid \beta):=\frac{1}{p!} \alpha_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} \beta^{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(d x^{i_{1}} \ldots d x^{i_{p}} \mid d x^{j_{1}} \ldots d x^{j_{p}}\right)=\operatorname{det} g^{i_{a} j_{b}} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hodge star operation $*: \Lambda^{p} \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-p}$ is defined by $(* \omega)_{i_{p+1} \ldots i_{n}}=1 / p!\varepsilon_{i_{1} \ldots i_{n}} \omega^{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon(\alpha \mid \beta)=\alpha \wedge * \beta, \quad *^{2}=(-)^{p(n-p)+s}, \quad(* \alpha \mid * \beta)=(-)^{s}(\alpha \mid \beta), \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as

$$
\begin{equation*}
* 1=\varepsilon, \quad \alpha \wedge * \beta=\beta \wedge * \alpha, \quad \alpha \wedge * \alpha=0 \Leftrightarrow \alpha=0 . \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The codifferential $\delta$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \omega:=(-)^{p} *^{-1} d * \omega=(-)^{p D+D+s+1} * d * \omega, \quad * \delta=(-)^{p} d *, \quad * d=(-)^{p+1} \delta *, \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

is, up to surface terms, the adjoint of the differential $d$ w.r.t. the scalar product

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\alpha \mid \beta]:=\int \alpha \wedge * \beta=[\beta \mid \alpha], \quad[d \alpha \mid \beta]=[\alpha \mid \delta \beta]+\int d(\alpha \wedge * \beta) . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

It allows us to define the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta:=d \delta+\delta d$ on $p$-forms in curved space, for which we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta \omega)_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}}=-g^{m n} D_{m} D_{n} \omega_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}}-\sum_{1 \leq a \leq p} \omega_{i_{1} \ldots j \ldots i_{p}} R_{i_{a}}{ }^{j}+\sum_{0 \leq a<b \leq p} \omega_{i_{1} \ldots j \ldots k \ldots i_{p}} R_{i_{a} i_{b}}{ }^{j k} . \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In calculations it is sometimes useful to have, instead of an equivalent factor $1 / p$ !, angles $\langle\ldots\rangle$ around indices that enforce their ordering. Then $(\delta \omega)_{i_{2} \ldots i_{p}}=-\delta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}}^{\left\langle j_{1} \ldots j_{p}\right\rangle} D^{i_{1}} \omega_{\left\langle j_{1} \ldots j_{p}\right\rangle}$ with the symbol $\delta_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}}^{j_{1} \ldots j_{p}}:=\operatorname{det} \delta_{i_{a}}^{j_{b}}$ for $1 \leq a, b \leq p$.)

On a compact Riemannian manifold the Laplacian is a positive operator because

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\omega \mid \Delta \omega]=[d \omega \mid d \omega]+[\delta \omega \mid \delta \omega] \geq 0 \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $p$-form $\omega$ is called harmonic if $\Delta \omega=0$. On a compact orientable Riemannian manifold $\omega$ is thus harmonic iff it is closed and co-closed [eg80, NA90]. The Hodge decomposition theorem states that there is a unique decomposition of a $p$-form into an exact, a co-exact and a harmonic piece:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{p}=d \omega_{p-1}+\delta \omega_{p+1}+\omega_{\text {harm }} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each cohomology class therefore has a unique harmonic representative, which implies the Hodge duality $b^{r}=b^{n-r}$ of Betti numbers. (The linear space of harmonic forms has the same dimension as the de Rham cohomology group, but no ring structure because $\delta$ is not an anti-derivation on the exterior algebra and the wedge product of harmonic forms need not be harmonic.)

In physics an important application are the inhomogeneous Maxwell equations $\delta F=j$, or, equivalently $d * F=* j$. The action can be written as $\int F \wedge * F$ and $F \rightarrow * F$ corresponds the electric-magnetic duality $E \rightarrow B$ and $B \rightarrow-E$ of the source-free equations.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ An generalization of this are the spaces of $s$-dimesional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n+s}$, which are called Grassmannian manifolds. A further generalization are flag manifolds, whose points are chains of linear subspaces.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}(X, \mathcal{T})$ is called locally connected if every point has a basis of neighborhoods consisting of connected sets.
    ${ }^{3}$ For a precise definition we may use the fact that the sphere $S^{n}$ is homeomorphic to $I^{n} / \partial I^{n}$, i.e. to a hypercube with the boundary identified with one point [since $\tan \pi\left(x-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is bi-continuous on the interior $(0,1)$ of $I$, this open interval is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$; the same is true for a product of $n$ such factors, and we already know that the one point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is $\left.S^{n}\right]$. Continuous functions from $I^{n} / \partial I^{n}$ to $Y$ are called $n$-loops with base point equal to the image of the boundary. The product of two such objects can be defined by attaching two hypercubes along a face before identifying the boundary with the base point of the sphere.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Any group structure would require choices for the interpretation of connected components that cannot be made on the basis of purely topological data. The situation is different, for example, for topological groups: The Lorentz group has 4 connected components (which can be reached from the identity by parity and time reversal) and $\pi_{0}=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)^{2}$ would be a natural identification.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ The center of a group is the (abelian) subgroup that consists of all elements that commute with all other group elements.
    ${ }^{6} \pm \mathbf{1}$ are the only $S U_{2}$ transformations that are mapped to the identity, as can be shown using Schur's lemma.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ Besides homotopy and homology groups there is also the holonomy group, which is the subgroup of linear transformations of tangent vectors that can be achieved by parallel transport along loops. This is, however, not a topological but a geometrical concept, since we need more structure (namely a connection) to define parallel transport on manifolds.
    ${ }^{8}$ The quotion group $\operatorname{CoKer}\left(\partial_{r}\right)=C_{r-1} / \partial_{r}\left(C_{r}\right)$ is called cokernel of $\partial_{r}$.

[^5]:    1 The tensor product $V \otimes W$ of two vector spaces is given by the set of all linear combinations of tensor products $v \otimes w$ of vectors $v \in V, w \in W$. A basis of the product space is given by all tensor products $E_{i} \otimes F_{j}$ of basis elements $E_{i} \in V, F_{j} \in W$, so that its dimension is the product of the dimensions of the factors. The direct sum $V \oplus W$, on the other hand, consists of pairs $(v, w)$ with componentwise vector operations, so that $\left(E_{i}, 0\right)$ and $\left(0, F_{j}\right)$ provide a basis and the dimensions are added.

[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Lie derivative of 'tensor-valued $p$ forms' is $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}=\left\{d, i_{\xi}\right\}-\partial_{l} \xi^{n} \Delta_{n}{ }^{l}$, where $\Delta_{n}{ }^{l}$ acts on those indices that are not contracted with coordinate differentials.

[^7]:    ${ }^{3}$ For rigorous definitions and proofs one often uses partitions of unity $1=\sum_{i} f_{i}$ with $0 \leq f_{i} \leq 1$ that are compatible with a locally finite covering $U_{i}$ (i.e. $f_{i} \in C^{\infty}(M)$ vanishes outside $U_{i}$ ). One can use simplicial or, equivalently but more convenient for integration, rectangular coordinate domains.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}$ of a vector depends on $\partial_{i} \xi$, so it is not a (coordinate independent) directional derivative.
    ${ }^{2}$ Note that $\Gamma_{i j}{ }^{k} d x_{j}=D_{i} d x^{k}$ can be interpreted as a 'tensor' is some sense if we regard it as the difference to the flat connection on a chart $\mathcal{U}_{x}$; this is, however, not coordinate independent.

[^9]:    ${ }^{3}$ If $g$ is non-degenerate but not positive definite then the manifold is called pseudo-Riemannian; the signature of $g$ cannot change in a connected component of the manifold.
    ${ }^{4}$ It can be used to simplify the computation of the Einstein equations by treating $\Gamma_{i j}{ }^{l}$ as an independent field whose usual dependence on the metric follows from its variational equation.

