

CENTRAL EXTENSIONS OF LAX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

Martin Schlichenmaier

Mathematics Research Unit
University of Luxembourg

Noncommutativity and physics:
Quantum Geometries and Gravity
Bayrischzell May 2009

INTRODUCTION

- ▶ algebras of current type, only recently introduced
- ▶ generalisations of affine Lie algebras of Krichever-Novikov type – they are generalisations of classical affine Lie algebras
- ▶ related to integrable systems
- ▶ related to the moduli space of bundles over compact Riemann surfaces

Goal: Classify almost-graded central extensions of these algebras

Joint work with [Oleg Sheinman](#) (appeared in Russ. Math. Surveys 63(4), 727-766 (2008))



GEOMETRIC SET-UP

Σ a compact Riemann surface,

$A = I \cup O$ disjoint union of finitely many points, I and O non-empty (here only $I = \{P_+\}$ and $O = \{P_-\}$)

Tyurin data: $n \cdot g$ points ($n \in \mathbb{N}$, g genus of Σ)

$$W := \{\gamma_s \in \Sigma \setminus \{P_+, P_-\} \mid s = 1, \dots, ng\}.$$

$$\gamma_s \mapsto \alpha_s \in \mathbb{C}^n, \quad T := \{(\gamma_s, \alpha_s) \in \Sigma \times \mathbb{C}^n \mid s = 1, \dots, ng\}$$

relation to the moduli space of semi-stable framed algebraic vector bundles of rank n and degree $n \cdot g$

fix local coordinates z_{\pm} at P_{\pm} and z_s at γ_s , $s = 1, \dots, ng$

ALGEBRAIC SET-UP

\mathfrak{g} be one of the **matrix algebras** $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(n)$, $\mathfrak{so}(n)$, $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$, or $\mathfrak{s}(n)$ (the algebra of scalar matrices)

Consider **meromorphic functions** (more precisely trivialisations of sections of a bundle)

$$L : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{g},$$

which are

1. **holomorphic** outside $W \cup \{P_+, P_-\}$,
2. have at most **poles of order one** (resp. of order two for $\mathfrak{sp}(2n)$) at the points in W ,
3. and fulfill **certain conditions** at W depending on T and \mathfrak{g} .

ALGEBRAIC SET-UP

The singularities at W are called **weak singularities**.

What are the **additional properties**? (Here only for $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$)

For $s = 1, \dots, ng$ there exist $\beta_s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\kappa_s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that we get the expansion at $\gamma_s \in W$

$$L(z_s) = \frac{L_{s,-1}}{z_s} + L_{s,0} + \sum_{k>0} L_{s,k} z_s^k$$

with

$$L_{s,-1} = \alpha_s {}^t \beta_s, \quad \text{tr}(L_{s,-1}) = {}^t \beta_s \alpha_s = 0, \quad L_{s,0} \alpha_s = \kappa_s \alpha_s.$$

In particular, if $\alpha_s \neq 0$ $L_{s,-1}$ is a rank 1 matrix, and α_s is an eigenvector of $L_{s,0}$.

ALGEBRAIC SET-UP

$\mathfrak{sl}(n)$ matrices are trace-less

$\mathfrak{s}(n)$ matrices are scalar matrices

$\mathfrak{so}(n)$ and (n) matrices of the corresponding type, with **modified additional conditions**.

THEOREM

Under the pointwise matrix commutator these objects constitute a Lie algebra, denoted by $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ if the finite Lie algebra is denoted by \mathfrak{g} .



ALGEBRAIC SET-UP

If all $\alpha_s = 0$ classical **KN current algebras**.

If $g = 0$ then classical **current algebras**.

\mathcal{A} associative algebra of **meromorphic functions** on Σ
holomorphic outside of A

\mathcal{L} Lie algebra of **meromorphic vector fields** on Σ holomorphic
outside of A

classical KN current algebra:

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{A}, \quad [x \otimes f, y \otimes g] := [x, y] \otimes fg$$

$g = 0$, $\Sigma = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, points $0, \infty$,

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}], \quad \bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}].$$

ALMOST-GRADED STRUCTURE

Grading is important for infinite dimensional Lie algebras but a weaker concept **almost-grading** will do

DEFINITION

V an arbitrary Lie algebra is called almost-graded if

- (1) $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$, $\dim V_n < \infty$ as vector space
- (2) There exists $L_1, L_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$[V_n, V_m] \subseteq \bigoplus_{h=n+m+L_1}^{n+m+L_2} V_h, \quad \forall n, m$$

\mathcal{A} , \mathcal{L} , and the current algebras of KN type are almost-graded.

THEOREM

$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is almost-graded, i.e. $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_m$, $\dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_m = \dim \mathfrak{g}$, and

$$[\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_m, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_n] \subseteq \bigoplus_{h=m+n}^{n+m+M} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_h$$

The **generic bound** is $M = g$, the genus of Σ .

Given $X \in \mathfrak{g}$: there exists a **unique** $X_m \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_m$ such that $X_m = Xz_+^m + O(z_+^{m+1})$.

classical situation: we get the well-known grading

CENTRAL EXTENSIONS

- ▶ **Goal:** Construct and classify **central extensions** of the Lax operator algebras
- ▶ **Why:** Needed by the **applications**, like regularisation, 2nd quantization, etc.
- ▶ **Mathematical back-ground:** by regularisation we obtain only **projective action** of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, they correspond to linear actions of **a** central extension $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$
- ▶ **Strictly speaking:** from these application we need only central extensions of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ which allow to **extend the almost-grading** to $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.



CENTRAL EXTENSIONS

How are central extensions **constructed**?

$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C} t$ as **vector space** (t is the central element)

$$[\widehat{L}_1, \widehat{L}_2] = [\widehat{L}_1, \widehat{L}_2] + \psi(L_1, L_2)t$$

$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a **Lie algebra** if and only if ψ is a **Lie algebra 2-cocycle**, i.e.

(1) ψ is antisymmetric

(2) $\psi([L_1, L_2], L_3) + \psi([L_2, L_3], L_1) + \psi([L_3, L_1], L_2) = 0$.

Two different central extensions are **equivalent** iff difference of the two 2-cocycles is a **coboundary** (ϕ a linear form)

$$\psi_1(L_1, L_2) - \psi_2(L_1, L_2) = \phi([L_1, L_2])$$

Hence, we need **2-cocycles**

For **current type KN algebras**: $(x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, g, h \in \mathcal{A})$

$$\psi(x \otimes g, y \otimes h) = \langle x, y \rangle \int_C gdh.$$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ invariant symmetric bilinear form,
 C a closed contour on $\Sigma \setminus A$.

For Lax operator algebras we do not have such a splitting
our *functions* are not really functions but **sections**,
before defining a differentiation we need to choose a
connection.

CENTRAL EXTENSIONS

The **connection** ∇^ω is defined with the help of ω

- (1) a \mathfrak{g} -valued **meromorphic** 1-form
- (2) **holomorphic** outside of A and W
- (3) obey certain conditions at the **weak singularity** points:
points $\gamma_s \in W$ with $\alpha_s = 0$: ω is regular there
points γ_s with $\alpha_s \neq 0$: the expansion

$$\omega(z_s) = \left(\frac{\omega_{s,-1}}{z_s} + \omega_{s,0} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \omega_{s,k} z_s^k \right) dz_s.$$

there exist $\tilde{\beta}_s \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\tilde{\kappa}_s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\omega_{s,-1} = \alpha_s {}^t \tilde{\beta}_s, \quad \omega_{s,0} \alpha_s = \tilde{\kappa}_s {}^t \alpha_s, \quad \text{tr}(\omega_{s,-1}) = {}^t \tilde{\beta}_s \alpha_s = 1.$$

Such ω exist

we can choose an ω holomorphic at P_+

$$\nabla^{(\omega)} = d + [\omega, \cdot]$$

covariant derivative

$$\nabla_e^{(\omega)} = dz(e) \frac{d}{dz} + [\omega(e), \cdot], \quad e \in \mathcal{L}$$

THEOREM

The covariant derivative makes $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ to an almost-graded Lie module over \mathcal{L} .

Define

$$\gamma_{1,\omega,C}(L, L') = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \text{tr}(L \cdot \nabla^{(\omega)} L'), \quad L, L' \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}},$$

and

$$\gamma_{2,\omega,C}(L, L') = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \text{tr}(L) \cdot \text{tr}(\nabla^{(\omega)} L'), \quad L, L' \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

indeed these are cocycles

$\gamma_{2,\omega,C}$ does **not depend** on ω , vanishes for $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{gl}(n), \mathfrak{sl}(n)$

$\gamma_{1,\omega,C}$ for different ω are **cohomologous**
cocycles depend on the **integration path**

GEOMETRIC COCYCLES

DEFINITION

A cocycle γ for $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is called *\mathcal{L} -invariant* (with respect to ω) if

$$\gamma(\nabla_e^{(\omega)} L, L') + \gamma(L, \nabla_e^{(\omega)} L') = 0, \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{L}, \quad \forall L, L' \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

DEFINITION

A cocycle γ for $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is called *local* if there exists $M_1, M_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all n, m

$$\gamma(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_m, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_n) \neq 0, \implies M_1 \leq n + m \leq M_2.$$

Almost-grading can be **extended** to the central extension if and only if the defining cocycle is **local**.

GEOMETRIC COCYCLES

For **cohomology classes** use the definition if one representative is of this type.

Warning: not all elements in the class of certain type are of this type.

THEOREM

The cocycles $\gamma_{1,\omega,C}$ and $\gamma_{2,C}$ are \mathcal{L} -invariant.

locality is in general **not true**.

essentially different integration cycles yield **essentially different** 2-cocycle classes \implies a lot of **non-equivalent** central extensions appear

but, denote by C_S an integration cycle separating the point in I from the points in O .



THEOREM

The cocycles $\gamma_{1,\omega,C}$ and $\gamma_{2,C}$ with integration over a separating cycle $C = C_S$ are local.

Question: is the opposite true?

Essentially **uniqueness** of almost-graded central extensions

Answer:

In the **simple case**: yes

In the **$gl(n)$ case**: we have to add \mathcal{L} -invariance and then obtain a two-dimensional family of central extensions.

$$\gamma_{1,\omega} := \gamma_{1,\omega,C_S}, \quad \gamma_2 := \gamma_{2,C_S}$$

MAIN RESULTS

THEOREM

If \mathfrak{g} is simple (i.e. $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}(n), \mathfrak{so}(n), \mathfrak{sp}(2n)$) then the space of local cohomology classes is **one-dimensional**. The space will be generated by the class of $\gamma_{1,\omega}$. Every \mathcal{L} -invariant local cocycle is a **scalar multiple** of $\gamma_{1,\omega}$.

THEOREM

For $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \overline{\mathfrak{gl}}(n)$ the space of local cohomology classes which are \mathcal{L} -invariant having been restricted to the scalar subalgebra is **two-dimensional**. The space will be generated by the classes of the cocycles $\gamma_{1,\omega}$ and γ_2 . Every \mathcal{L} -invariant local cocycle is a **linear combination** of $\gamma_{1,\omega}$ and γ_2 .

SOME WORDS ON THE PROOF

- ▶ **start** with local and \mathcal{L} -invariant cocycle
- ▶ use **almost-graded structure** to show that everything can be reduced to level zero – $\gamma(L_n, L_m)$ is of level $n + m$
- ▶ **reduced** means: $\gamma(L_n, L_m) = 0$ if $n + m > 0$ and is fixed by knowing the values of γ at level zero
- ▶ Hence, we only have to show that at **level zero** it is of the required form.
- ▶ Now: we have to get rid of the condition of **\mathcal{L} -invariance**
- ▶ **abelian** part it is o.k. as there we put it into the requirements

SOME WORDS ON THE PROOF

- ▶ **simple part**: we show that in every class there is a \mathcal{L} invariant representative
- ▶ for this: consider the **Chevalley generators** of the finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra
- ▶ use almost-gradedness inside of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and boundedness from above of the cocycle to make **cohomologous changes** - stay in the same class
- ▶ show that for the modified cocycle everything depends on one cocycle value evaluated for a **fixed pair** of elements
- ▶ hence, the cohomogy space is at most **one-dimensional**
- ▶ $\gamma_{1,\omega}$ is a local cocycle which is **not a coboundary**, hence it is a generator and the space is one-dimensional
- ▶ but $\gamma_{1,\omega}$ is also **\mathcal{L} -invariant**.
- ▶ **gives** the proof



SOME WORDS ON THE PROOF

Remark:

For the abelian part \mathcal{L} -invariance is really needed. Otherwise, uniqueness can **never be true**.

Coming from applications (e.g. regularisation of **fermionic Fock space representations**) \mathcal{L} -invariance of the defining 2-cocycle is very often automatic

Reason is that the representation there is in fact a representation of an by the vector field **augmented** algebra.



SOME MORE DETAILS

First part (start with \mathcal{L} -invariance):

$e_p \in \mathcal{L}$, $e_p = z_+^{\rho+1} \frac{d}{dz_+}$ of degree p

$L_m^r, L_n^s \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ of degree m and n

recall that for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ we have a unique $X_m \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_m$ with
 $X_m = Xz_+^m + O(z_+^{m+1})$

almost-graded action:

$\nabla_{e_p} L_m^r = mL_{p+m}^r + L'$ with L' of higher order

the \mathcal{L} -invariance

$$\gamma(\nabla_{e_p} L_m^r, L_n^s) + \gamma(L_m^r, \nabla_{e_p} L_n^s) = 0$$

implies

$$m\gamma(L_{p+m}^r, L_n^s) + n\gamma(L_m^r, L_{n+p}^s) = \text{cocycle value at higher level}$$

SOME MORE DETAILS

In particular, for $p = 0$

$(m + n)\gamma(L_m^r, L_n^s)$ is of higher level

This shows that for $(m + n) \neq 0$ the value is given by higher level values.

Hence, everything reduces to level zero.

Further analysis shows

$$\gamma(L_0^r, L_0^s) = 0, \quad \gamma(L_n^r, L_{-n}^s) = n\gamma(L_1^r, L_{-1}^s) + \text{higher level.}$$

Hence, $\gamma(L_1^r, L_{-1}^s)$ fixes everything.

SOME MORE DETAILS

Given γ consider the **map**

$$\psi_\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \psi_\gamma(X, Y) = \gamma(X_1, Y_{-1}).$$

ψ_γ is a symmetric, invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{g} .

For \mathfrak{g} simple it is a **multiple of the Cartan-Killing form**.

For $\mathfrak{gl}(n) = \mathfrak{s}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ the cocycle splits.

As $\mathfrak{s}(n) \cong \mathcal{A}$ we can use an earlier result of mine on the **uniqueness** of \mathcal{L} -invariant cocycles for the **abelian part**.



SOME MORE DETAILS

for \mathfrak{g} simple in every class there is an \mathcal{L} invariant one:

$E^\alpha, E^{-\alpha}, H^\alpha$ Chevalley generators of \mathfrak{g}

Chevalley-Serre relations for the finite-dimensional \mathfrak{g} .

these structure equations are also structure equations in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ modulo higher level terms (comes from the almost-graded structure)

SOME MORE DETAILS

by **almost-gradedness** and **boundedness** of the cocycle we can change the cocycle in a **cohomologous way** such that finally **zero is an upper bound** for nonvanishing level and that for the level < 0 everything is **fixed by level zero**

this modified cocycle is called **normalized cocycle**

at level zero all cocycle values (normalized) can be expressed in relation to the cocycle value $\gamma(H_1^\alpha, H_{-1}^\alpha)$ for a **single fixed simple root**

hence up to coboundary all local cocycles are **multiples of a single one**