Twisted bialgebroids as models for quantum covariant phase space

Andrzej Borowiec joint work with Anna Pachoł

Wroclaw University

Bayrischzell Workshop 2018: On Noncommutativity and Physics: Hopf algebras in Noncommutative Geometry Bayrischzell, April 20 - 23, 2018

<ロト < 部 > < 差 > < 差 > 差 の < ? 1/36

Plan:

I. Motivation and general framework: Weyl-Heisenberg algebras and their extensions.

- II. Bi-/Hopf algebroids.
- III. Twisting bi-/Hopf algebroids by Drinfeld twist.

IV. Yetter-Drinfeld modules and braided categories.

V. Smash product bi-/Hopf algebroids and their twistings by Drinfeld twists.

- VI. Quasi-triangular examples.
- VII. Hopf-Galois context.

Plan:

I. Motivation and general framework: Weyl-Heisenberg algebras and their extensions.

- II. Bi-/Hopf algebroids.
- III. Twisting bi-/Hopf algebroids by Drinfeld twist.

IV. Yetter-Drinfeld modules and braided categories.

 $\mathsf{V}.$ Smash product bi-/Hopf algebroids and their twistings by Drinfeld twists.

VI. Quasi-triangular examples.

VII. Hopf-Galois context.

NOTE: All rings are unital. All objects are modules over commutative (background) ring or field \mathbb{K} . All maps are \mathbb{K} - linear. $\otimes = \otimes_{\mathbb{K}}$ if not stated otherwise.

 $\label{eq:CCR} CCR \ algebra = Weyl-Heisenberg \ algebra = quantum \ phase \\ space$

$$[P_{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = -i\delta^{\nu}_{\mu} \mathbf{1}, \qquad [x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = 0 \qquad [P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = 0.$$

where $\mu, \nu = 0, ..., n - 1$.

Hilbert space realization ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$)

Providing the algebra of differential operator on \mathbb{K}^n

Generating some abstract associative unital algebra (Weyl algebra) \Rightarrow smash product construction

Smash product algebras

- A ⋊ H is an extension of a (left) module algebra (A, ⋆, H▷, 1_A) by the corresponding bialgebra H to create a new algebra
- by determining on the K-module A ⊗ H = A ⋊ H the multiplication (L ▷ 1_A = ε(L)1_A):

$$(a \rtimes L) \star (b \rtimes J) = a \star (L_{(1)} \rhd b) \rtimes L_{(2)}J.$$

• the initial algebras are canonically embedded, $A \ni f \to f \otimes 1_A$ and $H \ni L \to 1_A \otimes L$ as subalgebras in $A \rtimes H$.

Example: Trivial action $L \triangleright a = \epsilon(L)a$ makes $A \rtimes H$ isomorphic to the ordinary tensor product algebra $A \otimes H$: $(f \otimes L)(g \otimes M) = fg \otimes LM$.

Weyl-Heisenberg algebra as a smash product

Weyl-Heisenberg algebra contains two Abelian subalgebras which can be considered as a universal enveloping algebras of two Abelian Lie algebras:

Algebra of coordinates $\mathcal{X} \ni x^{\mu}$. $\mathcal{X} \equiv \{\mathbb{C}[x^0, \dots, x^{n-1}] : [x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = 0\}$ \mathcal{X} is \mathcal{T} -(Hopf) module algebra. Algebra of translations $\mathcal{T} \ni P_{\mu}$. $\mathcal{T} \equiv \{\mathbb{C}[P_0, \dots, P_{n-1}] : [P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = 0\}$ \mathcal{T} is Hopf algebra with : $\Delta(P_{\mu}) = P_{\mu} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_{\mu}$

The action is implemented by a duality map

$$P_{\mu} \triangleright x^{\nu} = -i \langle P_{\mu}, x^{\nu} \rangle = -i \delta^{\nu}_{\mu}, \qquad P_{\mu} \triangleright 1 = 0$$

and can be extended to whole algebra \mathcal{X} due to the Leibniz rule, e.g., $P_{\mu} \triangleright (x^{\nu} x^{\lambda}) = -i \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} x^{\lambda} - i \delta^{\lambda}_{\mu} x^{\nu}$.

From $\mathcal{X} \rtimes \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{W} \longrightarrow$ standard set of Heisenberg commutation relations:

$$[P_{\mu}, x^{\nu}]_{\rtimes} = -i\delta^{\nu}_{\mu}\mathbf{1}, \qquad [x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}]_{\rtimes} = \mathbf{0} \qquad [P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}]_{\rtimes} = \mathbf{0}.$$

as generating relations.

• Note that $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{X} \rtimes \mathcal{T}$ cannot be equipped in Hopf algebra structure for two reasons:

evaluation of the counit ϵ on commutator $[P_{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = -i\delta^{\nu}_{\mu} \mathbb{1}$ leads to a contradiction since $\epsilon(1) = 1$.

Lie-algebraic formula for the coproduct

$$\Delta_0(y) = y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y$$
 for $y \in \{x^1 \dots x^n\} \cup \{P_1 \dots P_n\}$

is incompatible with $[P_{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = -i \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} 1.$

 Instead the structure of unital non-counital bialgebra equipped with left or right 'half-primitive' coproducts:

$$\Delta^R_0(x^\mu)=x^\mu\otimes 1;\qquad \Delta^L_0(x^\mu)=1\otimes x^\mu$$

and the standard: $\Delta_0(P_\mu) = P_\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_\mu$ turns out to be compatible.

 In contrast to primitive coproduct which is valid only on generators, the above 'half-primitive' coproducts preserve their form for all elements of the algebra *X*. It provides a (trivial) comodule algebra structure. Lie-algebraic formula for the coproduct

$$\Delta_0(y) = y \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes y$$
 for $y \in \{x^1 \dots x^n\} \cup \{P_1 \dots P_n\}$

is incompatible with $[P_{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = -i \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} 1.$

• Instead the structure of **unital non-counital bialgebra** equipped with left or right 'half-primitive' coproducts:

$$\Delta^R_0(x^\mu)=x^\mu\otimes 1; \qquad \Delta^L_0(x^\mu)=1\otimes x^\mu$$

and the standard: $\Delta_0(P_\mu) = P_\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_\mu$ turns out to be compatible.

 In contrast to primitive coproduct which is valid only on generators, the above 'half-primitive' coproducts preserve their form for all elements of the algebra *X*. It provides a (trivial) comodule algebra structure.

On the other hand one can show that $\mathcal{X}\rtimes\mathcal{T}=\mathcal{W}$ has the structure of

Hopf algebroid.

S. Meljanac+Zagreb group]

シママ 7/36

Covariant quantum phase space

Consider a Lie algebra g:

$$[L_a, L_b] = \gamma^c_{ab} L_c$$

and its finite dimensional representation

$$\rho: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathit{End}_{\mathbb{K}} V \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \triangleright: \mathfrak{g} \otimes V \to V$$

 $L \triangleright x \equiv \rho(L)(x) = \hat{L}^{\mu}_{\nu} x^{\nu}$. This action can be uniquely extended to the action of the entire universal enveloping algebra $\triangleright : U_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes C^{\infty}(V) \to C^{\infty}(V)$ if we define the Lie algebra generators

$$\hat{\rho}(L) = -\hat{L}^{\beta}_{\alpha} x^{\alpha} \partial_{\beta}$$

in terms of first-order differential operators, which are in fact coordinate independent objects ($\partial_{\mu} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}$). This realization leads to the Weyl-Heisenberg extension of the initial algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Resulting (abstract) algebra can be represented by the following set of commutation relations

$$[L_{a}, L_{b}] = \gamma_{ab}^{c} L_{c}, \quad [L_{b}, p_{\nu}] = (\hat{L}_{b})_{\nu}^{\alpha} p_{\alpha}, \quad [p_{\mu}, p_{\nu}] = 0$$
$$[L_{a}, x^{\mu}] = -(\hat{L}_{a})_{\alpha}^{\mu} x^{\alpha}, \quad [p_{\nu}, x^{\mu}] = 1 \,\delta_{\nu}^{\mu}, \quad [x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = 0$$

The first line represents a Lie subalgebra which can be recognized as a inhomogeneous extension \mathfrak{ig}_{ρ} of the initial Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with respect to the representation ρ .

Thus a unital associative algebra generated by the above commutation relations can be presented as a double smash product:

$$\mathcal{X} \rtimes U_{\mathfrak{ig}_{\rho}} \equiv \mathcal{W} \rtimes U_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

since $U_{\mathfrak{ig}_{\rho}} = \mathcal{T} \rtimes U_{\mathfrak{g}}.$

Bi-/Hopf algebroids

J.H. Lu, Intern. Journ. Math. 7, 47 (1996); P. Xu, Comm. Math. Phys. 216, 539 (2001);

- T. Brzezinski, G. Militaru, J. Alg. 251, (2002);
- G. Bohm, K. Szlachanyi, J. Algebra 274 (2004).

Hopf algebroids are Hopf algebras over noncommutative rings.

A left Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{M} = (M, A, s, t, \Delta, \epsilon)$ is a left bialgebroid together with an antipode $\lambda : M \to M$.

The bialgebroid ${\mathcal M}$ consists of the following data

- a total algebra M and a base algebra A
 - two mappings providing A- bimodule or A^e-ring structure on M:
 - an algebra homomorphism $s: A \rightarrow M$ a source map
 - an algebra anti-homomorphism t: A
 ightarrow M a target map
 - such that: s(a)t(b) = t(b)s(a), for all $a, b \in A$ and

$$a.m.b = s(a)t(b)m$$

- Coproduct and counit maps, with analogous axioms of a coalgebra but all mappings are A-bimodule homomorphisms and ⊗ ⇒ ⊗_A.
 - Since M ⊗_A M is not an algebra one assumes a coring structure, i.e. the bialgebroid coproduct map Δ : M → M ⊗_A M is a coassociative A-bimodule map :

$$\Delta(s(a)t(b)m) = s(a)m_{(1)} \otimes_A t(b)m_{(2)}$$

Notice: $(t(a) \otimes_A 1 - 1 \otimes_A s(a))\Delta(m) = 0$

• Moreover, the image $Im\Delta \subseteq M \times_A M \subseteq M \otimes_A M$, i.e.

$$\Delta(m)(t(a)\otimes_A 1-1\otimes_A s(a))=0$$

and we can require that $\Delta: M o M imes_A M$ is an algebra map, i.e.

$$\Delta(mn) = \Delta(m)\Delta(n) \equiv m_{(1)}n_{(1)} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} m_{(2)}n_{(2)}$$

Note: $M \times_A M$ is known as a Takeuchi product.

• The counit map $\epsilon : M \to A$ has to satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(1_M) &= 1_A, \\ \epsilon(mn) &= \epsilon(ms(\epsilon(n))) = \epsilon(mt(\epsilon(n))), \\ s(\epsilon(m_{(1)}))m_{(2)} &= t(\epsilon(m_{(2)}))m_{(1)} = m \\ \text{enables to introduce the anchor map } M \ni m \mapsto m \blacktriangleright \in EndA \\ \text{by } m \blacktriangleright a &= \epsilon(ms(a)) = \epsilon(mt(a)) \end{aligned}$$

 In the case of Hopf algebroid one requires, in addition, an antipode as antialgebra map λ : M → M λ ∘ t = s λ(m₍₂₎)m₍₁₎ = t(ε(λ(m))) there exists a section γ : M ⊗_A M → M ⊗ M s.t.

$$\mu_{M} \circ (\mathit{id} \otimes \lambda) \circ \gamma \circ \Delta = s \circ \epsilon$$

Yetter - Drinfeld modules (left-right)

(Yang-Baxter modules, crossed modules, Hopf modules) A Yetter - Drinfeld (YD) module over a bialgebra $H(\mu, \eta, \Delta, \epsilon)$, is a *H*-module which is simultaneously a *H*-comodule

- a left H-module with the action $H \otimes A \rightarrow A$, $L \otimes a \mapsto L \triangleright a$
- a right *H*-comodule with the coaction $\rho : A \mapsto A \otimes H$; $\rho(a) = a_{<0>} \otimes a_{<1>}$

Compatibility condition between action and coaction is required in the form:

$$\rho(L \rhd a) = L_{(2)} \triangleright a_{<0>} \otimes L_{(3)} \triangleright a_{<1>} L_{(1)}$$

or

$$L_{(1)} \triangleright a_{<0>} \otimes L_{(2)}a_{<1>} = (L_{(2)} \triangleright a)_{<0>} \otimes (L_{(2)} \triangleright a)_{<1>} L_{(1)}$$

Algebras in Yetter-Drinfeld category

_H 𝔅𝔅𝔅^H - denotes the category of all (left-right)
 Yetter-Drinfeld modules (braided monoidal category).

$$L \triangleright (a \otimes a') = L_{(1)} \triangleright a \otimes L_{(2)} \triangleright' a'$$
$$(a \otimes a')_{<0>} \otimes (a \otimes a')_{<1>} = a_{<0>} \otimes a'_{<0'>} \otimes a'_{<1'>} a_{<1>}$$
$$A \otimes A' \rightarrow A' \otimes A : \quad a \otimes a' \rightarrow a'_{<0'>} \otimes (a'_{<1'>} \triangleright a)$$

• A module-comodule algebra $A = (A, \star, 1_A, H \triangleright, \rho)$

$$L \rhd (a \star b) = (L_{(1)} \rhd a) \star (L_{(2)} \rhd b)$$
$$(a \star b)_{<0>} \otimes (a \star b)_{<1>} = (a_{<0>} \star b_{<0>}) \otimes b_{<1>}a_{<1>}$$
is an algebra in ${}_{H}\mathfrak{Y}\mathfrak{D}^{H}$ if and only if it is a **braided** commutative, i.e. :

$$\mathsf{a}\star\mathsf{b}=\mathsf{b}_{<0>}\star(\mathsf{b}_{<1>}\rhd\mathsf{a})$$

Drinfeld twist deformation Deformation- 'guantization' procedure

- The twist F invertible element of $H \otimes H$
 - the two-cocycle condition

 $(F \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes id)F = (1 \otimes F)(id \otimes \Delta)F$

2 normalization $(id \otimes \epsilon)F = (\epsilon \otimes id)F = 1 \otimes 1$,

Twisted bi/Hopf algebra

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H(\mu,\eta,\Delta,\epsilon,S) &\longrightarrow & H^{F}(\mu,\eta,\Delta^{F},\epsilon,S^{F}) \\ \Delta^{F}(\cdot) &= & F\Delta(\cdot)F^{-1} \\ S(\cdot) \to S^{F}(\cdot) &= & F_{1}S(F_{2})S(\cdot)S(F_{1'})F_{2'} \end{array}$$

Notation:

 $F = F_1 \otimes F_2 \in H \otimes H, \qquad F^{-1} = \overline{F}_{1'} \otimes \overline{F}_{2'} \in H \otimes H$ $L_{(1)} \otimes L_{(2)} \to L_{(1^F)} \otimes L_{(2^F)} = F_1 L_{(1)} \overline{F}_{1'} \otimes F_2 L_{(2)} \overline{F}_{2'}$

Twisted braided commutativity

• (Left-right) Yetter-Drinfeld module over *H* with the right coaction

$$ho(\mathsf{a}) = \mathsf{a}_{<\mathsf{0}>} \otimes \mathsf{a}_{<\mathsf{1}>}$$

becomes automatically a YD module over H^F if the action remains unchanged and the **coaction is modified by the twist**

$$\rho_F(a) = F_1 \triangleright (\bar{F}_{2'} \triangleright a)_{<0>} \otimes F_2(\bar{F}_{2'} \triangleright a)_{<1>} \bar{F}_{1'} = a_{<0^F>} \otimes a_{<1^F>}$$

for all $a \in A$.

Particularly, a module-comodule algebra A = (A, ⋆, 1_A, H▷, ρ) is an algebra in _H𝔅𝔅𝔅^H if and only if its twisted counterpart _FA^F = (A, ⋆_F, 1_A, H^F▷, ρ_F) is braided commutative:

$$a\star_F b = b_{<0^F>}\star_F (b_{<1^F>} \rhd a),$$

where $a \star_F b = (\bar{F}_1 \triangleright a) \star (\bar{F}_2 \triangleright b)$ denotes modified product.

<ロト < 母 > < 臣 > < 臣 > 三 の < で 16/36

Twisted smash product

Proposition

[D. Bulacu, F. Panaite, F. M. J. Van Oystaeyen, Comm. Alg. 28 (2000),631] For any Drinfeld twist *F*:

$$A \rtimes H \cong_F A \rtimes H^F$$

even though $A \cong_F A$ and $H \cong H^F$ (as bialgebras).

 Both algebras are determined on the same K-module A ⊗ H but differ by the multiplications:

$$(a \rtimes L) \star (b \rtimes J) = a \star (L_{(1)} \triangleright b) \rtimes L_{(2)}J$$
$$(a \rtimes L) \star_F (b \rtimes J) = a \star_F (L_{(1^F)} \triangleright b) \rtimes L_{(2^F)}J$$

where Δ^F(L) = FΔ(L)F⁻¹ = L_(1^F) ⊗ L_(2^F) - twisted coproduct of the bialgebra H^F.

Both algebras are generated by elements:

$$a \rtimes 1_H, a \in A \text{ and } 1_A \rtimes L, L \in H$$

• The isomorphism $\varphi :_F A \rtimes H^F \to A \rtimes H$ can be defined by the formula

$$\varphi(\mathsf{a}\rtimes\mathsf{L})=\left(\bar{\mathsf{F}}_1\triangleright\mathsf{a}\right)\rtimes\bar{\mathsf{F}}_2\mathsf{L}$$

such that:

$$\varphi\left((a \rtimes L) \star_F (b \rtimes J)\right) = \varphi\left(a \rtimes L\right) \star \varphi\left(b \rtimes J\right)$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $L, J \in H$.

- Due to the normalization condition: φ(1_A ⋊ L) = 1_A ⋊ L, but φ (a ⋊ 1_H) = (F
 ₁ ▷ a) ⋊ F
 ₂.
- The inverse map $\varphi^{-1} : A \rtimes H \to A_F \rtimes H^F$ is given by $\varphi^{-1}(a \rtimes L) = (F_1 \triangleright a) \rtimes F_2 L.$

II.Cross product algebras as bialgebroids

Theorem [T. Brzezinski, G. Militaru, J. Alg. 251, (2002)]: Let $H = (H, \Delta, \epsilon)$ be a bialgebra, $A = (A, \star, H \triangleright)$ is a left *H*-module algebra and (A, ρ) a right *H*-comodule. Then $(A, \star, H \triangleright, \rho)$ is a braided commutative algebra in ${}_{H}\mathfrak{YD}^{H}$ if and only if $(A \rtimes H, s, t, \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\epsilon})$ is an *A*-bialgebroid with

• The source, target, coproduct and the counit given by:

$$\begin{aligned} s(a) &= a \rtimes 1_{H}, \quad t(a) \equiv \rho(a) = a_{<0>} \rtimes a_{<1>} \\ \tilde{\Delta}(a \rtimes L) &= (a \rtimes L_{(1)}) \otimes_{A} (1_{A} \rtimes L_{(2)}) \\ \tilde{\epsilon}(a \rtimes L) &= \epsilon(L)a \\ (a \rtimes L) \blacktriangleright b &= a \star (L \rhd b) \end{aligned}$$

• If H has an antipode $S: H \rightarrow H$ then $A \rtimes H$ has the antipode λ_S

$$\lambda_{S}(1_{A} \rtimes L) = 1_{A} \rtimes S(L), \quad \lambda_{S}(a \rtimes 1_{H}) = S^{2}(a_{<1>}) \rhd a_{<0>} \rtimes S^{2}(a_{<2>})$$

and $\gamma((a \rtimes L) \otimes_{A} (b \rtimes N)) = (ab_{<0>} \rtimes b_{<1>}L) \otimes (1_{A} \rtimes N)$
denotes a canonical section $\gamma: (A \rtimes H) \otimes_{A} (A \rtimes H) \rightarrow (A \rtimes H) \otimes (A \rtimes H).$

Back to the Weyl algebra

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{X} \rtimes \mathcal{T} = \{ [P_{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = -\imath \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} \mathbf{1}, \quad [x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = [P_{\mu}, P_{\nu}] = 0 \}$$

is (Hopf) bialgebroid $(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{X}, s, t, \tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\epsilon})$

The source and target maps: $s(x) = t(x) = x \otimes 1_T$.

The coproduct and counit:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Delta} \left(x \rtimes P
ight) &= \left(x \rtimes P_{(1)}
ight) \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \left(1_{\mathcal{X}} \rtimes P_{(2)}
ight) \ & ilde{\epsilon} \left(x \rtimes P
ight) = \epsilon(P) x \end{split}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $P \in \mathcal{T}$.

And $\tilde{\Delta}(x^{\mu}) = x^{\mu} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} 1 = 1 \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} x^{\mu}$; $\tilde{\Delta}(P_{\mu}) = P_{\mu} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} 1 + 1 \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} P_{\mu}$ together with $\tilde{\epsilon}(x^{\mu}) = x^{\mu}$; $\tilde{\epsilon}(P_{\mu}) = 0$; $\tilde{\epsilon}(1) = 1_{\mathcal{X}}$.

III. Twisted bialgebroids

P. Xu, Comm. Math. Phys. 216, 539 (2001).

Bialgebroid definition provides a canonical action
►: M ⊗ A → A
(also known as an anchor M ∋ m → m ►∈ EndA):
m ► a = ε(ms(a)) = ε(mt(a)),

Theorem [Xu]: Assume that $(M, A, s, t, \Delta, \epsilon)$ is bialgebroid over the algebra A and $F = F_1 \otimes_A F_2 \in M \otimes_A M$ is a "twistor" (Hopf algebroid twist). Then $(M, A_F, s_F, t_F, \Delta_F, \epsilon)$ is a bialgebroid over the algebra A_F , where

$$s_F(a) = s\left(\overline{F}_1 \blacktriangleright a\right)\overline{F}_2$$
; $t_F(a) = t\left(\overline{F}_2 \blacktriangleright a\right)\overline{F}_1$ $\forall a \in A$.

and new twisted coproduct $\Delta_F : M \to M \otimes_{A_F} M$:

$$\Delta_F(m) = F^{\#}\left(\Delta(m) F^{-1}\right), \quad \forall \quad m \in M$$

For the twisted coproduct $\Delta_F: M \to M \otimes_{A_F} M$:

$$\Delta_{F}(m) = F^{\#}(\Delta(m) F^{-1}), \quad \forall \ m \in M$$

 $F^{\#}: M \otimes_A M \to M \otimes_{A_F} M$ is defined by:

$$F^{\#}(m \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} n) = (F_1 m) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_F} (F_2 n).$$

The multiplication in M does not change. In A it changes to:

$$\star\mapsto \star_{\mathsf{F}}=\star\circ(\bar{\mathsf{F}}_1\blacktriangleright\otimes\bar{\mathsf{F}}_2\blacktriangleright)$$

IV.Main result Goal: To compare two constructions of bialgebroids:

> The bialgebroid obtained by bialgebroid twisting of the smash product algebra $(A \rtimes H)^{\tilde{F}}$ and bialgebroid obtained from the smash product algebra of twister

bialgebroid obtained from the smash product algebra of twisted bialgebra with twisted YD module algebra ${}_{F}A^{F} \rtimes H^{F}$

Main result: Both bialgebroids are equivalent (isomorphic):

$$_{F}A^{F}
times H^{F}\cong (A
times H)^{\widetilde{F}}$$

Remainder: As algebras all three are equivalent

$$_{F}A^{F}
times H^{F} \cong A
times H \cong (A
times H)^{\tilde{F}}$$

Corollary: First two are not isomorphic as bialgebras !

$${}_{\mathsf{F}}A^{\mathsf{F}} \rtimes H^{\mathsf{F}} \ncong A \rtimes H$$

Where the module-comodule algebra A is braided commutative in the category ${}_{H}\mathfrak{YD}^{H}$, $(a \star b = b_{<0>} \star (b_{<1>} \triangleright a)).$

BM construction: $A \rtimes H$ is a bialgebroid over the algebra A if we define

(shifting $\Delta: H \to H \otimes H$ to $\tilde{\Delta}: A \rtimes H \to (A \rtimes H) \otimes_A (A \rtimes H)$)

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\Delta}(a \rtimes L) &= (a \rtimes L_{(1)}) \otimes_A (1_A \rtimes L_{(2)}), \quad s(a) = a \rtimes 1_H \\ &\quad t(a) = a_{<0>} \rtimes a_{<1>} \\ &\quad \tilde{\epsilon}(a \rtimes L) = \epsilon(L)a \end{split}$$

It is easy to see that any Drinfeld twist $F = F_1 \otimes F_2 \in H \otimes H$ in the bialgebra Hcan be shifted to the bialgebroid twistor $\tilde{F} \in (A \rtimes H) \otimes_A (A \rtimes H)$ by

$$\mathcal{F} o ilde{\mathcal{F}} = (1_{\mathcal{A}}
times \mathcal{F}_1) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} (1_{\mathcal{A}}
times \mathcal{F}_2)$$

which automatically satisfies bialgebroid cocycle and normalization conditions. Therefore, it can be used to construct new (twisted) bialgebroid $(A \rtimes H)^{\tilde{F}}$ by making use of P. Xu twistor \tilde{F} .

Then apply bialgebroid twisting [Xu] to bialgebroid $(A \rtimes H)^{\tilde{F}}$ by means of the shifted twist \tilde{F}

$$ilde{\Delta}_{ ilde{F}}(a
times J) = ilde{F}^{\#}(ilde{\Delta}(a
times J) ilde{F}^{-1}), \quad s_{ ilde{F}}(a) = (ar{F}_1
times a)
times ar{F}_2,$$

$$t_{ ilde{F}}\left(a
ight) =\left(ar{F}_{2}artimes a
ight) _{<0>}
ightarrow\left(ar{F}_{2}artimes a
ight) _{<1>}ar{F}_{1}$$

where

$$\tilde{F}^{\#}: (A \rtimes H) \otimes_A (A \rtimes H) \to (A \rtimes H) \otimes_{A_F} (A \rtimes H)$$

and $F^{\#}(m \otimes_A n) = (F_1 m) \otimes_{A_F} (F_2 n).$

Note: original Xu twistor is an inverse of ours.

Similarly (BM construction) ${}_{F}A^{F} \rtimes H^{F}$ a bialgebroid over the algebra ${}_{F}A$ if we set

$$\widetilde{\Delta^{F}}(a \rtimes L) = (a \rtimes L_{(1^{F})}) \otimes_{F^{A}} (1_{A} \rtimes L_{(2^{F})})$$
$$s^{F}(a) = a \rtimes 1_{H},$$
$$t^{F}(a) = a_{<0^{F}>} \rtimes a_{<1^{F}>}$$

where

$$a_{<0^{F}>}\otimes a_{<1^{F}>}=F_{1} \rhd (ar{F}_{2'} \rhd a)_{<0>}\otimes F_{2}(ar{F}_{2'} \rhd a)_{<1>}ar{F}_{1'}$$

<□▶ < @ ▶ < 注 ▶ < 注 ▶ 注 の < @ 27/36

and the algebra _FA is braided commutative as well:
 a ★_F b = b_{<0^F>} ★_F (b_{<1^F>} ▷ a).

A. B., A.Pachol , J. Phys. A. (2016)

28/36

Theorem

Let H be a bialgebra and $A \in_{H} \mathfrak{YD}^{H}$ stands for braided commutative module algebra in the Yetter-Drinfeld category. Assume that $F = F_1 \otimes F_2 \in H \otimes H$ is a normalized cocycle twist in H. Then

$$_{F}A^{F} \rtimes H^{F} \cong (A \rtimes H)^{\tilde{F}}$$

are isomorphic as bialgebroids, where $\tilde{\mathsf{F}}$ denotes bialgebroid cocycle twist

$$F
ightarrow \widetilde{F} = (1_A
times F_1) \otimes_A (1_A
times F_2)$$

obtained from F.

For the proof: The isomorphism

$$\varphi: A_F \rtimes H^F \to A \rtimes H$$

where $\varphi(a \rtimes L) = (\bar{F}_1 \triangleright a) \rtimes \bar{F}_2 L$

i.e.

For

of total algebras makes commuting the following diagram

Quasi-triangular example: bialgebra level

• the universal quantum R-matrix $R=R_1\otimes R_2\in H\otimes H$

$$R\Delta(X)R^{-1}=\Delta^{op}(X),$$

"almost cocommutative Hopf algebra"

and
$$(\Delta \otimes id)R = R_{13}R_{23},$$

 $(id \otimes \Delta)R = R_{13}R_{12},$
 $(\epsilon \otimes id)R = (id \otimes \epsilon)R = 1$

which imply quantum Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}$$

(H, R) is quasi-triangular bialgebra if (H, R_{21}^{-1}) is quasi-triangular.

Notation:

$$R=R_1\otimes R_2\in H\otimes H \text{ and } R^{-1}=\bar{R}_1\otimes \bar{R}_2, \ R_{21}^{-1}=\bar{R}_2\otimes \bar{R}_1.$$

Braided commutativity 2

• (Left) module A over (H, R) becomes automatically a (left-right) Yetter-Drinfeld module with the right coaction

$$\rho_R(a) = (R_2 \rhd a) \otimes R_1$$

for all $a \in A$.

Particularly, a module algebra A = (A, ⋆, 1_A, H⊳) is an algebra in _H𝔅𝔅^H if and only if it is a braided commutative:

$$a \star b = (R_2 \rhd b) \star (R_1 \rhd a)$$

Twist of quasi-triangular bialgebra

$$(H,R) \longrightarrow (H^F,R^F)$$

•
$$(H^F, R^F \equiv F_{21}RF^{-1})$$
 is quasi-triangular too.

 the module algebra (A, *_F, 1_A, H⊳) ∈ _{H^F} 𝔅𝔅𝔅^{H^F} if and only if (A, *, 1_A, H⊳) ∈ _H𝔅𝔅^H, where right coactions are given by the corresponding *R*-matrices.

Back to the covariant quantum phase space

$$\mathcal{X} \rtimes U_{\mathfrak{ig}_{
ho}} \equiv \mathcal{W} \rtimes U_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

where $U_{\mathfrak{ig}_{
ho}}=\mathcal{T}
times U_{\mathfrak{g}}.$

$$[L_{a}, L_{b}] = \gamma_{ab}^{c} L_{c}, \quad [L_{b}, p_{\nu}] = (\hat{L}_{b})_{\nu}^{\alpha} p_{\alpha}, \quad [p_{\mu}, p_{\nu}] = 0$$
$$[L_{a}, x^{\mu}] = -(\hat{L}_{a})_{\alpha}^{\mu} x^{\alpha}, \quad [p_{\nu}, x^{\mu}] = 1 \, \delta_{\nu}^{\mu}, \quad [x^{\mu}, x^{\nu}] = 0$$

Any Drinfeld twist $F \in U_{ig_{\rho}} \otimes U_{ig_{\rho}}$ allows to perform deformation quantization of the Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{X} \rtimes U_{ig_{\rho}}$ and to construct its Hilbert space (Quantum Mechanical) realization with non-commuting position operators. In such framework specialization of the formal deformation parameter to some numerical value is possible.

Hopf-Galois context

For a comodule M over the Hopf algebra H with the right coaction $\rho: M \to M \otimes H$ one defines a subalgebra of coinvariant elements, $M^{coH} = \{h \in M : \rho(m) = m \otimes 1_H\}.$ We say that the extension $M^{coH} \subset M$ is H-Hopf-Galois if the map

 $M \otimes_{M^{coH}} M \to M \otimes H$

given by $m \otimes n \mapsto (m \otimes 1_H)\rho(n)$, is bijective. A smash product $\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{H}$ is a particular kind of a crossed product algebra $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathcal{H}$, where a convolution invertible map $\sigma : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{A}$ has to satisfy (in \mathcal{A}) the so-called 2-cocycle

$$[L_{(1)} \rhd \sigma(J_{(1)}, K_{(1)})]\sigma(L_{(2)}, J_{(2)}K_{(2)}) = \sigma(L_{(1)}, J_{(1)})\sigma(L_{(2)}J_{(2)}, K)$$

where $\sigma(J, 1_H) = \sigma(1_H, J) = \epsilon(J) 1_A$ as well as twisted module

$$[L_{(1)} \rhd (J_{(1)} \rhd a)]\sigma(L_{(2)}, J_{(2)}) = \sigma(L_{(1)}, J_{(1)})[(L_{(2)}J_{(2)}) \rhd a]$$

conditions for any $a \in A$ and $L, J, K \in H$.

These properties allow to establish on the vector space $\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{H}$ the structure of unital, associative algebra with the multiplication

$$(\mathsf{a}\otimes\mathsf{L})(\mathsf{b}\otimes\mathsf{J})=\mathsf{a}(\mathsf{L}_{(1)}\rhd\mathsf{b})\sigma(\mathsf{L}_{(2)},\mathsf{J}_{(1)})\otimes\mathsf{L}_{(3)}\mathsf{J}_{(2)}$$

This algebra is denoted as $\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathcal{H}$. It has a natural left \mathcal{A} module and right \mathcal{H} comodule structures (the so-called normal basis property), which makes it a *H*-comodule algebra (a coring) with the subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \otimes 1_H = (\mathcal{A} \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathcal{H})^{coH}$ composed of coinvariants of the coaction.

Due to this fact it provides a canonical example of Hopf-Galois extension which, in turn, is an algebraic counterpart of a quantum principal bundle.

Taking the trivial cocycle $\sigma_0(L, J) = \epsilon(L)\epsilon(J) \mathbf{1}_A$ one reconstructs the smash product. A natural question which appears now is whether the result of the present section can be extended to the case of nontrivial cocycle $\sigma : \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{A}$?

Conclusions

- The covariant phase space of Quantum Mechanics has a Hopf algebroid structure
- Deformed phase space treated as an algebra does not distinguish between commuting and non-commuting space(time) variables ${}_{F}A \rtimes H^{F} \cong A \rtimes H$
- ... unless we consider _FA^F ⋊ H^F ≅ (A ⋊ H)^F = bialgebroid over _FA while A ⋊ H = bialgebroid over A.

Conclusions

- The covariant phase space of Quantum Mechanics has a Hopf algebroid structure
- Deformed phase space treated as an algebra does not distinguish between commuting and non-commuting space(time) variables ${}_{F}A \rtimes H^{F} \cong A \rtimes H$
- ... unless we consider _FA^F ⋊ H^F ≅ (A ⋊ H)^F = bialgebroid over _FA while A ⋊ H = bialgebroid over A.

Thank you for your attention!