

# Propagator in Scalar Field Theory III

paul.romatschke@colorado.edu

Fall 2020

# Review

- In lecture 15, we introduced the full propagator

$$G(x) = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{-S_0 - S_I} \phi(x) \phi(0)}{Z}. \quad (17.1)$$

- To first order perturbative approximation, the full propagator is

$$G_{(1)} = G_{\text{free}} - \langle \phi(x) \phi(0) S_I \rangle. \quad (17.2)$$

and the partition function is

$$Z_{(1)} = Z_{\text{free}} - \langle S_I \rangle. \quad (17.3)$$

- In this lecture, we will discuss the perturbative propagator as well as resummations thereof

# Perturbative Correction

- The first-order correction term is

$$\langle \phi(x)\phi(0)S_I \rangle = \lambda \int_y \langle \phi(x)\phi(0)\phi^4(y) \rangle \quad (17.4)$$

- Using Wick's theorem and only keeping connected diagrams, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(x)\phi(0)S_I \rangle &= 12\lambda \int_y \langle \phi(x)\phi(y) \rangle \langle \phi(y)\phi(0) \rangle \langle \phi^2(y) \rangle, \\ &= 12\lambda G_{\text{free}}(0) \int_y G_{\text{free}}(x-y) G_{\text{free}}(y) \quad (17.5) \end{aligned}$$

## Perturbative Correction

- Using (16.2), as well as  $\int_y e^{iy \cdot (P-K)} = \delta(P - K)$  we have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow 0} \langle \phi(x) \phi(0) S_I \rangle = 12\lambda G_{\text{free}}(0) \int_K \frac{e^{iK \cdot x}}{(K^2 + m^2)^2} \quad (17.6)$$

- We therefore find

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} G_{(1)}(x) &= \int_K \frac{e^{iK \cdot x}}{K^2 + m^2} - 12\lambda G_{\text{free}}(0) \int_K \frac{e^{iK \cdot x}}{(K^2 + m^2)^2}, \\ &= \int_K e^{iK \cdot x} \left( \frac{1}{K^2 + m^2} - \frac{12\lambda G_{\text{free}}(0)}{(K^2 + m^2)^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (17.7)$$

## Perturbative Correction

- Perturbative result in momentum space:

$$\tilde{G}_{(1)}(K) = \frac{1}{K^2 + m^2} - \frac{12\lambda G_{\text{free}}(0)}{(K^2 + m^2)^2}. \quad (17.8)$$

- We have calculated  $G_{\text{free}}(0)$  in lecture 15 in dim-reg, cf. (15.16)
- Eq. (17.8) has a simple structure; it looks like the start of a geometric series
- By explicitly considering  $\tilde{G}_{(2)}$ ,  $\tilde{G}_{(3)}$ ,  $\dots$  one indeed finds that

$$\tilde{G}(K) = \frac{1}{K^2 + m^2 + 12\lambda G_{\text{free}}(0)} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2), \quad (17.9)$$

which is valid up to 2<sup>nd</sup> order in perturbation theory

## Self-energy

- If we allow for an arbitrary function  $\tilde{\Pi}(K)$ , we can represent the full propagator in momentum space as

$$\tilde{G}(K) = \frac{1}{K^2 + m^2 + \tilde{\Pi}(K)}. \quad (17.10)$$

- To lowest order in perturbation theory, we have

$$\tilde{\Pi}(K) = 12\lambda G_{\text{free}}(0), \quad (17.11)$$

e.g. just a constant

- We call  $\Pi$  the *self-energy* of the scalar field  $\phi$
- The self-energy is a central object in quantum field theory, and contains an enormous amount of information

## Perturbative renormalization of the Self-Energy

- Using (15.16) for  $G_{\text{free}}(0)$ , the perturbative self-energy to first order is

$$\tilde{\Pi}_{(1)} = -\frac{3m^2\lambda}{4\pi^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \ln \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}^2 e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{m^2} \right) \right] + 12\lambda I_B(T, m) \quad (17.12)$$

- The result is divergent when letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$
- However, note that  $\tilde{\Pi}$  appears in the propagator only as  $m^2 + \tilde{\Pi}$
- Next, realize that  $m^2$  is just a parameter in the Lagrangian; we may add a counterterm similar to our renormalization program for the cosmological constant:

$$m^2 \rightarrow m_{\text{phys}}^2 + \delta m^2. \quad (17.13)$$

## Perturbative renormalization of the Self-Energy

- We can *renormalize*  $m^2 + \tilde{\Pi}_{(1)}$  by choosing a divergent mass-counterterm; in  $\overline{\text{MS}}$ :

$$\delta m^2 = \frac{3m_{\text{phys}}^2 \lambda}{4\pi^2 \varepsilon} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \quad (17.14)$$

- The resulting combination is finite to leading order in perturbation theory

$$\begin{aligned} m^2 + \tilde{\Pi}_{(1)} &= m_{\text{phys}}^2 - \frac{3\lambda m_{\text{phys}}^2}{4\pi^2} \ln \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}^2 e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{m_{\text{phys}}^2} \right) + 12\lambda l_B(T, m_{\text{phys}}), \\ &= m_{\text{phys}}^2 + \tilde{\Pi}_{(1)}^{\text{ren}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2). \end{aligned} \quad (17.15)$$

- Note: there are remaining divergencies starting at  $\mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$ , which will have to be cancelled by counterterms of the same order



## Quasi-Particle Mass

- In lecture 16, we found that the pole of the analytically continued free propagator corresponded to the mass of the quasiparticle
- Let us do this exercise for the full propagator (17.10)
- To first order in perturbation theory, when letting  $K^2 \rightarrow -k_0^2 + \vec{k}^2$  we have a propagator pole located at

$$k_0^2 = k^2 + m_{\text{phys}}^2 + \tilde{\Pi}_{(1)}^{\text{ren}}. \quad (17.16)$$

- This means the quasi-particle mass is no longer given by the parameter  $m$  in the Lagrangian; instead, the *effective* mass of the quasi-particle is

$$m_{\text{eff}}(T) = m_{\text{phys}} - \frac{3\lambda m_{\text{phys}}}{8\pi^2} \ln \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}^2 e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{m_{\text{phys}}^2} \right) + \frac{6\lambda}{m_{\text{phys}}} I_B(T, m_{\text{phys}}) \quad (17.17)$$

## Quasi-Particle Mass

- At first glance, it seems that the effective mass is temperature and renormalization scale dependent
- At zero temperature, we have  $I_B(T, m_{\text{phys}}) = 0$  and hence

$$m_{\text{eff}}^2(T=0) = m_{\text{phys}}^2 - \frac{3\lambda m_{\text{phys}}^2}{4\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{\bar{\mu}^2 e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{m_{\text{phys}}^2}\right) \quad (17.18)$$

- However,  $m_{\text{eff}}^2$  is a measurable quantity, it **cannot** depend on  $\bar{\mu}$
- The only way out is that the parameter  $m_{\text{phys}}$  depends on  $\bar{\mu}$ , so that

$$\bar{\mu} \frac{\partial m_{\text{eff}}^2}{\partial \bar{\mu}} = 0. \quad (17.19)$$

## Quasi-Particle Mass

- Putting  $m_{\text{phys}} \rightarrow m_{\text{phys}}(\bar{\mu})$ , (17.19) implies

$$0 = \bar{\mu} \frac{\partial m_{\text{phys}}^2(\bar{\mu})}{\partial \bar{\mu}} \left[ 1 - \frac{3\lambda}{4\pi^2} \ln \left( \frac{\bar{\mu}^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{m_{\text{phys}}^2} \right) \right] - \frac{3\lambda m_{\text{phys}}^2(\bar{\mu})}{2\pi^2} \quad (17.20)$$

- In perturbation theory, we can maintain (17.19) if

$$\bar{\mu} \frac{\partial m_{\text{phys}}^2(\bar{\mu})}{\partial \bar{\mu}} = \frac{3\lambda m_{\text{phys}}^2(\bar{\mu})}{2\pi^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \quad (17.21)$$

- We find that in order for the measurable quasi-particle mass to be independent from an arbitrary choice  $\bar{\mu}$ , the Lagrangian parameter  $m_{\text{phys}}$  has to depend on  $\bar{\mu}$ ; in QFT lingo, the mass “runs”

## Quasiparticle Mass

- A special case is  $m_{\text{phys}} = 0$
- In this case, using (15.18), we have

$$m_{\text{eff}}(T) = \sqrt{12\lambda I_B(T, 0)} = \sqrt{\lambda} T \quad (17.22)$$

- The effective quasi-particle mass is independent of  $\bar{\mu}$ , but depends on temperature
- We call this the *in-medium* mass, because even if the quasi-particle is massless at  $T = 0$ , it acquires an effective mass through interactions with the thermal medium
- Note that in-medium masses are generically unavoidable for any value of  $m_{\text{phys}}$