

Drinfel'd Twist Deformation Quantization on Symplectic Manifolds

Thomas Weber

23/04/2018

On Noncommutativity and Physics: Hopf algebras in Noncommutative Geometry,
Bayrischzell, April 20 - 23, 2018

Motivation (I)

Let $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} .

Definition (Star product)

A **star product** on a Poisson manifold $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is a $\mathbb{k}[[\hbar]]$ -bilinear associative binary operation \star on $C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]]$ of the form:

$$f \star g = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \hbar^k B_k(f, g), \quad \forall f, g \in C^\infty(M),$$

where each $B_k : C^\infty(M) \times C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ is a bidifferential operator,

$$B_0(f, g) = fg, \quad B_1(f, g) - B_1(g, f) = \{f, g\},$$

and:

$$f \star 1 = 1 \star f = f.$$

The algebra $(C^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \star)$ is called a **deformation quantization** of $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$.

Motivation (II)

"Drinfel'd twists are tools to obtain deformation quantization via symmetries."

Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is *symmetry* of symplectic manifold M if

$$\exists \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M) \text{ Lie algebra map.}$$

Consider the natural extension

$$\triangleright: \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{DiffOp}^\bullet(M).$$

Definition (Drinfel'd twist)

$\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$ is a (Drinfel'd) twist, if the following three properties hold:

- i.) $(\mathcal{F} \otimes 1) \cdot (\Delta \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{F}) = (1 \otimes \mathcal{F}) \cdot (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{F}),$ (2-cocycle condition)
- ii.) $(\epsilon \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{F}) = 1 = (\text{id} \otimes \epsilon)(\mathcal{F}),$ (normalization property)
- iii.) $\mathcal{F} = 1 \otimes 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar).$

Motivation (III)

Proposition

Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$ be a twist. Then

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}} := (\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]], \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}, S_{\mathcal{F}})$$

is a Hopf algebra, where $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}} := \mathcal{F} \cdot \Delta \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ and $S_{\mathcal{F}} := \mathcal{U} \cdot S \cdot \mathcal{U}^{-1}$, with

$$\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{F}_1 S(\mathcal{F}_2).$$

If \mathfrak{g} is a symmetry of a Poisson manifold $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$, then

$$(\mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)[[\hbar]], \star_{\mathcal{F}})$$

is a $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{F}}$ -module algebra, where

$$f \star_{\mathcal{F}} g := m(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright (f \otimes g)),$$

for all $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$.

Motivation (IV)

Definition (Twist star product)

A star product \star on a Poisson manifold $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is called twist star product if there is a symmetry \mathfrak{g} of M and a twist $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$ such that

$$\star = \star_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Example

Consider $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ with coordinates (x, y) and the standard Poisson bracket. The Moyal-Weyl star product

$$f \star_m g := m(\exp(i\hbar \partial_x \wedge \partial_y)(f \otimes g))$$

on \mathbb{R}^2 is a twist star product $\star_m = \star_{\mathcal{F}}$ with inducing twist given by

$$\mathcal{F} := \exp(-i\hbar \partial_x \wedge \partial_y).$$

Motivation (IV)

Definition (Twist star product)

A star product \star on a Poisson manifold $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is called twist star product if there is a symmetry \mathfrak{g} of M and a twist $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$ such that

$$\star = \star_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Advantages:

- Star products in terms of the twist.
- Compatible deformation quantization of all module algebras.
- Connection to integrable systems: $\mathcal{F} = 1 \otimes 1 + \hbar r + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)$ with $\text{CYB}(r) = 0$.


Problem: Twist star products are rare!

Goal of the talk: Find obstructions for twist star products!


Schedule

1. Motivation and definition of twist star products ✓

2. Obstructions for symplectic Riemann surfaces

 Pierre Bieliavsky, Chiara Esposito, Stefan Waldmann, TW,
Obstructions for twist star products,
Lett. Math. Phys. (2017).

3. Obstructions via Morita equivalence

 Francesco D'Andrea, TW,
Twist star products and Morita equivalence,
C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 355 (2017) 1178–1184.

2. Obstructions for symplectic Riemann surfaces

Consider a star product \star on a Poisson manifold $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$.

Lemma

Let $\mathcal{F} = 1 \otimes 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \hbar^k \mathcal{F}_k \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$ be a twist.

- i.) Then $r := \mathcal{F}_1^{21} - \mathcal{F}_1 \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}$ is a classical r -matrix, i.e. $\text{CYB}(r) := \llbracket r, r \rrbracket = 0$.
- ii.) If $\star = \star_{\mathcal{F}}$, then $\{f, g\} = m(r \triangleright (f \otimes g))$ for all $f, g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(M)$.
- iii.) If $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ is symplectic, connected, compact and there exists a twist star product on $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$, then M is a homogeneous space.

Theorem (Bieliavsky-Esposito-Waldmann-TW, 2016)

There are no twist star products

- i.) on the symplectic Riemann surfaces of genus > 1 .
- ii.) on the symplectic 2-sphere.

2. Obstructions for symplectic Riemann surfaces

Theorem

There are no twist star products

- i.) *on the symplectic Riemann surfaces of genus > 1 .*
- ii.) *on the symplectic 2-sphere.*

Sketch of the proof.

- i.) Riemann surfaces are connected and compact but not homogeneous for genus > 1 .
- ii.)
 - ① We can assume that $r \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}$ is non-degenerate.
 - ② All transitive Lie group actions on \mathbb{S}^2 (up to equivalence) are by semisimple Lie groups.
 - ③ There are no non-degenerate r -matrices on semisimple Lie algebras.



3. Obstructions via Morita equivalence (I)

Let \star be star product on a sympl mfd $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ and $L \rightarrow M$ smooth complex line bundle.

Notation: $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{C}^\infty(M), \cdot)$, $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \star)$.

$\Rightarrow \Gamma(L) \cong P\mathcal{A}^n$ is projective finitely generated, with idempotent $P = P^2 \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$.

$\Rightarrow \Gamma(L)[[\hbar]] \cong P\mathcal{A}^n$ is projective finitely generated, with idempotent $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^2 \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$

given by $\mathbf{P} = \frac{1}{2} + (P - \frac{1}{2}) \star \frac{1}{\sqrt{\star_{1+4(P \star P - P)}}} \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem (Bursztyn-Waldmann, 2002)

There is a star product \star' on $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ such that

$$(\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \star') \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Gamma(L)[[\hbar]])$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras.

Moreover, $\star \sim \star'$ if and only if $c_1(L) = 0$.

3. Obstructions via Morita equivalence (I)

Theorem (Bursztyn-Waldmann, 2002)

There is a star product \star' on $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ such that

$$(\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \star') \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{A}}(\Gamma(L)[[\hbar]]) \quad (1)$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras.

Moreover, $\star \sim \star'$ if and only if $c_1(L) = 0$.

Definition (Morita equivalence for star products)

Two star products \star, \star' on $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ are Morita equivalent if there is L such that (1) is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras.

Remark

The definition coincides with the ring-theoretic definition of Morita equivalence on star product algebras.

3. Obstructions via Morita equivalence (II)

Theorem (D'Andrea-TW, 2017)

Let $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ be a symplectic manifold, which is a homogeneous G -space.

Mutually exclusive are:

- i.) There is a G -equivariant $L \rightarrow M$ with $c_1(L) \neq 0$.
- ii.) There is a twist star product on $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ based on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$, where $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$.

Corollary

There are no twist star products on symplectic $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ based on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}))[[\hbar]]$ or any sub-bialgebra.

Proof.

The tautological line bundle on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ has non-trivial Chern class and is $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant. ■

3. Obstructions via Morita equivalence (IV)

Theorem (D'Andrea-TW, 2017)

$(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ symplectic manifold, which is a homogeneous G -space. Mutually exclusive are:

- i.) There is a G -equivariant $L \rightarrow M$ with $c_1(L) \neq 0$.
- ii.) There is a twist star product on $(M, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ based on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$, where $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$.

Sketch of the proof.

- 1 If $L \rightarrow M$ is G -equivariant $\Rightarrow \Gamma(L)$ is $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ -equivariant.
- 2 If also $\exists \mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]] \Rightarrow \Gamma(L)[[\hbar]]$ is $H_{\mathcal{F}}$ -equivariant $(\mathcal{C}^\infty(M), \star_{\mathcal{F}})$ -bimodule with

$$\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}(f \otimes s) := \lambda(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright (f \otimes s)),$$

where $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ and $s \in \Gamma(L)$.

- 3 $\lambda_{\mathcal{F}}: (\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \star_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \text{End}_{(\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)[[\hbar]], \star_{\mathcal{F}})}(\Gamma(L)[[\hbar]])$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras.

- 4 $\Rightarrow c_1(L) = 0$.

Open questions

- Are there more (general) obstructions for twist star products on symplectic manifolds?
- Are there obstructions for (non-symplectic) Poisson manifolds?
- Are there more examples of twist star products?
- Is there a classification?

Thank you for your attention!