Christoph A. Stephan Institut für Mathematik Universität Potsdam

Bayrischzell 2014

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のので

Overview









5 Conclusions

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ● ● ● ● ● ●











5 Conclusions

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ ▲国▼

Basic Ideas

Analogy: Almost-comm. geometry \leftrightarrow Kaluza-Klein space



Idea: $M \to C^{\infty}(M), F \to$ some "finite space", differential geometry \to spectral triple

Basic Ideas

Almost-commutative Geometry



Replacing manifolds by algebras

extra dimension: $F \to A_f = M_1(\mathbb{K}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \ldots$

Kaluza-Klein space: $M \times F \rightarrow \mathcal{A} = C^{\infty}(M) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{f}$

Basic Ideas

General Relativity & Standard Model: The spectral point of view

```
Euclidean space(-time)!
```



Basic Ideas

General Relativity & Standard Model: The spectral point of view

Almost-Commutative Standard Model (A.Chamseddine, A.Connes):



Basic Ideas

General Relativity & Standard Model: The spectral point of view

The almost-commutative standard model automatically produces:

- The combined Einstein-Hilbert and standard model action
- A cosmological constant
- The Higgs boson with the correct quartic Higgs potential

The generalised Dirac operator plays a multiple role:













5 Conclusions

Geometry

Spectral Triples: the Input

An even, real spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$

The ingredients (A. Connes):

- A real, associative, unital pre-C*-algebra A
- A Hilbert space *H* on which the algebra *A* is faithfully represented via a representation *ρ*
- A self-adjoint operator *D* with compact resolvent, the Dirac operator
- An anti-unitary operator *J* on *H*, the real structure or charge conjugation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

 A unitary operator γ on H, the chirality or volume element Geometry

The Classical Conditions

The axioms of noncommutative geometry (A. Connes):

Axiom 1: Classical Dimension *n* (we assume *n* even) Axiom 2: Regularity Axiom 3: Finiteness Axiom 4: First Order of the Dirac Operator Axiom 5: Reality Axiom 6: Orientability Axiom 7: Poincaré Duality

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Geometry

The Reconstruction Theorem

Connes' Reconstruction Theorem (sloppy version):

Compact Riemannian spin manifolds are equivalent to real spectral triples with \mathcal{A} commutative.

One can therefore replace a compact 4-dim. Riemannian space-time \mathcal{M} by the spectral triple $(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{H}, \partial)$.

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Geometry

Finite Spectral Triples

Finite spectral triples:

- $\mathcal{A}_f = M_1(\mathbb{K}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \dots$ $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C} \text{ or } \mathbb{H}$
- gauge group: $Aut^{e}(M_{n}(\mathbb{C})) = U^{nc}(M_{n}(\mathbb{C})),$
- $\mathcal{H}_f \simeq \mathbb{C}^N$

N is the total number of particles left-/right-handed particles/antiparticles counted separately

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

• $D_f \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$, D_f is the fermionic mass matrix.

Axioms \rightarrow Restrictions for D_f and \mathcal{H}_f

Geometry

Almost-Commutative Geometry

Almost-commutative geometry:

An almost-commutative spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is a tensor product of a spectral triple of a manifold M $(\mathcal{A}_M = C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{H}_M, D_M = \emptyset)$ with dimensions $n_M > 0$ and a finite spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}_f, \mathcal{H}_f, D_f)$ with metric dimension $n_f = 0$ (i.e. \mathcal{A}_f matrix algebra).

$$\mathcal{A} = C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{f}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{M} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{f},$$
$$J = J_{M} \otimes J_{f}, \quad \gamma = \gamma_{5} \otimes \gamma_{f},$$
$$D = \partial \otimes \mathbf{1}_{f} + \gamma_{5} \otimes D_{f}$$
$$\operatorname{Aut}(C^{\infty}(\mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{f}) \simeq \operatorname{Diff}(\mathcal{M}) \rtimes U^{nc}(\mathcal{A}_{f})$$

Geometry

Almost-Commutative Geometry

The geometric setup imposes constraints:

- mathematical axioms
 - \rightarrow Restrictions on particle content
- symmetries of finite space
 - \rightarrow determines gauge group
- Dirac operator \rightarrow allowed mass terms / Higgs fields

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

Overview









5 Conclusions

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

The Action

The generalised Dirac operator

The Dirac operator $\partial \otimes 1_f + \gamma_5 \otimes D_f$ is fluctuated with inner unitaries $\mathcal{U}^{nc}(\mathcal{A}_f)$ and becomes

 $D = \partial \!\!/ \otimes \mathbf{1}_f + \psi + \gamma_5 \otimes \Phi$

The Spectral Action (A. Connes, A. Chamseddine 1996)

 $(\Psi, D\Psi) + S_D(\Lambda)$ with $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$

- (Ψ, DΨ) = fermionic action includes Yukawa couplings & fermion–gauge boson interactions
- $S_D(\Lambda) = \sharp$ eigenvalues of *D* up to cut-off Λ
 - = Einstein-Hilbert action + Cosm. Const.
 - + full bosonic SM action + constraints at Λ

constraints => less free parameters than classical SM

The Action

Asymptotic expansion of the Spectral Action

From the heat trace asymptotics for $\Lambda \to \infty$

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{e}^{-\frac{D^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}}}\right)\sim\sum_{n\geq0}\Lambda^{2-n}\boldsymbol{a}_{2n}(D^{2})$$

(with Seeley-deWitt coefficients $a_{2n}(D^2)$) one gets an asymptotics for the spectral action

$$S_D(\Lambda) = \operatorname{Tr} f\left(\frac{D^2}{\Lambda^2}\right) \sim \Lambda^4 f_4 a_0(D^2) + \Lambda^2 f_2 a_2(D^2) + \Lambda^0 f_0 a_4(D^2)$$

as $\Lambda \to \infty$.
Here f_4, f_2, f_0 are moments of the cut-off function f .

The Action

Spectral action for Connes-Chamseddine Dirac operator

For the Connes-Chamseddine Dirac operator (or fluctuated Dirac operator)

$$\mathsf{D} := \partial \!\!\!/ + \psi + \gamma_5 \otimes \Phi$$

we find the Seeley-deWitt coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2}(D^{2}) &= -\frac{\dim(\mathcal{H}_{f})}{96\pi^{2}} \int_{M} R \, dvol - \frac{1}{48\pi^{2}} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}(\Phi^{2}) dvol \\ a_{4}(D^{2}) &= \frac{11 \dim(\mathcal{H}_{f})}{720} \chi(M) - \frac{\dim(\mathcal{H}_{f})}{320\pi^{2}} \int_{M} ||W||^{2} \, dvol \\ &+ \frac{1}{8\pi^{2}} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}([\nabla^{\mathcal{H}_{f}}, \Phi]) + \operatorname{tr}(\Phi^{4}) dvol \\ &+ \frac{5}{96\pi^{2}} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}(\Omega_{f}^{2}) \, dvol + \frac{1}{48\pi^{2}} \int_{M} R \, \operatorname{tr}(\Phi^{2}) \, dvol \end{aligned}$$

The Action

Spectral action for Connes-Chamseddine Dirac operator

For the Connes-Chamseddine Dirac operator (or fluctuated Dirac operator)

$$\mathsf{D} := \partial \!\!\!/ + \psi + \gamma_5 \otimes \Phi$$

we find the Seeley-deWitt coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2}(D^{2}) &= -\frac{\dim(\mathcal{H}_{f})}{96\pi^{2}} \int_{M} R \, dvol - \frac{1}{48\pi^{2}} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}(\Phi^{2}) dvol \\ a_{4}(D^{2}) &= \frac{11 \dim(\mathcal{H}_{f})}{720} \, \chi(M) - \frac{\dim(\mathcal{H}_{f})}{320\pi^{2}} \int_{M} \|W\|^{2} \, dvol \\ &+ \frac{1}{8\pi^{2}} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}([\nabla^{\mathcal{H}_{f}}, \Phi]) + \, \operatorname{tr}(\Phi^{4}) dvol \\ &+ \frac{5}{96\pi^{2}} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}(\Omega_{f}^{2}) \, dvol + \frac{1}{48\pi^{2}} \int_{M} R \, \operatorname{tr}(\Phi^{2}) \, dvol \end{aligned}$$

The Standard Model

The Standard Model

- $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})(\oplus \mathbb{C})$
- $\mathcal{U}^{nc}(\mathcal{A}_f) = SU(2) \times U(3)$
- *H_f* = *H_{SM}* Hilbert space of minimal standard model fermion multiplets
- *D_f*: Fermionic mass matrix with CKM matrix and PMNS matrix

 $D_f \rightarrow \Phi$ Higgs field(s) by inner fluctuations

 Majorana masses and SeeSaw mechanism for right-handed neutrinos (J. Barrett & A. Connes '06)

The Standard Model

Constraints on the SM parameters at the cut-off Λ :

$$5 g_1^2 = N_{SM} g_2^2 = N_{SM} g_3^2 = 3 \frac{Y_2^2}{H} \frac{\lambda}{24} = \frac{3}{4} Y_2$$

- g_1, g_2, g_3 : $U(1)_Y, SU_w(2), SU_c(3)$ gauge couplings
- λ : quartic Higgs coupling
- Y₂: trace of the Yukawa matrix squared
- H: trace of the Yukawa matrix to the fourth power

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ のので

• N_{SM}: number of standard model generations

Physics

The Standard Model

Consequences from the SM constraints:

Input:

- Big Desert
- $g_1(m_Z) = 0.3575$, $g_2(m_Z) = 0.6514$, $g_3(m_Z) = 1.221$
- renormalisation group equations
- $(m_{top} = 171.2 \pm 2.1 \text{ GeV})$

Output:

- $g_2^2(\Lambda) = g_3^2(\Lambda)$ at $\Lambda = 1.1 \times 10^{17}$ GeV
- m_{top} < 190 GeV (Thumstädter, Tolksdorf 05)
- no 4th SM generation

Excluded by Tevatron & LHC since:

- $m_{SMS} \neq$ 168.3 \pm 2.5 GeV
- $\frac{5}{3}g_1(\Lambda)^2 \neq g_2(\Lambda)^2$

The Standard Model

Vacuum instability and inflation

- Higgs vacuum is meta-stable: $\lambda < 0$ at $\mu_I \sim 10^{10} GeV$
- vacuum decay probability (Hawking-Moss instantons)

$$\mathcal{P}_{dec.}=(1-e^{-x})=1$$
 $x=(N_e)^4\exp(rac{\pieta_\lambda}{2e}rac{\mu_1^4}{H_{int}^4})$

 $N_e \approx 60, H_{inf} \approx 10^{14} GeV$ (BICEP, 2014)

need new "stabilising" physics below ~ 10¹⁰ GeV



A. Spencer-Smith, arXiv:1405.1975v1 [hep-ph]

Physics

Classifying Almost-Commutative Spectral Triples

How unique is the Standard Model?

The aim: Classifying the internal spaces

 $\mathcal{A}_f = M_1(\mathbb{K}) \oplus M_2(\mathbb{K}) \oplus \ldots$

with respect to the number of summands in the algebra

with respect to physical criteria

Little Reminder

For the Standard Model we have

 $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{H} \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C})(\oplus \mathbb{C})$

or alternatively

 $\mathcal{A}_f = \mathbb{C} \oplus \textit{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \textit{M}_3(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}$

The Requirements

Physicist's "shopping list" (B. lochum, T. Schücker, C.S. '03):

The physical models emerging from the spectral action are required to

- be irreducible i.e. to have the smallest possible internal Hilbert space (minimal approach)
- allow a non-degenerate Fermionic mass spectrum
- be free of harmful anomalies
- have unbroken colour groups
- possess no uncharged massless Fermions

The Results

Classification Results (B.lochum, JH. Jureit, T.Schücker, C.S. 2003-2008):			
# sum. in \mathcal{A}_{f}	<i>KO</i> 0	<i>KO</i> 6	
1	no model	no model	
2	no model	no model	
3	SM ²	no model	
4	SM²,	SM²,	
	elstr. ¹	elstr. ¹	
6		SM ² + elstr. ¹ ,	
		$2 \times elstr.^1$	

¹ Electro-Strong Model: "electron+proton", no Higgs,

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_f &= \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus M_n(\mathbb{C}), \\ G_{gauge} &= U(1) \times SU(n)/SO(n)/Sp(n) \end{aligned}$ ² first family, colour group = SU(n)/SO(n)/Sp(n)

Noncommutative Geometry and the Spectral Action in the LHC-Era Beyond the Standard Model

Overview





3 Physics



5 Conclusions

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 < 0

Beyond SM: the general strategy (bottom-up approach)

- find finite geometry that has SM as sub-model (tricky)
 => particle content, gauge group & representation
- make sure everything is anomaly free
- compute the spectral action => constraints on parameters
- determine the cut-off scale
 A with suitable sub-set
 of the constraints
- use renorm. group equations to obtain low energy values of (hopefully) interesting parameters (Higgs couplings, Yukawa couplings)
- check with experiment! (and here we usually fail)

New Scalars

SM + $U(1)_X$ scaler field + $U(1)_X$ fermion singlet (C.S. 2009):

- Internal space: $\mathbb{C} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$
- Gauge group: $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_w \times SU(3)_c \times U(1)_X$
- New fermions: $U(1)_X$ -vector singlets (X-particles) neutral w.r.t SM gauge group , $M_X \sim \Lambda$
- New scalar: $U(1)_X$ singlet σ , neutral w.r.t SM gauge group

•
$$\mathcal{L}_{scalar} = -\mu_1^2 |H|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{6} |H|^4 - \mu_2^2 |\sigma|^2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{6} |\sigma|^4 + \frac{\lambda_3}{3} |H|^2 |\sigma|^2$$

• $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_w \times SU(3)_c \times U(1)_X \rightarrow U(1)_{el.} \times SU(3)_c$

•
$$\mathcal{L}_{ferm+gauge} = \bar{X}_L M_X X_R + g_{\nu,X} \bar{\nu}_R \sigma X_L + h.c. + 1/g_4^2 F_X^{\mu\nu} F_{X,\mu\nu}$$

New Scalars

The constraints at Λ :

- only top-quark & $u_{ au}$
- valid at g₂ = g₃
 => Λ = 1.1 × 10¹⁷ GeV
- $g_2^2 = rac{\lambda_1}{24} rac{(3g_t^2 + g_\nu^2)^2}{3g_t^4 + g_\nu^4}$
- $g_2^2 = \frac{\lambda_2}{24}$
- $g_2^2 = rac{\lambda_3}{24} rac{3g_t^2 + g_{
 u}^2}{g_{
 u}^2}$
- $g_2^2 = \frac{1}{4} (3g_t^2 + g_{\nu}^2)$
- free parameters: $|\langle \sigma \rangle|$, g_4
- $m_{SMS} \sim 120 130 \ {
 m GeV}$
- Problem: $\sqrt{5/3}g_1 \neq g_2 = g_3$



Mass EVs of scalar fields for $v_2 = \sqrt{2} |\langle \sigma \rangle|,$ $\sqrt{2} |\langle H \rangle| = 246 \text{ GeV}, g_4(m_Z) = 0.3$

New Scalars

SM + $U(1)_X$ scalar field + new fermions (C.S. '13):

- SM as a sub-model: comme il faut!
- Internal space: $\mathbb{C} \oplus M_2(\mathbb{C}) \oplus M_3(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus_{i=1}^6 \mathbb{C}_i$
- gauge group: $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_w \times SU(3)_c \times U(1)_X$
- new fermions in each SM-generation:

 $\begin{array}{l} X_{l}^{1} \oplus X_{l}^{2} \oplus X_{l}^{3} : (0, 1, 1, +1) \oplus (0, 1, 1, +1) \oplus (0, 1, 1, 0) \\ X_{r}^{1} \oplus X_{r}^{2} \oplus X_{r}^{3} : (0, 1, 1, +1) \oplus (0, 1, 1, 0) \oplus (0, 1, 1, +1) \\ V_{\ell}^{w}, V_{r}^{w} : (0, \bar{2}, 1, 0) \\ V_{\ell}^{c}, V_{r}^{c} : (-1/6, 1, \bar{3}, 0) \end{array}$

• new scalar: σ : (0, 1, 1, +1)

New Scalars

The Lagrangian (scalar potential & new terms):

•
$$\mathcal{L}_{scalar} = -\mu_1^2 |H|^2 - \mu_2^2 |\sigma|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{6} |H|^4 + \frac{\lambda_2}{6} |\sigma|^4 + \frac{\lambda_3}{3} |H|^2 |\sigma|^2$$

•
$$\mathcal{L}_{ferm} = g_{\nu,X^1} \bar{\nu}_r \sigma X_{\ell}^1 + \bar{X}_{\ell}^1 m_X X_r^1 + g_{X^2} \bar{X}_{\ell}^2 \sigma X_r^2 + g_{X^3} \bar{X}_{\ell}^3 \sigma X_r^3 + \bar{V}_{\ell}^c m_c V_r^c + \bar{V}_{\ell}^w m_w V_r^w + h.c.$$

•
$$\mathcal{L}_{gauge} = rac{1}{g_4^2} F_X^{\mu
u} F_{X,\mu
u}$$

• Symmetry breaking: $U(1)_Y \times SU(2)_w \times SU(3)_c \times U(1)_X \rightarrow U(1)_{e\ell.} \times SU(3)_c \times \mathbb{Z}_2$

Beyond the Standard Model

New Scalars

The constraints at Λ :

•
$$g_2(\Lambda) = g_3(\Lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{7}{6}} g_1(\Lambda) = \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} g_4(\Lambda)$$

•
$$\lambda_1(\Lambda) = 36 \frac{H}{Y_2} g_2(\Lambda)^2, \ \lambda_2(\Lambda) = 36 \frac{tr(g_{\nu,\chi^1}^4)}{tr(g_{\nu,\chi^1}^2)^2} g_2(\Lambda)^2$$

•
$$\lambda_3(\Lambda) = 36 \ rac{tr(g_
u^2)}{Y_2} g_2(\Lambda)^2$$

•
$$Y_2(\Lambda) = tr(g_{\nu,X^1}^2)(\Lambda) + tr(g_{X^1}^2)(\Lambda) + tr(g_{X^2}^2)(\Lambda) = 6 g_2(\Lambda)^2$$

Some simplifications:

- $Y_2 \approx 3g_{top} + g_{\nu_{\tau}}$
- $tr(g_{X^1}^2)(\Lambda) \approx tr(g_{X^2}^2)(\Lambda) \approx 0$
- $tr(g_{\nu,\chi^1}^2)(\Lambda) \approx g_{\nu,\chi}(\Lambda)^2 = 6 g_2(\Lambda)^2$
- $(m_w)_{ij} \approx \Lambda, (m_c)_{ij} \approx 10^{15} \, \mathrm{GeV}$

New Scalars

Results for 1-loop renormalisation groups:

- Constraints => $\Lambda \approx 2 \times 10^{18} \text{ GeV}$
- $m_{top} pprox$ 172.9 \pm 1.5 GeV
- $m_{\sigma_{1,SMS}} pprox$ 125 \pm 1.1 GeV
- $m_{\sigma_2} \approx 445 \pm 139 ~{
 m GeV}$
- $m_{Z_X} \approx 254 \pm 87 \text{ GeV}$
- $g_4(m_Z) \approx 0.36$
- $m_{X_2,X_3} \precsim 50 \text{ GeV}$
- free parameter: $|\langle \sigma \rangle|$



Mass EVs of scalar fields

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Beyond the Standard Model

New Scalars

Running of the gauge couplings with normalisation factors



200

Beyond the Standard Model

New Scalars

Further promising alternatives:

- Grand symmetric models + Spectral Action Devastato, Lizzi, Martinetti
- Pati-Salam type models + Spectral Action Chamseddine, Connes, van Suijlekom
- Non-associative "Spectral Triples" Boyle, Farnsworth, Wulkenhaar
- Pauli-Dirac-Yukawa operators on Clifford module bundles
 + Wodzicki residue as bosonic action
 Ackermann, Thumstädter, Tolksdorf et al.

Note: Following Tolksdorf et al. the Chamseddine-Connes Dirac operator can be considered to be a generalised Dirac operator in the sense of Quillen / Berline, Getzler and Vergne.

Overview





3 Physics



5 Conclusions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

Conclusions

Questions & to-do-list

- Is the SM + scalar model compatible with LHC and BICEP/Planck data?
- Does the SM + scalar model contain viable dark matter candidates?
- Explore parameter space $(g_{\nu,X^1}, g_{X^2}^2, g_{X^3}^2, m_{X^1}, m_{V^w}, m_{V^c})$
- Extend renormalisation group analysis to n-loop, $n \ge 2$
- Is the geometry a "sub-geometry" of a Connes-Chamseddine-type geometry?
- Classify Models beyond the Standard Model