### Relativistic Quantum Field Theory

paul.romatschke@colorado.edu

PHYS 7270, Fall 2020

paul.romatschke@colorado.edu

PHYS 7270, Lecture 9

PHYS 7270, Fall 2020 1 / 12



#### • Srednicki, Chapter 8

# **Classical Field Theory**

Recall from Lecture 8 the Euclidean action for a single scalar field

$$S_E = \int d^4 x_E \left[ \frac{1}{2} \partial_a \phi \partial_a \phi + V(\phi) \right], \qquad (9.1)$$

where  $dx_E = d\tau d^3x$  is the Euclidean integral measure and a = 1, 2, 3, 4 is a four-dimensional Euclidean index

 Recall from Lecture 7 that the quantum theory partition function is given by

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_E} \,, \tag{9.2}$$

where periodicity on the thermal circle implies  $\phi(\tau = \beta, x) = \phi(\tau = 0, x).$ 

# Quantum Field Theory

- Since the quantum nature is encoded in the infinite-dimensional path integral measure  $\mathcal{D}\phi$ , knowledge of the *classical* action provides access to the full *quantum field theory* partition function
- Unfortunately, not every classical action gives rise to a sensible quantum field theory
- Unfortunately, not every sensible quantum field theory can be solved
- Fortunately, *some* quantum field theories can be solved, so let's calculate the partition function for a free scalar quantum field!

 $\bullet\,$  In the following, let us consider the quantum field theory for a single scalar field  $\phi$  with potential

$$V(\phi) = \frac{m^2 \phi^2}{2} \,. \tag{9.3}$$

- For this potential, the quantum field theory action (9.1) is a quadratic form in  $\phi$
- We can use Fourier transforms to solve the partition function just as in lecture 6!
- The only difference is that in addition to the thermal circle parametrized by τ – we now also need to Fourier transform w.r.t. x, y, z

• Generalizing the setup a bit, we work in "D" spatial dimension:

$$x^{i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \to x^{i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots D.$$
 (9.4)

- We will assume that each  $x^i$  ranges from  $\left[-\frac{L}{2}, \frac{L}{2}\right]$ , and we will take the continuum limit  $L \to \infty$  at the end
- As a consequence, we have

$$\phi(\tau, \vec{x}) = \frac{T}{L^D} \sum_{n} \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_D} \tilde{\phi}(\omega_n, \vec{k}) e^{i\omega_n \tau + i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}}, \qquad (9.5)$$

where again  $\omega_n = 2\pi nT$  and each  $k_i$  is discretized with steps  $\Delta k = \frac{2\pi}{L}$ .

• Plugging (9.5) into the action  $S_E$  leads to

$$S_E = \frac{T}{2L^D} \sum_{\omega_n, \vec{k}} \left( \omega_n^2 + \vec{k}^2 + m^2 \right) |\tilde{\phi}(\omega_n, \vec{k})|^2$$
(9.6)

Since the exponent of a sum is a product, we have

$$e^{-S_{\mathcal{E}}} = \prod_{\vec{k}} \exp\left[-\frac{T}{2L^{D}} \sum_{\omega_{n}} \left(\omega_{n}^{2} + \vec{k}^{2} + m^{2}\right) |\tilde{\phi}(\omega_{n}, \vec{k})|^{2}\right]$$
(9.7)

The exponent is exactly of the same form as for the quantum mechanical harmonic oscillator (6.11), with different coefficients

• For the harmonic oscillator (6.11), we had

$$S_{E}^{(HO)} = \frac{m_{(HO)}T}{2} \sum_{n} \left[ \omega_{n}^{2} + \omega_{(HO)}^{2} \right] |x_{n}|^{2}, \qquad (9.8)$$

so that (9.6) implies the replacements

$$m_{(HO)} \to \frac{1}{L^D}, \quad \omega_{(HO)}^2 \to \vec{k}^2 + m^2 \equiv E_k^2.$$
 (9.9)

• Using the known form of Z(T) for the harmonic oscillator (6.20), we find for the quantum field theory partition function

$$Z = \prod_{\vec{k}} \frac{1}{2\sinh\left(\frac{E_k\beta}{2}\right)} = e^{-\sum_{\vec{k}} \left[\frac{E_k\beta}{2} + \ln\left(1 - e^{-\beta E_k}\right)\right]}.$$
 (9.10)

#### The Partition Function of Free Scalar QFT

 In the limit where spatial dimensions become large L → ∞, the sums become integrals

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{L^D} \sum_{\vec{k}} \to \int \frac{d^D \vec{k}}{(2\pi)^D}$$
(9.11)

• Recognizing  $L^D = V$  to be the volume of D-dimensional space, this leads to the continuum free QFT partition function

$$\ln Z_{\rm free} = -\frac{V}{T} \int \frac{d^D k}{(2\pi)^D} \left(\frac{E_k}{2} + T \ln \left(1 - e^{-\beta E_k}\right)\right) \,. \tag{9.12}$$

 Unlike for quantum mechanics, this result is badly divergent for any temperature!

# Making sense of QFT results

- Divergent results are a common feature of quantum field theory
- We need a procedure to see if a sensible physics answer is "hidden beneath" these divergences
- This procedure involves *regulating* the divergence ("regularization") as well as properly subtracting the divergence ("renormalization") in order to see if something sensible remains
- For the case at hand 9.12, we can understand the origin of the divergence, and properly deal with it

# Making sense of QFT results

- Returning to the expression (9.10), the logarithm of the free partition function is a sum of individual harmonic oscillators with frequency  $E_k$
- For very low temperatures  $T \rightarrow 0$ , the free energy  $F = -T \ln Z_{\rm free}$  becomes

$$F = \sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{E_k}{2}, \qquad (9.13)$$

which is just a sum over the zero-point energy of all the individual harmonic oscillators

- Since in the continuum limit there is an infinite number of oscillators, and since the zero-point energy of each oscillator is non-vanishing, the resulting free energy diverges
- We understand the divergence, but it is boring: we already know about the zero-point energy in quantum mechanics

- There are many ways to subtract the "boring" divergence of summing the zero-point energy of infinitely many oscillators
- A "brute-force" approach is to simply cut the sum ∑<sub>k</sub> at some maximum value of momentum k = Λ; this approach is physically well-motivated, but wreaks havoc with our cherished principle of special relativity invariance
- A much more elegant approach is to exploit our formalism for arbitrary spatial dimension *D*, and work in *non-integer* dimensions; this approach will be discussed in lecture 10